"ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY
ANALYSIS FOR LAND USE PLANNING"
78-092 "Collection of data for
development of a Coastal Zone Management Plan for the Quileute Reservation
LaPush, Washington - Quileute 1978

NT393, W2 E64 1778

« ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY
ANALYSIS FOR LAND USE PLANNING

Prepared for the Quileute Indian Tribe by Southside Community Consultants

"The preparation of this report was financially aided through a grant from the Washington State Department of Ecology with funds obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and appropriated for Section 306 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972."

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	Introduction to Planning Program: Project Description	1
	- Our process procedures used in the assessment	4
II.	Base Map	
	Introduction and need for Base Map	6
	Options and evaluation of alternatives	7
III.	A Framework for Long Range Land Use Planning	10
	1. The Bio-Physical Planning Elements and Desired Products	10
	2. Supportive Data Elements	12
	3. A Conceptual Flow Diagram	13
	4. Environmental Data Element Sheets	14
IV.	Data Survey and Analysis	38
	1. Data Survey	38
	A. Steps in Process	38
	B. Explanation of Survey Form	38
	C. A Guide to Information Sources for Future Reference:	
	see bibliography.	
	2. Data Analysis	39
	A. Data Assessment Summary	40
v.	Summary of Findings and Recommendations	45
	1. Base Map: review of critical considerations and final	
	recommendations	45
	2. Informational Inventory: general results and final	
	Recommendations	46
	3. Critical Data Gaps Identified and Recommendations	47
	4. A Proposal: Summer Work Schedule, July 15 - Sept. 30	49
	5. Contract Term Revisions	49

Table of Contents (d	con'	t.
----------------------	------	----

	Table of Contents (con't.)	
€,	<u>Page</u>	
	VI. Bibliography and Guide to Informational Sources 50	
	VII. Appendix "A" : Soil Survey Proposal	
	VIII. Appendix "B" : Data Survey Sheets (under seperate cover)	

•

.

#### DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

To date, no tribal government mechanism exists for directing land use on the reservation. However, the desire exists to establish a land use planning program. As a necessary step to begin such a program, a planning committee has been established to guide future program development. A first step in such a program is the evaluation of existing sources of data that might assist land use planning. Diverse sources of information could conceivably be referred to to guide land use decision-making. One could easily be "overloaded" with information that $\hat{\psi}$  though available, is not suitable for making rational, welldocumented future decisions about the reservation's physical environment. Decisions about the data base for planning must therefore be made to determine what information is or is not important for tribal decision--making and in what form this information should be made available for planning purposes. Recognizing that the real utility of any information base for land use planning will only be realized with the successful development and implementation of an overall land use plan for the Quileute Reservation, a schematic diagram of a planning program is offered ("A Guide to Development of a Land Use Plan") as an indication of where the present assessment of biophysical information might fit into a long-term planning process designed to bring about systematic land use planning on the Quileute Reservation. This is only a model lacking much detail. Supporting information that could be produced at various logical points during such a planning program is identified.

South Side Community Consultants were contracted on May 22, 1978, to begin a program leading to development of an environmental suitability profile of reservation lands. The first step of the program was to evaluate whether the existing bio-physical data describing reservation lands is suitable to begin a land use planning program. At that time, there was some question as to the possible "tangible" products that would arise from this evaluation process. In conversation with the Quileute planning staff, an agreement was reached which provided the consultant with the time required to assess the available information in detail before committing themselves to any specific products. The project was therefore divided into the following two phases:

Phase 1. Inventory and Analysis of Data; including:

- documentation of existing information to assess its utility for land use planning on the reservation
- exploring alternative means of producing a basemap that would permit analysis of "mappable" land use planning information at the reservation scale.

- determination of gaps in existing information and suggestion of how they could be filled. (Realizing that resources are limited it seemed important to prioritize information gaps permitting those most crucial for beginning land use planning to be filled first.)

Continuation into Phase 2 is not automatic but is dependent upon the consultants' findings and recommendations and the Quileute's officials' resulting wishes to act upon them in one or all of the above areas researched by the consultants. Phase 2 would tentatively consist of the following:


Phase 2. Information Development and Mapping:

- base map development
- mapping whatever data is available to begin work towards an environmental suitability profile for reservation lands
- proposals to fill information gaps using tribal resources

  The eventual goal of this information inventory and analysis is understood to
  be the development, within the Quileute Planning Staff, of the capacity for
  development, implementation, and maintenance of a comprehensive land use plan
  based upon environmental principles.

This report consists of the consultants findings and recommendations developed during Phase 1 of this two-phase project.

# A GUIDE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A LAND USE PLANNING PROGRAM: for QUILEUTE RESERVATION



#### PROCEDURES USED IN THE DATA ASSESSMENT PROCESS

#### Goals of This Document -

Alternative goals of this early assessment period were carefully considered before work commenced. It was decided that there could be a tangible product developed that would not only permit the consultants to determine the feasibility of an environmental suitability profile for the reservation, but, if care was taken, the data assessment could also serve as a permanent index of land use planning information resources for the Quileute Planning Staff. The format used to index information is a flexible one and could be expanded upon with little trouble. The description of the assessment process that follows hopefully will help involve those who will be instrumental in developing a land use environmental suitability data base for reservation planning.

#### The Process -

The figure titled "A Bio-Physical Data Assessment Process" outlines the entire data assessment process in detail. Basically, the assessment process consists of answering three questions about each piece of bio-physical information found relevant in some way for reservation land use planning. These questions consist of the following:

- a) Does the information tell you something important for land use planning decisions?
- b) Is this important information something you didn't know before?
- c) Can this information be used to identify and describe a particular location on the reservation or its surrounding area.

Each of these questions have been addressed systematically through separate analysis. Further detail is provided in the figure under the headings, What is important to know?; What is known?; Where does it apply? The findings of these analyses have been used to determine the usefulness of existing data and also what additional data is needed.

#### Filling Data Gaps -

It became evident early in the assessment process that critical gaps would be left unfilled. Anticipating this we have been investigating ways to fill these gaps through consultation with private firms and relevant federal, state and local agencies' personnel. Recommendations on alternative means available for filling critical information gaps are included in the summary of comments and recommendations section of this report.

# A Bio-Physical Vata Assessment Process

ANY PIECE OF INFORMATION HAS THREE IMPORTANT CHARACTERISTICS THAT MUST BE EXAMINED IN ORDER TO ASSESS ITS VALUE FOR LAND USE PLANNING:

- 1. DOES IT TELL YOU SOMETHING IMPORTANT IN LIGHT OF THE DECISIONS TO BE MADE RECARDING LAND USES?
- TO BE MADE RECARDING LAND USES?
  2. IS WHAT IT TELLS YOU SOMETHING YOU DIDN'T KNOW DEFORE?
- 3. CAN THE LOCATION TO WHICH IT APPLIES BE IDENTIFIED?

£)

#### AN ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FRAMEWORK

- a. How should environmental information be categorized so that all critical manland-water relationships will be addressed?
- b. What is each category and why is it important for reservation land use planning?
- c. What possible sources of additional information exist?
- d. For systematic analysis of all information, what form is most desirable to have the information in?

# what is important to know?



#### SURVEY OF EXISTING DATA

- e. What information exists? What is its original
- source and where can it be found for reference?

  f. Does the information describe the reservation only (site scale) or is the reservation included in a much larger study with less specific details (Provided regional scale)
- vided regional scale), g. Does the information apply to a particular location on the reservation or is it merely a general description without a specific geographic point of reference? (In other words, is the information mappable?)
- h. What different types of data are presented and in how much detail. Is it sufficient in both detail and accuracy to be useful for land use planning decision-making?

# what is known?



#### A LOCATIONAL DEVICE

- 1. How will the basemap be used in the planning program?
- j. What characteristics should the basemap possess?
- k. Does a suitable bsemap exist or can it be developed at an acceptable cost?



#### ASSESSMENT PRODUCTS

- using these inputs, an assessment was undertaken to answer the following:
- \*What existing data is of little or no value for a land use environmental suitability analysis?
- \*What existing data can be used or adapted to be included in a systematic information framework?
- \*What are the information gaps left unfilled by existing suitable information?
- \*What steps can be followed to begin to fill remaining information gaps, wo wing to develop sufficient information to complete an environmental land suitability analysis?

#### BASEMAP DEVELOPMENT

A suitable base map is needed to record the data which is compiled. The essential elements of any base map are topography, land and water interface, boundary, and cultural features such as roads and structures. There are many options that can be considered. The decision must be sensitive to the following:

- 1. <u>Utility</u> What is the map to be used for, and what type of map will accommodate the greatest number of needs?
  RECOMMENDATION: Create the most versatile map affordable, so that it will meet future land use planning needs as well as the immediate needs of mapping environmental data.
- 2. Accuracy What degree of confidence must be placed on the mapped data, and the relationship between the mapped data and the features on the base map? An accurate map must have surveyed points for elevation and horizontal reference.
  RECOMMENDATION: If the map is to have maximum utility, it should be accurate. On-site verification of elevation and verification of of scaling distances are necessary to provide a dependable map of topography and a true scale.
- 3. Scale How large should the map be, and what degree of accuracy is implied by a large map vs. a small map scale?
  RECOMMENDATION: The scales that might be considered are 1" = 400 ft.,
  1" = 200 ft. and 1" = 100 ft. The approximate size and price of drafting a new map from aerial photographs follow:

SCALE	SIZE	PRICE
1" = 400 ft.	18 x 18	\$900
1" = 200 ft.	36 x 36	1200
1" = 100 ft.	72 x 72	1700

Too large a scale will result in a map which is too small to represent the data. Too small a scale will result in generalized data being placed on too highly sophisticated a base, implying a degree of confidence that is too great. We recommend the map be created at a scale of 1" = 200 ft.

- 4. <u>Currency</u> How up-to-date must the data on the basemap be?

  RECOMMENDATION: The basemap should contain the most up-to-date information. New structures must be represented. If the new basemap does not have this information, it must be updated by manual methods. Topography should also be current to account for fill and land grading. We recommend the map be created from recent aerial photographs so that current topography and cultural features can be represented.
- 5. Replicability Will the map be able to be reproduced and many copies able to be made from it in the future? Will the map be able to be updated easily without making a new map?

  RECOMMENDATION: The basemap should be reproduceable and updatable. The most suitable material is mylar. The map should be inscribed in ink to maintain clarity.
- 6. <u>Cost</u> The more accurate and current the map, the more it will cost.

RECOMMENDATION: Choose the alternative which provides all of the requirements at the least cost.

#### OPTIONS CONSIDERED TO DATE

A chart which evaluates each of the following options according to the basemap characteristics previously mentioned follows this short discussion of each option.

OPTION I - Enlarge an Existing Map

Procedure: Two maps exist with topography that covers the whole study area.

One is vintage 1938 with 20 ft. contours. The other is vintage
1977 with 40 ft. contours. The scale of these maps is such that
they would have to be enlarged to be used. The maps could be
projected and drafted onto a reproduceable base.

OPTION II- Enlarge an Aerial Photograph

Procedure: The Corps of Engineers can provide an enlarged print (like a poster) of any aerial photograph. The most modern could be used for mapping cultural features. Topography could then be transferred onto it from either of the maps previously mentioned. If a reproduceable was needed, it could be drafted from the "poster".

OPTION III-Create New Map Using Available Air Photography and Old Survey
Points for Accuracy Reference

Procedure: VTN Associates prepared a base map of the reservation for CH2M Hill.

The map does not cover the whole study area, and the aerial photography was done in 1973. Contours are at 5 ft., and cultural features are correctly positioned. The survey points can be transferred from this map to a new more up-to-date air photograph, thus allowing an updated map to be prepared with 5 ft. contours, with accurately positioned cultural information. A negative of the old photograph and the new aerial photograph would have to be made and sent to a mapping service. The map product would be reproduceable. Ken Clark of Clark and Associates of Port Angeles worked for VTM at the time of the mapping. He has the survey notes for establishing the survey control points. He indicated that he would coordinate the process of getting a basemap, if this is desired.

OPTION IV- Create New Map from New Aerial Photography

Procedure: Reset ground survey points. Hire aerial photography service to refly. Have new map made from this new photography. This is the most accurate and up-to-date alternative. It is possible to coordinate the flight with other agencies, thereby saving on flight costs.

## EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

MEASURE	OPTION I	OPTION II	OPTION III .	OPTION IV
UTILITY	Ok for compiling data, but too general for plan- ning or recording parcel records	OK for compiling data, but limited for planning	OK for any purpose	OK for any purpose
ACCURACY	Poor - No ground control. Topo- graphy very general at 20 ft. or 40 ft. intervals	Good, but no ground control. Topography very general and inaccurate.	very good. Able to map 5 ft. contours	very good. Able to map 5 ft. contou
SCALE	Any scale, but will be distorted. Present scales are 1:62,000 and 1:24,000	any scale but will be slightly distorted	any scale accuracy main-tained.	any scale. accuracy maintained
CURRENCY	topography and culture 40 yrs. old or topography 10 yrs. old and current culture	current culture old topography	current .	current
REPLIC- ABILITY	Map must be re- drafted to make reproduceable	Map must be redrafted	Yes	Yes
COST	Base map: \$50 Reproduceable: \$300-\$500	Base map: \$40 Reproduceable: \$300-\$500	Negatives: \$100 Locate Survey Points: \$300 *Mapping: \$1200	Survey: \$300 Flight: \$400 Map: \$1200

<sup>\*</sup>Mapping - 1:2,400 (1" = 200 ft.), inked reproduceable mylar.

Comments are provided in the summary of final recommendations.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING FRAMEWORK

The Framework outline is broken down into two major parts: Part 1 consists of the Environmental Data Framework Base. The major elements required for environmental land use planning, land use suitability analysis, and for an environmental atlas. The Environmental Data Framework elements are divided into the three categories of natural resources, hazards, and limitations, and unique or sensitive areas: The mappable products and their rating of importance are also listed below:

#### Part 1: Environmental Data Framework Base

A. <u>Natural Resources</u>: The economic well-being and quality of life depends largely on the manner in which natural resources are utilized and managed. The intent of this section is to identify, quantify, and map the major resources on the reservation, thus providing for a basis to develop and conduct management and related land use planning.

Element	Product	Importance Rating
	Maps of:	<ol> <li>Essential</li> <li>Highly desirable</li> <li>Beneficial</li> </ol>
Fisheries	Fish runs Tribal fishing sites (historic & current) /////// Potential aquaculture sites	. 1 . <b>2</b>
Soils: Agricultural	Prime agricultural soils	.1
Forestry/Vegetation	Forestry resources (existing or potential) Forestry community zones	
Minerals	Mineral resources	.2
Water: ground water	Available ground water resources	.2 .
Water: Surface	Watershed delineationLocation on basemap	
Geologic Features	Coastal, upland and streambed features	.1
Aesthetics	Views and Vistas	.2
Wildlife	Habitats & Corridors	.2
Climatic	Precipitation, wind direction, velocity	.2

#### B. Hazards and Limitations

Hazards: The purpose of this information is to recognize certain natural constraints for various degrees of human development where possible adverse impacts could result in major disaster to both life and property.

Limitations: the intent of this information is not to exclude any lands from consideration for development possibilities, but rather to illustrate the degree of ease or difficulty of any area being proposed for development with the tribal planning jurisdiction. This information is purely from a natural factor point of view:

Element			Products (map of:)	Importance Rating
Soils:	Shri	ic tank limitations nk-swell potential ion potential	Septic tank limitations Shrink-swell potential Bearing capacity Land fill sites Erosion potential	.2 .1 .1
Geologi	ea	ndslide potential rthquake potential ope limitations	Landslide potential  Earthquake/liquifaction  Slope limitations	.1
Hydrolo	gic:	flood plain surface flooding wave activity	Flood plain Surface flooding (ponding) Wave activity & damage sites	.2
Climati	c: S	torm/wind velocity	Wind velocity & areas of high susceptibility	.2

#### C. Sensitive/Unique Areas

These above-mentioned factors are important and necessary considerations in any environmental framework base for land use planning, particularly in so far as identifying areas most capable and suitable for growth and development to occur. But there are still other environmentally unique features that should be taken into consideration when developing a land use plan. In this subsection it is intended to examine, quantify, and identify unique features such as biotic life zones, and areas of extremely productive habitats, and areas of unique cultural and historical features, to enable deliberate planning to occur which can minimize detrimental impacts of proposed activities upon such features:

Element	<u>Products</u>	Importance Rating
Wildlife Habitats	Terrestrial habitats & ecological zones	.2
Habitats: Unique Species	Aquatic habitats & ecological zones	
Geologic Features	Unique & fragile geologic features	2
Culturally Unique Areas	Archeological sites, historic sites, & other cultural areas	s <b>.</b> 2

The remaining portion of this section contains a flow program depicting the interrelationships between the above-mentioned Framework elements and their potential use in an environmental land use scheme. The diagram is intended as a conceptual model to illustrate the complex and interdependent nature of environmental land use planning.

The next section following contains individual data element sheets for each of the 24 elements described above. These sheets assess the need for the information, available sources of information, desired products (and the availability of existing information to complete these products).

#### Part 2: Supportive Land Use Data Inventory Base

In order to develop a thorough land use plan, it is necessary to develop certain socio-economic information supplementary to the environmental framework elements. The development of this information was not, however, a task undertaken as part of this assessment, and therefore only a skeleton outline is provided with the sole intention to illustrate other non-environmental data which is basic for the development of a land use plan.

#### Element

#### Basemap

Map of Existing Land Uses

Map of Previously Proposed Land Uses

Map of Sewer and Water Systems, and Planned Extensions

Map of Land Ownership, Parcel Size: tabulated land values

Map of Circulation Systems

Tourism Activities: sites and significance

Population/Densities, Projected and Existing

Housing Needs, Existing Conditions

Tribal Facilities/2nd Services

Economic Factors

# Comprehensive Environmental Data Base

NATURAL RESOURCES  HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS  SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS  SUPPORTIVE 1. MATIONS	DATA BASE TOPIC OUTLINE	
FISHERIES  SOILS: AGRICULTURE  FORESTRY/VEGETATION  MINERALS  WATER: AQUIFERS & GROUNDWATER  CLIMATIC  CLIMATIC  SOILS: SEPTIC TANK LIMITATIONS  SOILS: SHRINK SWELL POTENTIAL  GEOLOGIC: LANDSLIDE POTENTIAL  HYDROLOGIC: SLOPE LIMITATION  HYDROLOGIC: STOPE LIMITATION  CLIMATE: STORM/WIND VELOCITY  WILDLIFE HABITATS  GEOLOGIC FEATURES  CULTURALLY UNIQUE AREAS  CULTURALLY UNIQUE AREAS	DATA BASE ELEMENTS	
Fish Runs  Pribal Fishing Sites (Historic & current)  Potential Agracultural Soils  Forestry Resources (existing & potential)  Forestry Resources (existing & potential)  Fineral Resources (existing & potential)  Forest Community Zones  Water Supplies  Facharge Areas  Water Supplies  Facharge Areas  Water Supplies  Frecipitation of Base Map  Coastal, Upland and Streambed Features  Shrink - Wet Potential  Frecipitation, Wind Direction/Velocity:  Generalized Soil Map  Frecipitation, Wind Direction/Velocity:  Farming Capacity  Frosion Potential  Land Fill Sites  Frosion Potential  Frosion Potential  Landslide Fotential  Floodplains  Surface Flooding (Ponding)  Surface Flooding (Ponding)  Wave Activity & Damage Sites  Floodplains  Floodplain	DATA BASE PRODUCTS	
ENVIRONMENTAL ATLAS SUITABILITY AWALYSIS LAND USE PLANNING	PRODUCT	

PART 1: ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY: x NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC: Fisheries
TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Information necessary to realize fis

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Information necessary to realize fisheries potential as primary tribal resource. Actual development of enhancement program and promotion of aquaculture is not directly related to land use planning. However, tribal plan should reflect values of these resources and regulate land in manner consistent with tribal council & community's goals, policies & values attached to these REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

resources.

-Idenfification of areas suitable for aquaculture;

-Identification of conflicts & compatabilities in use of aquacultural sites; -Determination of enhancement potentials of existing fish runs; -Identification of fish runs; -Spawning areas; -Tribal fishing locations: historic & current; -Information on relationship between healthy fisheries & varying degrees of water quality; Impact

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

of logging practices & other watershed management practices on water quality of fisheries.

Information used as indication of fisheries potential, indication of optimal use of land for resource exploration, conservancy protection adjacent to streams & other water bodies.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

-Tribal members

-Wash. Environmental Atlas

-State Fisheries Dept.

-Faculty at Peninsula College Fisheries Program

-Indian Fisheries Biologist, BIA -Corp. of Engineers special studies

-State Game Dept.

-DNR: Univ. of Wash. Baseline study,
/Sequim

PRODUCTS:

text: Discussion of land uses and
charts: fisheries conflicts

mapping: Aquaculture potential sites, fish runs,

tribal fishing sites: historic and current.

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Data available on species and seasonality but no data found which identifies information unique to Quileute shoreline and river system.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Information on habitat, spawning areas, etc. must be provided through on-site special study. Corp. of Engineers has study in progress to be completed in 1981. Staff of Quiluete Indian fisheries program should be consulted prior to any description or mapping of resources.

PART 1:	ENVIRO	NMENTAL :	DATA	INVENT	ORY	BASE	
CATEGORY	x	NATURAL	RESC	OURCES	(opp	ortuniti	es)

\_\_\_\_ NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS

SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS

TOPIC: Soils: Agricultural Potential

#### TOPIC DESCRIPTION:

To identify land areas which have potential for agricultural productivity. Represents soils that are capable of economically efficient production of crops; to determine potential for tribal food production. Soil properties, drainage and slope, and growing season are considered in this classification.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

- -Inventory and mapping of productive agricultural soils by type, amount, location. Information needed from soils map.
- -Map of existing farms by size, type, location.
- -Ownership.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Planning based on soils information provides the most sensitive monitor of the lands response to man's use of the land. Identification of agric. resources enables tribal jursidiction to deliberately plan for the maximum utilization of tribal natural resources.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

- -Soil Conservation Service
- -County Extension Service
- -BIA
- -Clallam Co. Planning Dept.

-NW River Basin Commission,

Comprehensive study of water and

land related resources.

PRODUCTS: text: Description

charts: Tabulation of amount, ownership

mapping: Prime agricultural lanes

INFORMATION AVAILABILITY: Existing soil information not suitable (1938 survey); update necessary. Aerial photographs of various years: 1971 to present will provide farming information. Soil interpretations are available and once soil types are identified, then suitability analysis can be completed. Records of soil borings available on reservation which can be used to varify exist-ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: /ing soil information. Regional soil /characteristics available, cut reserva-

/characteristics available, cut reserva-/tion scale data insufficient.

Dept. of Natural Resources presently involved in soil survey update for Clallam County. Possible to interview tribal members to determine interest and success in farming and/or gardening.

PART 1:	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	: x NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC: _	Forestry/Vegetation
ጥሰው፤ሮ ኮፑ	SCRIDTION.

The purpose of this information is to assess resource value of forestry and potential for domestic and export use, and to assess management potentials. Also assess potential for energy selfsufficiency.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Land ownership of forest lands, parcel size Map of forest soils productivity potential Timber cruise or aerial photo interpretation of existing stands Preferred areas of timber cutting by tribal members

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Relationship of forestry resource to overall economy of tribe.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Timber management plans of US Forest Service and DNR

DNR LANDSAT interpretation USFS DNR photo interpretation

Clallam County Forester Univ. studies: WWU

Nat'l Park Service (plans & reports)

PRODUCTS: text: Discussion of economic viability of tribal

charts: Type Worth /management of forest

mapping: Forest Resource Forest /products

Community Zones (potentials & existing)

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Aerial photos available that can be interpreted. No on-site investigation which we are aware of at utilizable detail. Forest type zones mapped at regional scale under broad classifications, not relevant for reservation assessment.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Recommend talking to BIA Forestry to see if on-site timber assessment has been done; if not, recommend timber cruise done. May be useful to contact DNR and private timber companies to determine economic viability of indigenous forest types.

PART 1:	ENVIRO	MENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	/: <u>x</u>	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
		NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Minerals	

Identification of mineral resources including sand and gravel deposits, stone quarries, mines, and other minerals such as coal deposits, etc.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Geologic study and location and type of minerals located on site.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Identification and utilization of mineral resources for local consumption in tribal development and exportation of resources; development of industry.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

- -DNR, Division of mines and geology, bulletin & maps
- -USGS and geologic maps
- -Regional reports: Pacific NW River Basins Commission

PRODUCTS: text: D

ext: Description

charts:

mapping: Location of minerals

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

No references sufficiently detailed to evaluate on-site conditions. Do not believe any attempt has been made to assess potential mineral wealth on reservation.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

No economic minerals noted on site from references. Interpretations of geologic map necessary to determine if sand and gravel deposits might be expected. Contact Corp. of Engineers to determine if analysis is available of dredged materials. Detailed soil survey will provide additional information to assess mineral potential.

# 

TOPIC: Water: Aquafer & Groundwater

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Identification of available groundwater for potential and existing use for domestic, commercial & industrial water supply. Identification of location of groundwater storage and analysis of quantity and quality of resource. Identification of salt water intrusion problem areas. Location of springs and natural seeps.

REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS: Groundwater survey and analysis Well log data Salt water intrusion Soil map and permeability calculations

RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: Contribution to known water supply servicing population and activities of tribe. Analysis of water supply capacity. Groundwater yields can generally be associated with particular types of geological formations. Three classes of expected groundwater yields developed by USGS and DNR: 600+ gpm, 35-600 gpm, and 0-35 gpm. Expected uses small to large subdivision, 1 house to small subdivision, and one to several houses respectively.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: Info. can be used to protect recharge areas -Dept. of Ecology & USGS /to maintain supply.

presently in process of developing groundwater study.

-Indian Health Service; -Northern Olympic Coastal Basin Water Quality Management Plan; -NW River Basins Commission; -Soil Tests (Boring Sites) for on-site construction; -Tribal members.

PRODUCTS: text: Description

charts: Quality, quantity analysis, water supply
mapping: \* /capacity at well sites.

\*Areas of resource potential recharge areas.

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Expected completion of DOE/USGS groundwater study is 3 years (1981). Depth to groundwater implied from several on-site soil boring tests.

Timing: Only data available on regional level, not relevant for reservation planning.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Water service available from centralized source. Presume this is adequate. Development occurring away from water lines would require that groundwater resource be evaluated by on-site survey. New soil report and interpretation from geologic map may provide data to imply groundwater resource information.

PART 1:	ENVIRO	MENTAL I	DATA II	VVENTOR	Y BASE	-
CATEGORY	′: <u>x</u>	NATURAL	RESOU	RCES (o	pportunit	ies)
		NATURAL	HAZARI	DS AND	LIMITATIO	NS
		SENSITIV	VE/UNI	QUE ARE	CAS	
TOPIC: _	Water: R	ivers and	Streams			

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Documentation of significant surface drainage channels, streams, creeks and rivers. Information to exist on all base maps. Detailed information on intermittant river and stream location, quantity flow and water quality, discussion of existing and proposed land uses in watershed which could have adverse effect on river system.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Current aerial photographs depicting all streams, intermittant and annual flow. Water quality testing station reports and reports of quality, quantity on site. Also surface run-off characteristics.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Information basic to orientation of human activities and overall land use planning. Future shoreline inventory dependent upon baseline information.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

USGS monitoring stations; Fairholm EPA water quality station; Forks

North Olympia Coastal Basin Water Quality Management Plan Dept. of Fisheries: a catalogue of Wash. Streams & Salmon Utilization NW River Basins Commission; Local Studies: Corps. of Engineers PRODUCTS:

text:

quality & quantity

mapping: on base map; sub-basins on reservation

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Basin info on water quality and quantity comes from station far up river not adequately appropriate within reservation. Spawning streams are identified but not specific spawning areas within them. Topographic mapping not at suitable interval to determine surface runoff flow direction or identify small surface channels.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Corp. of Engineers plans comprehensive study to be completed by 1981. If adequate base map is developed with small contour interval information on surface, runoff can be interpreted.

PARI 1:	FNATKOL	WENTAL I	JAIA J	INAFNI	URY	BASE	
CATEGORY:	<u>x</u>	NATURAL	RESO	URCES	(opp	ortuniti	es)
		NATURAL	HAZA	RDS AN	ID LI	MITATION	S
		SENSITI	VE/UN	IQUE A	REAS	}	

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: To develop a map of geologic features for reservation with interpretation suitable for land use planning. Geologic features have distinguishing natural characteristics such as form, composition and fragility. These characteristics can be assessed and a determination made of resource opportunity.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

- -Geologic study of region with geologic data suitable at reservation scale.
- -Site specific geologic survey of reservation.
- -Interpretive information of survey for land use planning.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Identification of basic geologic features and interpretation for land use planning purposes will add the process of determining land use capability of reservation, including the identification of geologic and physiographic opportunities and constraints for tribal development.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

TOPIC: \_Geologic Features

USGS geologic maps Dept. of Ecology Coastal Zone informa-

Aerial photographs tion

University studies Clallam Co. Shoreline Master-Program

and Inventory

PRODUCTS: text: Description & interpretation of geology of

charts: /site & vicinity

mapping: Map of site specific geologic features

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

No on-site data exists. Regional studies treat the topic too lightly to be of significance. Data does exist to do the interpretations if followed up with on-site survey.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Aerial photographic interpretation and on-site survey necessary to provide accurate mapping.

PART 1:	ENVIROR	NMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY:	<u>x</u>	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	·	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	<del></del>	SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Aesthetic	CS

This section should attempt to document the locations of areas possessing extremely favorable views and vistas throughout the reservation. To enhance the appreciation and awareness of exceptional scenic resources of the reservation.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Type of views and vistas, direction, extent, and location. Field survey necessary.

The documentation of this RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: information can allow for tribal jurisdiction to best identify location of exceptionally scenic resources & ensure the integration of future development compatable with respect to resource preservation & optimal utilization. Furthermore, this information can be effective in future open space and park plans, and minimize conflicts with National Park wilderness activities.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Site Survey
Discussions with tribal members
Regional reports
NPS reports & personnel

PRODUCTS: text:

charts:

mapping: Views and vistas

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Regional studies indicate many potential sites. No information exists on reservation scale.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Information not documented although subjective survey available upon completion of fieldwork. Interview with tribal members will provide useful supplement to this information.

PART 1:	ENVIRON	IMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY:	<u> </u>	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
		NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC.	Wildlife	

Identification of wildlife habitats and corridors, description of species, ecological zones; relationship to reservation.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Identification of flora (forest & cover) identification of fauna (by species & habitat requirements) identify ecological community relationships; identification of unique flora & fauna; identification of species & habitat requirements of endangered species. Explore man's past, present & future relationship upon ecological web including nutrient & energy flows from different food chains on RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: & near tribe. Identify positive & negative impacts. The identification, quantification, and actual location of wildlife, their

habitats & corridors through the planning process will enable tribal jurisdiction to deliberately plan to minimize the impacts of proposed activities upon such habitats & corridors, and encourage greater recognition of wildlife habitat as important resources.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

State Dept. of Game Wash. State Environmental Atlas University studies Tribal members interview Regional reports & special studies

PRODUCTS: text:

Description of wildlife species & habitat charts: /importance mapping: Map of wildlife habitat & corridors

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Regional reports indicate many types of wildlife inhabit site. Environment is diverse and mostly unspoiled providing a very good habitat for many species. Very few studies actually document occurrence of species on reservation.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

All pertinent agencies and persons should be contacted to see whether they have site specific information. This includes tribal members. Detailed cover type analysis would provide added useful information.

PART 1:	ENVIROR	IMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY:	<u>x</u>	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
		NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC: _c	Climate	

Documentation of area precipitation, temperature, wind direction/velocity, fog occurrence, frost occurrence, growing season and solar radiation.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Tabulated climate data for the topics listed above with averages for a number of years and extreme values.

RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: Information to provide background support data to other planning elements included in Nat'l. Resources & Hazards and Limitations sections. Information can be used for future consideration of alternative energy sourcesas in case of solar, wave and wind energy resources and used to assist design of new structures.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Coastguard data; Dr. Critchfield, geog. WWU State Climatologist; State of Washington Climatological Data NOAA; WSU College of Agriculture; Wash. Climate for Clallam County

PRODUCTS:

text: De

Descriptive climactic condition

charts: Charts of above: average, extreme

mapping: Possibly precip. map may be helpful for

region wind direction & velocity

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Information adequately available for regional analysis but very little data exists for reservation from published documents.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Contact Coastguard for any on-reservation data. Regional data is suitable if on-site data is not available. It can be interpolated for on-site application.

PART 1:	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	: NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	x NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Soils: Septic Tank Limitations

Soil texture, composition, and depth to water table are the primary factors for this evaluation. The determination is of areas capable for functioning as septic tank filter fields servicing tribal residential needs.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

- -Detailed soil survey.
- -Interpretive information on soil engineering properties. Each soil type can be rated according to above properties. The soil type boundaries then are mapped resulting in map of suitability.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

- -An indicator for carrying capacity of planning area.
- -Spatial delineation of acceptable areas to develop without sewer service.
- -Restrictions of septic tanks in areas that would threaten fisheries resources or pose health hazard.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

- -Soil survey, IHS, and Quileute tribal records.
- -CH2M report on sewer service for LaPush (very general).
- -Interpretive information.
- -Miscellaneous on-site soil borings.

#### PRODUCTS: text;

charts:

mapping: Map of septic tank limitations

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

SCS soil survey is old and not accurate at this scale of concern. Soil borings do not cover whole reservation. Regional studies not suitable.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

A sophisticated and up to date soil survey is needed to interpret these soil features. The SCS has listed 6 criteria to rate land ability to accommodate properly working drainfields: 1) performance records of existing drainfields; 2) permeability; 3) depth to bedrock or impervious surface (clog); 4) flooding; 5) soil slope; and 6) seasonal and annual ground water levels.

PART 1:	ENVIRON	MENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY		NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	. <u>x</u>	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS

TOPIC: Soil: Shrink Swell Potential & Bearing Capacity

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Soil texture and compsosition are the basic factors evaluated. The property of a soil resulting in expansion or contraction due to changes in water content or temperature is the potential problem. High shrink-swell potential represents a high potential problem for urban structures in such locations.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Detailed soil survey; soil interpretive information

Location of man-made fill areas.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Unstable soils may pose physical limitation for particular development proposals. Base line information on location and interpretation of such unstable soils necessary prior to the development of regulatory guidelines/policies in respect to these areas.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Soil Conservation Service: Soil Survey

PRODUCTS: text: Description of limitation for urban

charts: /development

mapping: Areas of high shrink-swell potential,

low bearing capacity, land fill areas.

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Soil survey is old and not accurate at this scale. Soil borings and engineering tests do not cover whole reservation. No other data is available.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

A sophisticated and up-to-date soil survey is needed to interpret these soil features.

PART 1:	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	: NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	x NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Soils: Erosion Potential

Slope and texture of soil particles and proximity to erosion agents constitute the basic factors considered, the serenity of the hazard of soil eroding rapidly and presenting problems for urban development as well as the natural environment is the primary concern.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

- -Soil Survey
- -Interpretation information from soil survey
- -Historical evidence of erosion
- -On-site survey of conditions

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Several of the methods of erosion prevention include: (1) Land use planning to protect highly erodible soils from extensive excavation from urban development, and (2) regulation requiring land treatment and structural measures to minimize erosion and sedimentation at individual construction sites and maintenance of vegetation cover. Erosion leads to depletion of topsoil resource & sedimentation of SOURCES OF INFORMATION: /wetlands.

Soil Survey Historic maps and air photographs On-site investigations Regional watershed reports

PRODUCTS: text: Description of erosion conditions and

charts: /severe areas.
mapping: Of areas with severe erosion potential.

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Soil Survey is old and not accurate at this scale Regional data is inappropriate Topographic information is not detailed enough for analysis

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

See comments from Agricultural: Resources section. Accelerated erosion predominantly by urban development and agric. practices. With erosion there is an accellerated discharge of natural pollutants, principally mineral soil and organic matter. Eutrophication of water bodies, built up sedimentation, and destruction of hillsides are three examples of adverse impacts caused by erosion. A sophisticated and up-to-date soil survey is needed to interpret these soil features. Suggest on-site investigation of eroding access.

PART 1:	ENVIRON	IMENTAL I	DATA INVENT	TORY BASE
CATEGORY:		NATURAL	RESOURCES	(opportunities)
	. <u>X</u>	NATURAL	HAZARDS A	ND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIV	VE/UNIQUE	AREAS

Soil type, underlying geology, and slope are the basic factors considered. The potential of an area to slide is due to the degree of slope and the inability of the soil to resist presents the potentially hazardous conditions for urban structures to locate in these areas.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

TOPIC: Geologic: Landslide Potential

- -slope map
- -map depicting areas of previous landslides
- -geologic map of unstable features and slopes.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

It is necessary to recognize areas of landslide potential as a natural constraint for certain types of land uses, as hazardous conditions may develop.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

-Miscellaneous regional description

of geology

-USGS topographic maps

-DNR aerial photo's & interpretations

-USGS geologic maps -DNR division of mines and geology maps

-Consultant reports, Ind. recent report on landslide on reservation

near landfill area.

PRODUCTS:

text:

Description & History of Landslide in Area

charts:

mapping: AReas of Landslide Potential

INFORMATION AVAILABILITY: Topographic maps are too general for useful slope analysis. Geologic maps are not interpreted for planning or hazard identification. Regional descriptions are unsuitable. Soil data is too old and not interpreted for this purpose. On-site surveys were designed to evaluate individual slide areas. Do not estimate potential for slides reservation-wide.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

- -Need on-site or aerial photographic interpretation reconnaissance. Need advice of geologist or soil scientist to interpret geology and soils data for landslide susceptability analysis.
- -Two categories of landslide include 1) known landslides through historic evidence; and 2) potential landslides, depending on slope, angle, and underlying material.

PART 1:	ENVIRON	MENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY		NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	. <u>x</u>	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Geologic:	Earthquake Potential

Includes tudy of natural fault displacement areas, areas of potential high ground shaking, and liquifaction areas. All three potential for natural disaster.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Soil feature, composition, and water content are the basic factors. This, in conjunction with proximity to areas of ground movement, much can be deduced from past occurrence and soil analysis.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Information on natural hazardous areas provide indication for natural constraint of certain types of development. Baseline idenfitication necessary prior to implementation of regulatory guidelines/policies to insure maximum protection of public health, safety, and welfare.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

State Dept. of Emergency Services U.S. Geologic Survey Soil Survey

Historical Records

PRODUCTS: text: Past occurrence

charts:

mapping: Earthquake/Liquifaction potential.

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

No information was encountered on this subject. Geologic maps exist which might be interpreted by geologist. Soil survey is old and does not contain this information.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Responsible officials and agencies must be sought and interviewed. Updated soil survey will provide useful information on relevent soil conditions.

PART 1:	ENVIRON	IMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	<b>/:</b>	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	. <u>x</u>	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Geologic:	Slope Limitation

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Represents a potential limitation on urban development. Areas with topography in excess of approx. 16% grade (14.4%) can create potential problems for urban structures. Slope in and of itself may not create a total limitation to development; however, in combination with other factors such as soil characteristics, drainage, and groundcover, slope can create a hazardous situa-REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS: tion at that location or adjacent thereto.

Topographic map with suitable contours (5') can be integrated and slope ranges calculated and mapped. Map of steep slope areas; suggested 4 categories of 0-8%, 8-16%, 16-30%, over 30%.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Natural topo-raphy of an area plays an instrumental role in determining optimal locations for various types and densities of land uses.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

USGS topography mapping DNR aerial photo and interpretation Previous reservation planning studies

PRODUCTS: text:

charts: Slope analysis mapping: Slope limitations

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Topographic maps that are now available are not suitable for accurate slope analyses. Soil survey data is too general and out-dated.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Detailed slope analysis must await completion of base map. Soil survey information will provide useful supplement.

PART 1:	ENVIROR	IMENTAL I	DATA	INVENT	TORY BASE
CATEGORY		NATURAL	RESC	URCES	(opportunities)
·	. <u>X</u>	NATURAL	HAZA	ARDS A	ND LIMITATIONS
	***************************************	SENSITI	VE/UN	NIQUE A	AREAS
TOPIC:	Hydrologi	c Conditio	ns:	Floodpl	ains

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Map of 100 yr. and 10 yr. floodplain is desirable. The Army Corps of Engineers defines areas of overflow from river banks according to probability of occurrence. Chance

of being exceeded each year.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Detailed mapping of surface waterways, including thin floodways, channels, and fl-odplains of at least a 100 yr. frequency, including tidal influences, also historical data on flood frequencie and extent of previous flooding.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Flooding potentials represent a natural constraint for certain types of development due to risk to life and property. Flooding presents potential for major hazardous damage to both life and property. Floodplains also provide habitats for wildlife.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Dept. of Housing & Urban Development; Flood insurance maps. North Olympic Coastal Basin Water Quality Management Plan; Previous land use studies of reservation; tribal members: historical data; tribal archives: historical data

PRODUCTS: text: Description of floodplains & historical

charts: /occurrence data.

mapping: Floodplain map

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Data which exists from previous studies is not documented. It is impossible to know how accurate it is, and therefore its utility is questionable. Regional studies are not appropriate. No data exists on coastal flooding.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Data must be checked to determine its source and reliability. Must be necessary to do engineering calculations. Also possible to survey residents to determine extent of historical floods from memory.

PART 1:	ENVIRON	IMENTAL I	DATA INVE	ENTORY	BASE	
CATEGORY		NATURAL	RESOURCE	ES (op	portunitie	es)
	. <u>X</u>	NATURAL	HAZARDS	AND L	IMITATIONS	3
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS				

TOPIC: Hydrologic Conditions: Surface Flooding (Ponding)

#### TOPIC DESCRIPTION:

Identification of wet soils, surface depressions, bogs, and other areas presenting potential for intermittent surface water ponding.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

- -Soils information
- -Topographic information
- -Hydrologic/Drainage on-site study
- -Historical occurrence into tribe

can be used to locate areas of surface flooding or ponding for placement on map.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Identification of areas subject to ponding present limitation for development because of potential seasonal flooding of basements and damage to foundations, involving individual and perhaps tribal expenditures. Such areas should be designated for appropriate land uses.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

-SCS soil survey

-Aerial photographs

- -Interpretive data
- -Interview tribal members

PRODUCTS: text:

Description of surface flooding features

charts:

and historical data

mapping: Map of surface flooding and ponding

potential

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Soil survey is not suitable for this purpose. Topographic maps would provide some of this data. Aerial photographs, if taken during wet season, would be suitable.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Need updated and more accurate soil survey and aerial photography or get information from tribal members.

PART 1:	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	: NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	× NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TODIC	Hydrologic Conditions: Wave Activity & Drainage

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: Identification of coastal areas previously subjected to high magnitudinal wave activity, including location of cliffs, caves, sinkholes, slides, blowholes, and other coastal geologic features. Documentation of tsunami and storm surge conditions and historical areas of damage or erosion.

#### REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

- -Beach survey
- -Climatic Data on Storm Surge
- -Historic Data on Tsunami

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

Identification of coastal lands subject to rapid shoreline deterioration posing hazardous conditions for certain uses. Hazardous zones should be identified and appropriate criteria developed relating land use activities to identified areas. Potential areas of aesthetic views and scenery.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

- -State Dept. of Emergency Services
- -Coastal Impact Studies
- -Park Service reports
- -Regional shoreline & environmental studies
- -Corp. of Engineer Reports

PRODUCTS: text: Description of Potential Hazards

charts:

mapping: Map of wave activity/damage potential

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Corps of Engineers has some data on harbor. Regional data is inappropriate.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Need to interview responsible officials and reservation residents. On-site coastal survey investigation required.

PART 1: E	NVIRON	IMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY:		NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	<u>x</u>	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
		SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC: Cl	imate:	Storm/Wind Velocity

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: This information will be used to evaluate the hazardous occurrence probability to life and property due to high wind velocity, and the identification of areas highly susceptible to direct damage from high wind velocities.

## REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Historic data on major storms and velocities.

Historic documentation of damage from storms.

Wind data from local sources.

RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: Information on high wind velocity storms can prepare reservation to better mitigate future damage through suggested provisions on architectural and building standards to withstand storms, as well as site location to reduce chance of significant damage. The planning of other facilities, such as location of roads and public buildings would also benefit from hazardous areas identification.

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

- -State Dept. of Emergency Services
- -County Dept. of Emergency Services
- -Tribal Archives

- -Coast Guard station records
- -Miscellaneous reports on storms and weather conditions
- -Interview with tribal members

PRODUCTS: text: Historic description

charts:

mapping: Map of storm-wind hazard zones

## INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Data available from Coast Guard. No data sources were located with this data at a level of sophistication that could be used for reservation planning.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Data would have to be obtained from interviews with responsible officials and tribal historians.

PART 1:	ENVIRO	NMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGOR	Y:	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
		NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	<u> </u>	SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Wildlife	Habitats

# TOPIC DESCRIPTION:

Mapping and description of terrestrial and aquatic habitats and their ecological zones. Information should not be limited to identification of reservation but should be regional and identifying specific sites on reservation.

## REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Identification of fragile, unique, or sensitive habitats of valued wildlife. Can be inferred from land cover analysis and regional reconnaissance.

RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: The identification, quantification, and location of terrestrial and aquatic habitats and ecological zones through the planning process will allow tribal jurisdiction to deliberately plan to minimize impacts of proposed activities upon such features and cause deliberate recognition of these unique resources.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: Regional Environ. Studies

Air photography

PRODUCTS:

State Fisheries Dept.

Wash. Environ. Atlas

Sierra Club/Audubon Society Army Corps of Engineers study

University Research State Game Dept.

Description, quantification & identifica-

text: charts:

tion of wildlife habitats

mapping: Mapping of location

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Regional studies provide general description of habitat but not in enough detail for mapping on reservation. No on-site studies exist that delineate habitats, though some descriptive information is available from Corps of Engineers on marine habitats.

### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Some analysis is possible from analysis and interpretation of aerial photography. Soils information can also be used to some extent. Field survey and interview would probably be needed to provide sufficient data.

PARI I:	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	: NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	x SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS

TOPIC: Habitats of Culturally Valued or Endangered Species

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: To identify the habitats of wildlife species with historic significance to tribal culture and of national significance as recognized through the State and National Endangered Species Register.

REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS: Identification of species of historic and cultural significance to tribe. Identification of species of state and national significance, list of endangered or rare species. Identification of habitats associated with these species. Specific detail needed on nesting sites, animal habits, seasonal occurrence, etc.

RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: The identification, qualification, and location of habitats of culturally valued or endangered species through the planning process will allow tribal jurisdiction to deliberately plan to minimize impacts of proposed activities upon such habitats and cause deliberate recognition of a unique resource.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: -Contact with tribal members

-Wash. Coastal Atlas

-Wash. Environmental Atlas

-Wash. Marine Atlas

-State Game Dept.

-State Fisheries Dept.

-Sierra Club

-Audobon Society

-Research from various colleges

and universities

PRODUCTS: Description, qualifications of species

charts: and identification of habitats

mapping: Mapping of habitats

#### INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Generalized information exists on required scale indicating importance of LaPush area for various species. Reports have been done on shore mammals and eagle activity.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

All pertinent agencies and persons should be contacted to see whether they have site specific information. This includes interview with tribal members.

PART 1:	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	X SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS
TOPIC:	Geologic Features

# TOPIC DESCRIPTION:

Fossil collection locations and environmentally sensitive physiographic features such as coastal spits; estuaries, stacks etc.

## REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Location of these features - analysis is possible from aerial photography but should be supplemented with field investigation.

#### RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING:

The identification, quantification, and location of unique geologic features through the planning process will allow tribal jursidation to deliberately plan to minimize impacts of proposed activities upon such features and cause deliberate recognition of unique resources

# SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Personal contact with tribal members; DNR - geology; Geologic reports and mapping; Wash. Envir. Atlas; University research; Aerial photographs; Regional Environ. studies.

PRODUCTS: text: Description of geologic feature

charts:

mapping: Map of feature location

## INFORMATION AVAILABILITY:

Regional information provides useful background data and explanation. No studies have been done to identify these features on site.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

Aerial photograph interpretation and field reconnaissance needed. This can be supplemented with interview of tribal members and University and agency personnel.

PART 1:	ENVIRONMENTAL DATA INVENTORY BASE
CATEGORY	NATURAL RESOURCES (opportunities)
	NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS
	x SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS

TOPIC: Culturally Unique Areas

TOPIC DESCRIPTION: To identify culturally unique areas including archeological sites, significant historical sites, and unique cultural sites for the continued preservation of tribal cultural heritage, increased cultural awareness amongst tribal members and their offspring, and for the economic potentials through tourism enhancement problems.

REQUIRED INFORMATION OR ANALYSIS:

Locational identification and documentation of archeological sites, significant historic sites, and unique cultural sites.

RELATIONSHIP TO LAND USE PLANNING: The documentation and locational identification of culturally unique areas through the planning process will allow tribe to deliberately plan to minimize impacts of proposed activities on such features, preserve heritage, encourage appreciation of unique resources, and, if desired, enhance tribal economy through increased tourism activities.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Tribal archives; Personal contact with tribal members; State historian; Bureau of Indian Affairs; Archeological and Anthropological investigation of tribe; and Regional planning studies.

PRODUCTS: text: Historic documentation

charts:

mapping: Mapping of culturally unique areas

INFORMATION AVAILABILITY: Regional reports indicate high potential for finding archeological sites. Univ. of Wash. Bureau of Public Archeology has documented one large and significant site, and is studying this further. LaPush has been nominated for inclusion on national record of historical places. Much information exists, but it has not been systematically analyzed or mapped. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

The recording of this information would require interview with tribal members and researchers doing investigations on the reservation.

# DATA SURVEY AND RELATED FORMS:

The data survey performs two important functions. These are: (1) to document the availability and adequacy of data for planning and (2) to provide the tribe with a description of information resources that can be consulted in the future. It is necessary to document sources of secondary data because the costs of generating primary data are often too great, and which may result in duplication of information already available. The assumption is made that if adequate secondary data exists in appropriate form, it will be used. In order to determine if the data is appropriate, it must be examined and critically evaluated. The data survey form accomplishes this purpose and also provides descriptive information about the reference. The data contained in the reference is not summarized. This is a task of data transfer, not survey and evaluation.

Over 50 potential sources of data about the reservation's resources were examined. Each time data was encountered which in some way described the reservation's land area, the information was recorded on a DATA SURVEY FORM. The form describes how the data was presented. (For example whether the data was mapped or in narrative form.) The form also describes data scale, level of detail, and how the data is classified. It also indicates the general geographic coverage of the data. Finally, the data is evaluated for its utility for planning at the reservation level. Comments on the potential utility of the data are provided to suit its future use.

The data sheets can be used by the tribe to determine which documents contain data that is useful for examining particular planning concerns with a certain degree of detail. The sheets can then be used to identify the data that might be mapped, when a suitable basemap is available. If unmapped, the data sheets provide an easy means of locating data on the subject of concern.

For quick reference, a data sheet summary is provided. Each "X" represents a data survey sheet. The summary may be used to locate references topically, or to determine the topical coverage of any document.

Note: Data Survey sheets are provided under seperate cover as "Appendix B".

## ENVIRONMENTAL DATA NEEDS ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

This table provides the basis for determining the feasibility of producing the products of the environmental data needs inventory. It is also a summary of the suitability of existing and available data for completion of this project.

Each product that has been determined to be useful for a comprehensive environmental data base is listed. This list comes from the "Comprehensive Data Inventory Base" assessment sheets. From the "Data Survey Forms", it is determined if the data to complete the product is: (1) available; (2) available by interpretation from source data; or (3) not available. If the data to complete the product is available, its source is indicated. In each case, the method that must be used to produce the product is listed. A judgment is then made which suggests whether it is possible to produce the desired product without additional specialized environmental studies. The basis for this determination, and in some cases the ways that substitute data might be provided, are included in the "comments" column.

It is readily seen, that though many possible sources of information were surveyed, very little information is available to do an environmentally based land use suitability analysis. This analysis is supported by the data survey sheets provided in the appendix.

				•					
E. WATER (Ground)  1. Resource Potential Map	D. MINERALS  1. Mineral Resources	2. Forest Com- munity Zones	C. FORESTRY/VEGETATION 1. Forestry Resources	B. SOILS  1. Prime Agri- cultural Soils	3. Fish Runs	2. Potential Aqua- culture Sites	I. MATURAL RESOURCES A. FISHERIES 1. Tribal Fishing Sites	Data Element	Defin Meed
			•		3			Form	මුමේ
м <sub>а</sub> р в	Hap p	₽. 100	Map Chart	Мар	Мар	Мар	Map	1	
Regional or Site	Site	Site	Site Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Scale	
								YES	Ava
		*	×	*				w/interpretation	Availability
*	×		*	]	×	×	*	МО	ţ
None	None	Aerial photographs LANDSAT Data - Bendix Corp.	Aerial Photographs LANDSAT Data - Bendix Corporation. None	Soil survey of Clailam County, 1951.	None	Nane	None	Source	
Soil survey, geological data, and well records can provide suitable data to map areas or depths where water may be available.	Interpretation from detailed soil and geologic maps. Interview with tribal members.	Interpretation of aerial photography.	Interpretation of aerial photo- graphy and/or field study. Field study (timber cruise)	Interpretation from soil survey using interpretation tables.	Field survey.	Potential suitability can be inferred from water quality and geological analysis.	Interview with tribal members.	Method of Interpretation∬Analysis	wewssessy
No	₹	8	80 80	Yes	No	No	Yes	ľ	$\rightleftharpoons$
Will require prior studies to obtain necessary hydrological and geological data.	A thorough assessment of mineral potential would need study by geologist. Interview with tribal members could substitute in lieu of actual geological survey.	Interpretation needed by competent air photo interpreter.	Interpretation needed by competent air photo interpreter.  Would need timber cruise by forester to obtain data on type/quantity/ worth.	Data is old and boundaries not exact. New soil survey would provide better level of analysis, but old survey is sufficient.	Must be done by fisheries biologist. Perhaps tribe can provide technical data. Corps of Engineers' study is to provide this data by 1981.	Will require prior studies to obtain necessary hydrological and geological data which is not now available. May be provided by Corps of Engineers' study, to be completed in 1981.	Must interview tribal members.	can be produced  Comments	

\*Without additional studies.

		. •	· .					•	
2. Wind Direction and Velocity	H. CLIMATE  1. Precipitation	6. GEOLOGIC FEATURES  1. Coastal and Upland Features	3. Quality/ Quantity	2. Watershed Boundaries	F. WATER (Surface) 1. Location or Base Map	3. Water Suply (Quality/ Quantity)		Data Element Fo	Defig Need
Hap	Map	<b>₹</b>	Chart	Map	Мар	Chart	Map	Form	<u></u>
Regional and Site	Regional and Site	Site	Chart Regional and Site	Regional and Site	Site	Site	Site	Scale	
					×			YES	Avai
×	×	×		×		×		u/interpretatio	Availability
		Æ	X None	≣ 55 A	70 CS A6	-	×	Ю	. <del>''</del>
		Aerial photographs.	ne	Aerial photography. USGS and DNR topographic mapping.	Aerial photography. USGS and DNR topographic mapping.	Indian Health Service.	Soil survey.	Source	
Local conditions implied from regional maps. May be werified by Coast Guard data.	Local conditions implied from regional maps. May be verified by Coast Guard data.	May be identified from aerial photography and verified by site inspection.	Field survey.	May be identified from topo- graphic maps or aerial photographs.	May be identified from topo- graphic base maps or aerial photographs.	Hell records contain this information. The well sites would have to be located, and the records interpreted by hydrologists.	Interpreted from soil survey interpretation tables.	Method of Interpretation//Analysis	Assessment
Ύes	· Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Ϋ́es	¥ o		1
Not completely accurate, but accuracy is not essential for this feature.	Not completely accurate, but accuracy is not essential for this feature.	Will require verification by persons knowledgeable of geologic features. May desire coastal geologists to do shoreline work.	Will require special studies to obtain necessary hydrological and chemical data. May be provided by Corps of Engineers in study to be completed in 1981.			Information is available for existing wells. A reservation-wide analysis would require individual well records to be evaluated in conjunction with geology by hydrologists. Well records are in the office of the Indian Health Service and Department of Ecology. It is not known how complete the records are.	Old soil survey is not suitable. Must have new, more site specific survey information.	can be produced  Comments	

										f	
	5. Soil - Erosion Potential	4. Soft - Land- fill Areas	3. Soil - Bearing Strength	2. Soil - Shrink Swell	A. SOILS  1. Soil-septic tank limitation	II. NATURAL HAZAROS AND LIMITATIONS	J. AESTHETICS 1. Views and Vistas	I. WILDLIFE  1. Habitats and Corridors	I. NATURAL RESOURCES  3. Precipitation, temperature, weather items, etc.	Data Element Form	
	Map	Мар	Мар	<b>₩</b>	Map		Мар	Мар	Chart	1	ē
	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site		Site	Regional Site	Chart Regional	Scale	
								*	×	YES	UVal
		×								w/interpretation	Avaiiabiiity
	×	340 33	×	×	×		*	× EOAECA		NO .	
	None	Historical aerial photo- graphs. Miscellaneous reports.	None	None	None		None	Aerial photographs. LANDSAT data. Washington Environmental Atlas. Corps of Engineers Environmental Assessments.		Source	
,	Interpretation from soil survey data and accurate topographic maps, and aerial photographs. Can be verified by on-site inspection.	Analysis of historical aerial photographs shows where fill has been placed. Detailed soil survey will identify fill areas reservation-wide.	Same as above	Same as above	Interpretation from soil survey data.		Field survey.	Washington Environmental Atlas supplies regional data on habitat which is usable. For on-site analysis, information can be implied from vegetation and climate or field surveyed.	Local conditions implied from regional data supplied in various reports.	Method of Interpretation∬Analysis	Alssessment
	8	Yes	8	8	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	1	닭
	New soil survey and detailed (5 ft.) contour maps are needed.	Detailed soil survey needed to do thorough job. Historical records and miscellaneous reports can be used to map known fill areas. Interview with tribal members also would supplement data.	Same as above	Same as above	1938 Soil Survey is too general and inaccurate. New survey should be performed at site scale.		Field survey can be performed easily by non-technical personnel. This can be supplemented by interview with tribal members.	Can be provided at regional scale from available data. Studies of on-site vegetation and/or field survey required to map accurately. Persons knowledgeable of reservation wildlife should also be interviewed.	Not completely accurate, but *accuracy is not critical.	can be produced  Comments	

Data Meed	<u> </u>	Availability		Assessment	
Data Element	Form Scale	YES  U/interpretation	Source	Method of Interpretation//Analysis	can be produced
II. NATURAL HAZARDS AND LIMITATIONS	0				
B. GEOLOGY  1. Slope limitation	- Map Site	X None	TO.	Slope analysis is performed from calculations off of a topographic map.	Yes Must await completion of suitable base map. Prefer contour interval of 5 ft.
2. Earthquake Potential	Map Site Regional	X None	<b>.</b>	Regional description will identify earth tremor hazard. Analysis of soil survey and geologic map will indicate if land (soil) can with-	Needs updated soil survey and requires interview with responsible officials to determine earthquake hazard.
3. Landsiide Potential	Map Site	X None	ro I	Soil type, underlying geology and slope are conditions that must be evaluated. These can be interpreted from other sources. Past landslides can be identified from geologic maps and miscellaneous reports.	No Reeds data from detailed soil survey, base map, and geological report.
C. HYDROLOGICAL CONDITIONS1. Floodplain M Delineation	NDITIONS Map Site	X None	æ	Three methods are possible: a. Interpretation from soils. b. Engineering calculations based upon flow. C. Interview with tribal members.	The only method which is feasible without additional study is interview with tribal members. This will not take the place (legally) of an accurate study, but is better than no data on this element. Another possibility is to have HUD extend its
2. Surface Flooding (ponding)	Map Site	X None	re i	Can be interpreted from soil survey and/or aerial photographs taken during "wet" season. Must be field checked.	study of the Quieute River Flood- plain to cover the reservation.  Post desirable method of delineation is from interpretation of detailed soil survey. Interview with tribal members is sensible.
3. Wave Activity/ Damage	// Map Site	X Corps data area.	Corps of Engineers has data for part of coastal area.	Field survey and interview would supplement brief descriptions in Corps of Engineers documents.	les Most desirable method would be to have field survey completed by coastal geologist. Interview with Corps of Engineers and local residents could supplement.
D. CLIMATE 1. Storm Wind Hazard	Map Site	X None		Interview with responsible officials and local resi-	to Needs information from specialists.

	•			• <del>=</del>		
0. CULTURALLY UNIQUE AREAS 1. Archeological Mand Historical Sites	C. GEOLOGIC FEATURES  1. Regionally Sig- nificant Geologic Features	B. VALUED OR ENDANGERED M SPECIES	2. Wildlife Habi- P Eats (Aquatic)	III. SENSITIVE/UNIQUE AREAS A. WILDLIFE HABITATS 1. Wildlife Habi- tats (Terrestrial)	Data Element Form	Dafa Need
Map Site	Map Site	Map Site	Map Regional Site	Map Regional	n Scale	
×	×	×	×	* .	YES  w/interpretation  NO	Availability
University of Washington Archeological Studies and Tribal Resources	Aerial photographs. Corps of Engineers studies.	Eagle nesting and shore mammals have been assessed but the level of detail is not sufficient. No other valued species have had on-site survey.	Corps of Engineers has data on regional habitats in Environmental Atlas and listing of species in Impact Assessments.  Species are identified, but habitats are not mapped spatially.	Corps of Engineers has data on regional habitats in Environmental Atlas and listing of species in Impact Assessments, Other sources are aerial photographs.	Source	•
Interview with U.W. personnel and tribal members. Data from archeological study must be transferred to suitable base map.	Interpretation of aerial photographs and field survey. Land forms can be picked out by observation.	Field study. Interview with specialists.	Transcription from regional data.  Field survey.	Transcription from regional data. Habitats can be interpreted from vegetation or soils.	Method of Interpretation∬Analysis	Assessment
Yes	No.	N <sub>O</sub>	Yes No	Yes	can be produced	刊
Tribal members should identify historical sites of significance.	A thorough survey will require assessment by coastal geologist.	Level of detail of studies that are available are not sufficient. Should have on-site survey by specialists. May interview tribal members in lieu of survey by specialists.	Data is not available for site specific analysis. Needs inventory of coastal aquatic habitats. May be provided by Corps of Engineers study to be completed by 1981.	Requires interpretation of vegetation data and scaling regional data to site.	Comments	

# SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

### Basemap Development

At present, no suitable basemap exists which would permit mapping of biophysical information at a scale and a degree of accuracy appropriate for land use planning on the Quileute Reservation. Several options for basemap development have been outlined in detail in the text. We recommend the development of a basemap. For all practical purposes, at a scale of 1:2,400 (1" equals 100 ft.) be used to provide an appropriate level of analysis for all information which needs to be mapped. Due to the relatively small land area of the Quileute Reservation, successful development of an information base for tribal land use planning necessitates a certain degree of precision. A fairly fine-grained analysis of bio-physical information will be necessary eventually to deal with the more specific problems which will follow further reservation improvements. Even though much of the information needed for a workable environmental suitability profile does not presently exist, the capacity to analyze such information is an important constraint to overcome. An accurate basemap is the initial step towards this end. However, this basemap feature will require additional cost to produce. Therefore a decision must be made. Should this additional expenditure be incurred now or in the future? Option I appears suitable to meet the consultants' basic needs for completing Phase II of this project. Option I, the least costly of the options discussed, satisfies the short-term need. But if long term needs are to be met we feel Option III would be the most cost-effective. Continuing discussions have taken place with Mr. Ken Clark of Clark and Associates regarding the Quileute Tribe's present efforts to develop a basemap as part of a land use planning program. Mr. Clark has done previous mapping of the bush area while employed for VTN Associates. Presently Mr. Clark has offered his assistance to organize and integrate the necessary materials to develop a high quality basemap, if costs of the materials required will be covered by the Quileute Tribe. We recommend that the details of such an arrangement with Mr. Clark be further considered.

### Information Assessment

The information inventory can be considered comprehensive, although additional time and resources would no doubt permit additional relevant information to be indexed. Most existing information (mapped, unmapped or unmappable, mappable) which covers reservation lands is quite limited in its usefulness as a resource for planning in its present form. The reasons for this vary with the different documents and different information sources. Generally, the information was developed to serve a specific purpose and was either too site specific, ignoring critical aspects for reservation—wide planning, or it was much too general, discussing the entire reservation and the surrounding region in only general terms, without any mappable data available. The reason suspected for this general lack of mappable information is not oversight. Rather, it appears that a general information vacuum exists. The reasons for this vacuum are no doubt quite familiar to the Quileute Reservation planning staff. To mention just the most obvious should be sufficient here.

Scale of Information - The surveys, studies and plans which provide information on soils, geology, wildlife, forestry, and watershed management, etc., for the lands surrounding the reservation have been regional in scope for the most part, encompassing much larger areas and therefore lacking the detail needed for planning at the scale now proposed for the reservation (best described here as site specific).

Exclusion of Lands on the Reservation Due to Federal Jurisdictional Status - Reservation lands have not been examined in regional surveys that would provide planning related information. And of course as indicated above, even had they been included the detail now needed would still not have been provided. More recent surveys which would provide planning information are being conducted and others are possible in the future. It may be valuable for the planning staff to examine possible effects to coordinate between agencies undertaking these surveys. The value of these studies for tribal planning can be assessed in the future by referring to the appropriate areas of this document which indicate the most desirable scale of accuracy for the various forms of information needed.

# Critical Data Gaps Identified

The list which follows identifies the 14 critical areas in which information must be made available if an environmental land use suitability profile is to be developed for reservation lands.

- N 1) tribal fishing sites
- N 2) prime agricultural soils
- N 3) location of rivers and streams
- G 4) coastal, upland & streambed features
- S 5) septic tank limitations
- S 6) soil bearing capacity
- S 7) land fill sites identified
- G 8) land slide potential
- G 9) earthquake/liquification potential
- G10) slope limitations
- S11) floodplain identification
- G12) sites of wave activity and potential damage
- N13) archeological sites
- N14) critical wildlife habitat

- N information for these data elements can be developed without additional specialized environmental studies. Either the information which exists presently can be mapped, or it can be developed by the Quileute Planning Staff.
  - S these data elements can be interpreted and mapped once a detailed soils survey is carried out for all reservation lands.
  - G these data elements can be interpreted and mapped once a comprehensive geologic survey is carried for both coastal and upland areas of the reservation.

The letters N, S and G indicate the manner in which information for this data element can be filled.

## Existing Alternatives for Filling Critical Data Gaps

# A Soil Survey: our recommendations include the following -

- a) The tribe should contact the District Office of the Soil Conservation Service in Port Angeles and request that the SCS consider including the Quileute Reservation in their ongoing county-wide soil survey. This survey will not provide soil informations at the necessary level of detail for reservation purposes, but it will be useful as background information to check the accuracy of any future soil survey done specifically for the reservation.
- b) The tribe should contact the Land Management Division of the BIA, in Portland, Oregon, and investigate the possibility of having them undertake a detailed soil survey of all reservation lands. Costs of such services and the time required to obtain them should be noted.
- c) As an alternative to the BIA undertaking the soil survey, the tribe may wish to consider a proposal made by Mr. Louis Halloin, of the DNR Office, Port Angeles. Mr. Halloin's proposal is made as a private party, experienced with soils information in Clallatm County. Depending upon the BIA's ability to provide this service, and considering any attributed costs to the tribe, Mr. Halloin's proposal offers a reasonable alternative and offers the added benefit of close working relations with those involved in reservation planning to make certain the information developed is compatible with reservation needs. Mr. Halloin's proposal is included as an appendix to this report. Estimated costs are identified.

## A Geologic Survey: our recommendations include the following -

Two separate surveys will be required; one for the uplands and one for the coastal area.

An upland geologic survey: unpublished information identifying the geology of the reservation's region has been developed by Mr. Weldon W. Rau of the Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Washington Department of Natural Resources. This information can be obtained and studied, with Mr. Rau's consultation provided. A follow-up field verification of this information by a competent field geologist will be required. The estimated cost for this is not known at this time.

A Coastal Geologic Survey: this survey can be undertaken as a part of the reservation's coastal zone management plan. A geologist has been contacted who is experienced in coastal geologic feature identification and shoreline processes. With the amount of information already developed by the Corps of Engineers on the physical shoreline processes in the area, we believe that a survey can be completed without undertaking extensive additional research. However, no estimate of costs have been identified at this time.

### PHASE II: A PROPOSAL

The work schedule for the remainder of the summer should emphasize the development of information to fill the critical data gaps identified during this assessment. From mid July until September 30, 1978, we propose the following -

- 1) For those data gaps that can be filled without additional specialized environmental studies, the consultants will analyze and interpret the available information so that a map may be made at a scale and degree of accuracy that is compatible with the basemap used. The information to be mapped will include all those data elements marked "N" earlier in the recommendations section. They are:
  - 1) tribal fishing sites\*
  - 2) prime agricultural soils
  - 3) location of rivers\* and streams
  - 4) archeological sites
  - 5) critical wildlife habitats\*
  - 6) critical wildlife habitats\*
  - \* assistance in development of needed information by conducting community surveys will be provided by Quileute Planning Staff.
- 2) Efforts to develop a basemap will be continued with a satisfactory basemap expected in the near future. The goal will be to have a working basemap completed by September 30, provided adequate funds are available.
- 3) The consultants will coordinate with Quileute Planning Staff in their efforts to fill needed information gaps. If the tribe authorizes soil and geologic surveys to be undertaken during the summer, the consultants will be available to work with the parties involved to assure the information developed is compatible with reservation land use planning needs. Such authorization would have to take place in the very near future.

#### Conditions for contract renewal -

- a) Clarification will be reached between the consultants and the Quileute Planning Staff as to the differences between an environmental atlas and an environmental land use suitability profile, and the goals of the consultants' work for the tribe clarified to both parties' satisfaction.
- b) In the future, costs incurred by the consultants for all calls made on behalf of the tribe will be at the expense of the tribe. The costs due to telephone calls during Phase I of this project is estimated at \$225, (better than 10% of the contract's total value!). It is agreed that future costs for calls will not exceed this amount.

			and the second s		•	
geologic		7				
				······································		
	•					
geology_						
slios						
sesthetics						
wildlife						
climate	<del> </del>					<u></u>
geologic						
water:surf						
water:grou			****			
minerals						
forestry						
slios	×		×		······································	
səirəheil					······································	
REFERENCE	1. Black, Engineering Report on LaPush Housing Site, 1978.	2. Carefoot, Thomas. Pacific Sea-shores: A Guide to Intertidal Ecology. University of Washington Press, Seattle, 1977.	3. CH2 M-Hill, "Contract Documents for Construction of Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities for Quileute Indian Tribe," CH2 M-Hill, Bellevue, WA, 1975.	4. CH2 M-Hill, "A Sewage Facilities Plan for the Village of LaPush," CH2 M-Hill, Bellevue, WA, 1975.	5. Clallam County Shoreline Manage- ment Advisory Committee, "Clallam County Shoreline Master Program," Clallam County, Port Angeles, WA, 1976.	6. Dept. of Geography, WWU, "The Puget Sound Region: A Portfolio of Thematic Computer Maps," Center for Pacific Northwest Studies Occasional Paper #3, Bellingham, WA, 1974.
	fisheries soils forestry minerals water:grou water:grou wildlife seclogic climate climate soils geologic climate aesthetics soils soils geologic climate andanger soils	fisheries  x soils forestry minerals mater:grou mater:grou geologic climate soils soils soils soils soils soils forestry hydrology hydrology forestrics soils soils soils soils soils climatic mildlife soils soils soils colimatic mildlife soils soils colimatic mildlife soils colimatic colimatic mildlife soils soils colimatic mildlife soils colimatic colimatic mildlife soils soils soils soils soils colimatic	Report on fisheries  Report on fisheries  Site, 1978.  Pacific Sea-  to Intertidal  to Intertidal  sity of Wash-  sity of Wash-  sity of Wash-  culturally  culturally  culturally  culturally	Report on fisheries  Pacific Sea- to Intertidal Sity of Wash- sity of Wash- tof Sewage thent and Dis- for Quileute for Qui	REFERENCE  REFERENCE  (Black, Engineering Report on LaPush Housing Site, 1978.  Carefoot, Thomas. Pacific Seasonshores: A Guide to Intertidal Ecology. University of Washington Press, Scattle, 1977.  CH2 M-Hill, "A Sewage: Facilities for Quileute Indian from the Village of LaPush,"  CH2 M-Hill, "A Sewage: Facilities Plan for the Village of LaPush,"  CH2 M-Hill, Bellevue, WA, 1975.	REFERENCE  Black, Engineering Report on  Carefoot, Thoms. Pacific Sea- shores. A Guide to Interidal Ecology. University of Wash- Inferior Press, Seatile, 1977.  CH2 M-Hill, "Contract Documents for Construction of Sewage Collection, Treatment and Disposal Facilities for Quileute Indian Tribe," CH2 M-Hill, Bellevue, WA, 1975.  CH2 M-Hill, "A Sewage: Facilities Plan for the Vilage of LaPush," CH2 M-Hill, "Bellevue, WA, 1975.  CH3 Indian County Shoreline ment Add Sovy Committee, ment Add Sovy Committee, "Clallam County Shoreline Master Program," Clallam County, Port Angeles, WA, 1976.

							= =
	əupinu	×		. •			`~,
VE	culturally			•	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
E	endangered	i a			. *		•
SENSITIVE	habitats				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SEI ARI	0						
	Seologic						
III			•				
	wildlife	<del></del>					·
S &	27.201177.2			×			
	climatic	. •		<del></del>			
HAZARDS LIMITAT	μλατογοβλ		•				
ZA				<del></del>			
ĦΞ	<b>E</b> eogogλ						
•							
II	slios						
	aesthetics		•				
			**************************************		<del></del>	<del></del>	
	wildlife					×	
	<del></del>						
	climate						
	geologic						
					•		
	water:surfac		×				
ES	_						
- B	water:ground						
50.							
SE	alaranim						
. 1	<i>r</i>						
₩.	forestry						
LÜI	CIIOC						
NATURAL RESOURCES	alios						
•	tisheries						
.Н.							<del></del>
	REFERENCE	7. Duncan, Mary Ann. "Archeological Investigation at the LaPush Village Site: An Interim Report." Office of Public Archeology, University of Washington, Seattle, 1977.	ENCON Environmental Consultants. "North Olympic Coastal Basin Water Quality Management Plan - Basin 13-11-09." ENCON, Vancouver, WA, 1975.	Franklin, Dorothy. West Coast Disaster. Gann Publishing Co., San Francisco, CA, 1964.		Joh	Kirk, Ruth. The Olympic Seashore. Olympic Natural History Association, Port Angeles, 1962.
		1 1	∞ ်	9.	10.	11.	12.
			•		_	-	

		ənbrun						
Œ	λ	culturall	•	• .				
ΙΛ		endange						
H		habitats						,
SENSITIVE	Ş			<del></del>				<del></del>
SE	Ğ	geologic						
· H				<del></del>				
III		wildlife		•				
<u></u>	3	3.21.1.					•	· ·
HAZARDS &	3	climatic	<b>.</b>					
လ ဉ	3			·				<del></del>
HAZARDS	4	pydrology						
72						<del></del>		
₹ 7	i	geology						
·					·			
II.		slios						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	s	sesthetic:		•				
		wildlife			•			
		climate						
		geologic			×	×		
	face	water:sur						
S		1						<del></del>
R.C	pun	water: gro						
00								
RESOURCES		slaranim						
					<u> </u>			
NATURAL		forestry	×		×			
Ħ			M					
Ι¥Ϊ		slios	×					
24		1						
Ŀ		fisheries						
		REFERENCE	Kratz, Andrew Michael. "Vegeta- tional Analysis of the Coastal Picea sitchensis Forest Zone in Olympic National Park, Washington." Master's Thesis, WWU, June 1975.	ourell, John & Elaine D. "Coastal Zone Management Study of Indian Trust Lands in Western Washington," Depart- ment of Ecology, Olympia, WA, June, 1975.	Livingston, Vaughn E. "Geologic History and Rocks and Minerals of Washington," Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Division of Mines and Geology Information Circular 45, 1967.	Bates. Cascadia. McGraw-Book Co., NY, 1972.	Moore, Wallace & Kennedy. "Makah Planning Study - Land Use and Housing Plan," Pacific Rim Planners, Inc., 1977.	
		REFEI	13. Kratz, An tional Picea in Oly Washin	14. Latourell, "Coastal Study of Western ment of June, 19	15. Livingston, History of Wash State De Resource and Geograph	16. McKee, F	17. Moore, V Plant Housi Plant	

III.SENSITIVE AREAS	Seologic habitats endanger			×		
	wildlife					
HAZARDS & LIMITATIONS	climatic	. •		×		-
ARDS ITAT	рудгогову	×		×		×
	geology			×		
II.	slios	×			×	
	sesthetics					
	wildlife		,			
	climate	×			×	
	geologic	×		×		
	water:surf	×		*		······································
URCE nd	water:grou			*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·-··
RESO	alsrenim	×				
NATURAL RESOURCES	forestry					
NATU	slios	×			×	
<u> </u>	fisheries	<u> </u>				·
,	REFERENCE	Pacific Northwest River Basins Commission. "Columbia - North Pacific Region Comprehensive Framework Study of Water and Related Lands" (Appendix I - XVI). Pacific Northwest River Basins Commission, Vancouver, WA, 1972.	Parker, Jeff & Michael Rent. "Geologic Hazards in the Coastal Zone," Office of Land Programs, Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA, 1978.	Pauley, William N. & Pacific Consultants, Inc. "A Plan for Solution of Some Problems On, and Development of the Quileute Indian Reservation." LaPush, WA, March 1972.	People Space Architecture, "Planning Document One - Quileute Tribe of Indians." People Space Architecture, Spokane, WA, 1973.	People Space Architecture, "Planning Document Two - Quileute Tribe of Indians." People Space Architecture, Spokane, WA, 1973.

					grand and the	···	
,	_	9up.inu	× ×				
.VE		culturall	·			<u> </u>	
Ξ.,		endange		•			
SS J	Ś	habitats	<del> </del>			<u> </u>	
III.SENSITIVE	N C	geologic			×		
III		alilbliw					×
3000	3	climatic	. •				
HAZARDS &	THT	уддеогова					
HAZARDS	7	geology			×		
ıı,						σ	
		slios					
	S	aesthetic	······································				
		wildlife			,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		elimate		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		geologic			×	×	
	asel	water:sur		×			×
RCES	pun	water:gro			×		
RESOURCES		_slsrenim			×		
		forestry					×
NATURAL		slios					•
Z		fisheries		×			
		REFERENCE	of LaPush: 1775-1945." Anthropological Records Vol. 14:1, University of California Press, 1949.	. Phinney, Lloyd & Patrick Bucknell. "A Catalog of Washington Streams and Salmon Utiliza- tion - Vol. 2 Coastal Region." Washington State Department of Fisheries, Olympia, 1975.	Mashington Coast Between Hoh River and Quileute River. Unpublished report and geologic map available from author.	Washington W. Geology of the Washington Coast Between Point Greenville and the Hoh River. Washington State Department of Natural Resources - Geology and Earth Resources Division Bulletin #66, Olympia, 1973.	Affairs Forestry Study." Bendix Corp. Aerospace Division, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1976.
			733	24.	25	26	27.

<u>.</u>		NATURAL	L RE	RESOURCES		<b>ə</b> n					II. I	HAZARDS & LIMITATIONS	OS &		III.SENSITIVE AREAS	SENSITAREAS	ITIV S	ঘ
REFERENCE		slios	forestry minerals		water:ground	water:surfac	geologic	climate	wildlife aesthetics	slios	EGOJOEN	hydrology	climatic	wildlife	Seologic		habitats endangere	culturally unique
28. Shapiro and Associates. "Manual for Management of the Coastal Aquatic Area." Shapiro and Associates for Department of Ecology, Seattle, 1977.																		
Tabor, Roland W. Guide to Geology of the Olympic National Park. University of Washington Press, Seattle, 1975.						,						*						
Tabor and Cady. "Geologic Map of Olympic Peninsula, WA." USGS Misc. Investigations Series I-994, USGS, Washing- ton, D.C., 1978.						×	×				<b>N</b>	×				· ×		
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.  National Shoreline Study: Inventory Report Columbia - North Pacific Region. U.S.  Army Corps of Engineers, North Pacific Division, Portland, 1971.													×					
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Environmental Assessment: Maintenance Dredging at Quillayute Navigation Channel at LaPush, WA." Corps of Engineers - Seattle District,	**************************************							<del> </del>						,				

三山	۲.	culturall unique	×				
		statidad endange	×	,			
SENSI	•	geologic	×				
III	_	alilbliw	×	- ×			
HAZARDS & LIMITATIONS	_	climatic					
HAZARDS LIMITAT		μλατοτοελ		×			
HAZ LIM		geology				_	
H		alioa					
	s	sesthetic					
	-	əlilbliw	×				
	•	elimate	×	×			
		geologic	×	×			
Э	sel.	water:sur	×	×		•	
RCES	pun	water:gro					
ESOL		slsıənim					
AIL F		forestry	×				
natural resources		alioa					***
<u> </u>		risheries			معمد المستخدم المستحدم المستخدم المستخدم المستخدم المستخدم المستخدم المستخدم المستخد		
		REFERENCE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Institute for Environmental Studies, U.W. Washington Environmental Atlas. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1975.	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Environmental Evaluation – Quillayute River Spit Restora- tion, LaPush, WA." U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Seattle District Office, 1977.	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Environmental Evaluation for Restoration of Training Wall and Eroded Bank at Quillayute River Project - LaPush, WA." U.S. Army &orps of Engineers - Seattle District Office, 1975.	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. "Exhibit 'A' to Assessment of 17 May 1978 - Quillayute River Spit Rehabilitation." U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Seattle District, 1978.	U.S. Department of Agriculture. "Southwestern Washington River Basins - Tune III Summer Pro-
			, , 8 8	34.	35.	36.	37.

LIMITATIONS AREAS	soils Reology Climatic Wildlife Reologic	×	× .	×	×	
	aesthetic	·		,		
	wildlife	×				···
	climate	×				
	geologic					
	Mater:sur					
pur	water: gro		•			
	slsranim	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	forestry					
pur pur	slios	×		×		
<u></u>	tisheries	**************************************				
	REFERENCE	USDA Soil Conservation Service.  Soil Survey - Clallam County, Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. Issued 1951 from 1938 survey.	U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA. "Nautical Chart, Approaches to Strait of Juan de Fuca Destruction Island to Amphitrite Point, NOAA, 1977.	USDA Forest Service. "Final Environmental Impact State- ment - Soleduck Planning Unit - Olympic National Forest." Forest Service (no date).	U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. "Flood Plain Delineation Map - Quilayute River, Clallam County, WA." HUD, U.S. Gov- ernment Printing Office, 1977	<ul> <li>U.S. Department of the Interior</li> <li>- National Park Service.</li> <li>"Final Environmental Statement</li> <li>- Olympic National Park Proposed Wilderness." National Park Service, 1974.</li> </ul>
			_	• •	•	<b>:</b>
		86	39.	40	1	42.

ES III. HAZARDS & III.SENSI:	TERMINETES  Soils  Forestry  Mildlife  Soils  Seologic  Climate  Water:surfa  Seologic  Climate  Soils  Seologic  Climate  Aniddife  Seologic  Climate  Soils  Seologic  Climate  Aniddife  Soils  Climate  Climate  Soils  Soils  Soils  Climate  Soils  Soil	Department of the Interior  National Park Service.  Master Plan, Olympic National Park." National Park Service,  1976.	Department of the Interior  - National Park Service.  - Final Environmental Statement  - Proposed Master Plan for the Olympic National Park."  National Park Service, 1976.	U.S. Department of the Interior  - National Park Service.  "Supplement to the Final Environmental Statement:  Proposed Master Plan for Olympic National Park." National Park Servæce, 1977.	Geological Survey. "LaPush Quadrangle, Washington." U.S. Topographic Map Series, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1935.	ngton State Department of tural Resources. "Township thophoto Map (T 28W R15W)." R, Olympia, 1977.	
	REFERENCE	43. U.S. Department of the National Park Samuster Plan, Olymbark." National 1976.	S. D.	o.u.	s.u.	. Washington State I Natural Resourd Orthophoto Map DNR, Olympia, 1	
		44	~28 <b>~</b>	45	46	47	

Washington State of Washin				•				
State of Washington - Department of Nashington - Department of Nashington State of Washington - Department of Nashington - Department of Nashington State Department of Nashington State Department of Nashington State Department of Nashington State Department of Highway Washington State Department of Highway Washington State Department of Highways, "General Highway Washington State Department of Highways, 1969, and the State Department of Highways, 1969, and t	<u>—</u>	cutturally						
State of Washington - Department of Highways, "General Highways, "Gene	FIIV pa	endanger			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
State of Washington - Department of Highways, "General Highways, "Gene	ENS REA				•			
State of Washington - Department of Highways, "General Highways, "Gene	E.S. A.	geologic						
State of Washington - Department of Mashington State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources.    Ington Marine Atlas - North   Mashington State Department of Highways. "General Highway   Map - Clallam County."   X x x x x x   Mashington State Department of Mashington State Department of Highways, 1969.		wildlife				-		
State of Washington - Department of Mashington State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources.    Ington Marine Atlas - North   Mashington State Department of Highways. "General Highway   Map - Clallam County."   X x x x x x   Mashington State Department of Mashington State Department of Highways, 1969.	S & LION	climatic				·-···		
State of Washington - Department of Mashington State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources.    Ington Marine Atlas - North   Mashington State Department of Highways. "General Highway   Map - Clallam County."   X x x x x x   Mashington State Department of Mashington State Department of Highways, 1969.	ZARDS (ITA)	phqrojogh						
State of Washington - Department of Matural Resources  Ingramment of Mashington - Department of Mashington Marine Angles - North of Natural Resources - Washington Marine Angles - North old North old Natural Resources - Nashington State Department of Highways - General Highway Mashington State Department of Highways, 1969.  Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.		<b>Reojo</b> gλ				···		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State of Washington - Department of National Resources.  On Natural Resources. Mashington - Department of Natural Resources. Mashington Marine Atlas - North Coast Naters. DNR, Olympla, ington Marine Atlas - North Coast Naters. DNR, Olympla, Nashington State Department of Highway Mashington State Department of Highways, 1969.  Nashington State Department of Highways, 1969.	H H	slios						
State of Washington - Department of Matural Resources.  State of Washington - Department of Marine Atlas - North Cosst Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Washington State Department of Highways, "General Highway Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.  A climate of Highways, 1969.		sesthetics						
State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources.  Natural Resources. Wash- ington Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways, "General Highways, "General Highways, "General Highways," Washington State Department of Map - Clallam County." Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.		- 91ilbliw			, 			. <u></u>
State of Washington - Department of Marington State of Washington - Department of Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.  Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.		elimate_	×					
State of Washington - Department of Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highways" "General Highway Mashington State Department of Highways, 1969.		geologic_	×		······			
State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources. Washington Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Map - Clallam County." Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.		water:surf	<b>X</b>				•	<u>.</u>
State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources. Washington Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Map - Clallam County." Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.	RCES nd bri	water: grou						
State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources. Washington Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Map - Clallam County." Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.	teson	elsrenim						
State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources. Washington Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Map - Clallam County." Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.	WL R	forestry						•
State of Washington - Department of Natural Resources. Washington Marine Atlas - North Coast Waters. DNR, Olympia, 1974.  Washington State Department of Highways. "General Highway Map - Clallam County." Washington State Department of Highways, 1969.	ATUR	slios						
State of Washington of Natural Resour ington Marine Atl Coast Waters. DN 1974.  Washington State Dep Highways. "Gener Map - Clallam Cou Washington State of Highways, 1969	<u> </u>	fisheries						<del></del>
8 4 6			State of Washington of Natural Resour ington Marine Atl Coast Waters. DN 1974.					
			4 8	4 0				

APPENDIX "A"

Mr. Louis Halloin: Soil Survey Proposal

Louis Halloin 118 Motor Ave. Port Angeles, Wash. 98362 June 21, 1978

Mr. Bob Brandow South Side Community Consultants 706 E. Chestnut Bellingham. Washington 98225

Dear Mr. Brandow:

Enclosed is an outline describing a soil survey of the Quileute Indian Reservation that I would develop for approximately \$.75 per acre. This soil survey would be made based on the national standards of the Soil Conservation Service.

For the stated fee, I will furnish my own transportation, field equipment, and living costs. All base maps will be supplied by other sources at no cost to myself. Upon completion of the survey I will submit a soil map at a scale adequate for planning purposes and a type-written report based on the enclosed outline. If desired, I will instruct a representative of the Quileute Indians in the fundamentals of soil science and interpretations to promote the use and understanding of the survey.

Enclosed is a technical soil description, a mapping unit description, and interpretations for the soil Lapush silt loam. This is an example of the type of material that can be included in a soil survey report. The format of the report can be easily modified to fit the needs of the survey.

Attached is a brief description of my credentials. References will be furnished upon request.

Sincerely,

Louis Halloin

Soil Scientist

#### CREDENTIALS

#### Louis Halloin

Education: Bachelor of Science Degree--Soil Science Michigan State University 1973

Master of Science Degree--Forest Soils and Hydrology Michigan State University 1975

Relevant Experience:

July 1, 1975 through October 30 1976. Forest-Soil Specialist for the Washington Dept. of Natural Resources in Klickitat County. Participated in the soil survey of that county.

November 1, 1976 to present.
Soil Survey Project Leader for the Washington
Dept. of Natural Resources responsible for
organizing and completing the soil surveys
of Clallam and Jefferson Counties.

#### LAPUSH SERIES

The Lapush series consists of deep, well drained soils that formed in fine textured alluvium. These soils are on level to gently sloping river terraces and have slopes of 0 to 5 percent. The mean annual precipitation is about 105 inches and the mean annual air temperature is about 50°F.

Taxonomic Class: Medial, mesic Umbric Dystrochrepts

Typical Pedon: Lapush silt loam - forested. (Colors are for moist soil unless otherwise noted. All textures are apparent field textures.)

01--1 inch to 0; needles, leaves, and twigs.

A1--0 to 6 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) silt loam, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) dry; moderate fine and very fine subangular blocky structure parting to granular; slightly hard, friable, slightly sticky, plastic; weakly smeary; many fine and very fine roots; many fine and very fine tubular and many fine and very fine irregular pores; very strongly acid (pH 4.6); gradual smooth boundary. (5 to 8 inches thick)

321-6 to 16 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) silt loam, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) dry; moderate fine and very fine subangular blocky structure; slightly hard, friable, slightly sticky, plastic; weakly smeary; many fine and very fine roots; many fine and very fine tubular and many fine and very fine irregular pores; very strongly acid (pH 4.8); gradual smooth boundary. (10 to 16 inches thick)

B22--16 to 28 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) silt loam, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) dry; moderate fine, very fine, and medium subangular blocky structure; slightly hard, friable, slightly sticky, plastic; weakly smeary; many fine and very fine roots; many fine and very fine tubular and many fine and very fine irregular pores; very strongly acid (pH 4.8); gradual smooth boundary. (12 to 24 inches thick)

B3--28 to 60 inches; olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) silt loam, light yellowish brown (2.5Y 6/4) dry; weak fine, very fine, and medium subangular blocky structure; slightly hard, friable, slightly sticky, plastic; weakly smeary; common fine and very fine roots; many fine and very fine tubular and common very fine irregular pores; very strongly acid (pH 4.8).

Type Location: Challam County, Washington; 1000 feet east of the NW corner of section 23, T. 28 N., R. 14 W. Approximately 200 feet east of ITT Rayonier logging road and 400 feet north of the Bogachiel River.

Range in Characteristics: Depth of the soil is greater than 60 inches.

Rock fragments in the control section range from 0 to 2 percent. The mean annual soil temperature is estimated to range from 51°F to 54°F.

The A horizon has value of 4 or 5 dry and chroma of 3 or 4 dry, Reaction is strongly and very strongly acid.

The B horizons have value of 3 or 4 moist, 5 or 6 dry and chroma of 3 or 4 moist. Texture is silt loam or fine sandy loam. Structure is subangular blocky and angular blocky. Reaction is strongly and very strongly acid.

In some pedons, extremely gravelly sandy loam or extremely cobbly sandy loam is at depths between 40 and 60 inches.

Competing Series: There are no competing series at this time.

Geographic Setting: Lapush soils are on level to gently sloping river terraces at elevations of 50 to 600 feet. They formed in fine textured alluvium. The soils are in a mild marine climate and have cool, moist summers and cool, wet winters. Mean annual precipitation is 85 to 120 inches. Average January temperature is about 38°F, average July temperature is about 61°F, and the mean annual temperature is about 50°F. Frost-free season is 180 to 220 days.

Geographically Associated Soils: These are the Solduc and Quillayute soils. Solduc soils are skeletal and somewhat excessively drained.

Quillayute soils are medial and have an umbric epipedon to be inches thick. Both of these soils are on river terraces above the Lapush soils.

Drainage and Permeability: Well drained, medium runoff, moderate permeability.

Use and Vegetation: Timber production and wildlife are the principal uses. Small areas are used for farming and permanent pasture. Native vegetation is western hemlock, Sitka spruce, red alder. Understory species include red huckleberry, blue huckleberry, salal, devilselub, salmonberry, elderberry, western swordfern, deer fern, ladyfern, and Oregon oxalis.

Distribution and extent: Western Challam County, Washington. This series is inextensive.

Series Proposed: Clallam County, Washington 1978.

Source of Name: Small town in Clallam County:

Remarks:

## MAPPING UNIT DESCRIPTION

122A. Lapush silt loam, 0 to 5 percent slopes
Classification: Medial, mesic Umbric Dystrochrepts

This is a level to gently sloping, well drained soil that formed in fine textured alluvium. This soil is on river terraces at elevations of 50 to 600 feet. The overstory vegetation is primarily western hemlock, Sitka spruce, and red alder. The understory includes red huckleberry, salal, devilselub, salmonberry, western swordfern, deer fern, ladyfern, and Oregon oxalis. The annual precipitation is about 105 inches, the mean annual air temperature is about 50°F, and the frost-free season is 180 to 220 days.

Typically, beneath a mat of partially decomposed organic material, this soil has a dark brown silt loam surface layer approximately 6 inches thick. The subsoil is dark yellowish brown and olive brown silt loam approximately 54 inches thick.

Permeability is moderate and the available water capacity is very high. Surface runoff is medium and the erosion hazard is slight.

Lapush soils are used for timber production, farming, and wildlife.

This soil is suitable for growing western hemlock and red alder.

Based on Wiley's 50-year table, mean site index for western hemlock is

11.6. Mean site index for red alder is 96.

The primary restriction for use and management of this soil for timber production is its low bearing capacity for heavy equipment.

# Interpretations

## Lapush silt loam

Septic Tank Absorption Fields: Moderate limitations. This soil percs slowly and its permeability may be impaired by the excessive use of heavy equipment when installing the filter field. This will damage the structure and porosity of the soil which in turn will restrict percolation.

Sewage Lagoons: Moderate limitations. This soil is subject to seepage because it is difficult to compact.

Sanitary Landfill (Trench): Moderate limitations. This soil is difficult to compact when used as a daily cover for a landfill.

Sanitary Landfill (Area): Slight limitations: Operation of heavy equipment may be hampered by the low bearing capacity of this soil.

Daily Cover for Landfill: Moderate limitations. This soil is difficult to compact when used as a daily cover for a landfill.

Shallow Excavations: Slight limitations.

Dwellings Without Pasements: Slight limitations.

Dwellings With Basements: Slight limitations. Sands and gravelly sands are generally at depths greater than 5 feet in this soil.

Small Commercial Buildings: Slight limitations.

Local Roads and Streets: Severe limitations. This soil has a low bearing capacity.

Lawns and Landscaping: Slight limitations.

Roadfill: Poor. This soil has a low bearing capacity and is difficult to compact.

Source of Sand: Improbable source because this soil contains excess fine material.

Source of Gravel: Improbable source because this soil contains excess fine material.

Source of Topsoil: Good. There may be problems spreading this soil when the moisture content is high.

Pond Reservoir Area: Moderate limitations. This soil is subject to seepage.

Embankments, Dikes, and Levees: Moderate limitations. This soil is difficult to compact.

Excavated Ponds (Aquifer Fed): Severe limitations. The Water table is below 5 feet.

Camp Areas: Slight to moderate limitations depending on intensity of use.

of use. Picnic Areas: Slight to moderate limitations depending on intensity

Playgrounds: Slight to moderate limitations depending on intensity of use.

Paths and Trails: Slight to moderate depending on intensity of use.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	Exi	sting Maps of Reservation	
	A.	Aerial Maps	, ,1-5
	В.	Nautical Charts and Surveys	6-9
	c.	Topographical Maps	.10-14
II.	Nat	ural Environment	
	Α.	Climate	15-20
	В.	Geology	21-33
	c.	Soil	34-43
	D.	Rivers and Streams	44-54
	E.	Wildlife	55-73
III.	Cu1	tural Environment	
	Α.	Reservation	
		Traffic	<b>7</b> 4
		Sewer System	75-79
		Land Use	80-85
		Land Ownership	86
		Archeological Sites	87-88
		Unique Cultural Features	89-90
	В.	Region	
		Land Ownership	92
		I and Hans	0305

#### I. EXISTING MAPS OF RESERVATION

AERIAL MAPS	1-5
NAUTICAL CHARTS AND SURVEYS	6-9
TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS	10-14

			1
Name	4. Marine and A.	KG	
Date		6/1/78	
	Page _		
~	e a	as 00 as as .ar	
ATA	•		
	tabular	( ) digita	n]
			_
ites, S	eattle		
	_		
<del></del>			-


Southside
Community
Consultants

Community Date	6/1/78
DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable Name Color Aerial Photography	
II. Source BIA	Page
	-
III. Contact Person/ David Black	-
Location of Data borrowed from BIA, Portland	
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source format: ( ) mapped ( x) air photo ( ) text ( ) ( ) other	
2. Scale of data: <u>1" = 250 ft.</u> 1:3000	
3. Contour interval:	
4. Level of detail: very graphic - excellent detail (minimum geographic area)	
Agency that generated data:  Jay Whiteford & Associates, Se	eattle
6. Date data produced: 4/29/72	
7. Classifications of data:	
a. Numberb. Listing	-
8. Is data available? $\binom{1}{x}$ Yes ( ) No	
9. Cost of data:	
EVALUATION	
Suitability: ( ) suitable $(x)$ suitable with modification (	) not suitable
Limitations: (x) outdated () scale () accuracy () avai (x) otherdoesn't cover whole reservation	ilability ( ) cost

Comments: Excellent scale to work from - very graphic.

Can we get photo's for rest of reservation at this scale?

# GEOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE AND COVERAGE




Southside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG .
Date	5/20/78

CO	nsultants	
		DATA SURVEY FORM
ı.	Variable N	ame Color Aerial Photography
II.	Source	BIA Page
III.	Contact Pe Location (	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source form	t: ( ) mapped ( x) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2.	Scale of da	a: 1:12,000
3.	Contour into	rval: none
		ail: graphic area) generated data:
6.	Date data p	oduced: 5/30/75
7.	a. Numbe	ons of data:  NA  G NA
	Is data ava	
Э.	Cost of date	:
		EVALUATION
Suit	ability: (	) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
		) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ) other _not interpreted
Com	nents:	llent for observing on-site conditions and if interpreted


Excellent for observing on-site conditions and if interpreted transferred to base map. Base map can be made from these photos.



Name	KG 5
Date	5/20/78


Consult					ate	5/20/78
			DATA SUR	VEY FORM		
I. Var	iable Name _	Air Photog	raphy (B&W)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
II. Sou	irce	Corps of E	ngineers		Page _	
III Con	ntact Person/	<del></del>				
	cation of Data		ided by tribe			
		co	CHARACTERIS	TICS OF DATA		
1. Source	ce format: (	) mapped ) other	(x) air photo		- <b>-</b>	( ) digital
2. Scale	e of data:	1:12,000	6000 AMT	152.92 mm		
3. Conto	our interval:					
(mini	l of detail: imum geographī	•				
		-	Corps of Engi			
6. Date	data produced	l:	20 Dec. '77	·	والمراب المراب المراب والمراب والمراب والمالة والمراب والمراب	
	sifications of Number		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
8. Is da	ata available	? (x) Yes	( ) No			
9. Cost	of data:					
				## pa to as mp tm		
			EVALL	IATION		
Suitabili	ity: ( ) sui	able (x)	suitable with in	nodification	( ) not sui	itable
Limitatio	ons: ( ) outo (x) oth	dated (x) er <u>photog</u> i	scale () acc raphed with wron	curacy () g lense for m	availability	() cost
Comments	Excellent :	For observat	tion of on-site	features	<b>₹</b>	

Data can be interpreted from photo.



Name	_ K. G.	
Date	6-24-78	

Con	sultants	
		DATA SURVEY FORM
Ί.	Variable	e Name Aerial Photography (B & W)
11.	Source	Corps of Engineers - Photogrammetry Page
		Office, Seattle
	-	
III.	Contact Locatio	Person/ Corps of Engineers, Photogrammetry Office, Seattle
	. <b></b>	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. S	Source fo	rmat: ( ) mapped ( x) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2. S	scale of	data: 1'' = 2000' 6'' lens
3. 0	Contour i	nterval:
		detail: 2 photos give overlap series coverage for reservation
(	minimum	geographic area)
		at generated data:Corps of Engineers
6. [	Date data	produced: 1974 - 1978 yearly Most recent, 6 June 1978, S78044-1 #6, 7
7. 0		ations of data:
		ber
	b. Lis	ting
8. I	ls data a	vailable? (X) Yes ( ) No
9. 0	Cost of d	ata: about \$15 for print, \$40 for Blowup
~		
		EVALUATION
Suita	ability:	(x) suitable () suitable with modification () not suitable
LIMIT	tations:	() outdated (x) scale () accuracy () availability () cost () other
Comme	ents:	Excellent photos but scale is small




outhside	
Community	
Consultant	:s

Name _	K G	ø
Date	6-24-78	

Consultants	Date	6-24-78
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variabl	e Name Aerial Photography	: 
	Corps of Engineers - Photogrammetry Office	Page
	Seattle	
III. Contact Locatio	Person/ Corps of Engineer - Phologramme on of Data	Try Office, Seathe
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source fo	ormat: () mapped (x) air photo () text () () other	tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of	data: 1 = 500' 6 lens	
3. Contour i	interval:	
(minimum	detail: 8 photos needed for for whol geographic area) nat generated data: Corps of Engineers	e reservation
5. Date data	produced: 14 April 1978	
	cations of data: mber	
	sting	
8. Is data a	avaîlable? (X) Yes ( ) No	
9. Cost of c	data:	
* * * * * * * * * *		
Cuitabilitus	EVALUATION  ( ) quitable ( ) quitable with (quitable )	\ \
Limitations:	() suitable () suitable with modification ( () outdated () scale () accuracy () ava () other	
Comments:	Excellent Photos for interpreting surface features	, including vegetation.


# GEOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE AND COVERAGE



Name	KG	6
Date	6/7/78	


		DATA SURVEY FORM
I.	. Variable !	lame Navigation (Nautical Chart)
11.	. Source	U.S. Dept. of Commerce (NOAA) Page
		Nautical Chart, 1977
III	. Contact Po Location	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source form	at: (x) mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital () other
2.	Scale of da	ta: 1:10,000
3.	Contour int	erval: 500'
4.		tail: ographic area) generated data: NOAA
6.	Date data p	roduced: 1927 Datum, 1977 map
7.	a. Numbe	ions of data:
	b. Listi	Depth of water, land features, navigation aids
		ilable? (x) Yes ( ) No
		EVALUATION
Sui	tability: (	) suitable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Lim	itations: (x	) outdated (x) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ) other
Com	mante. Dant	h from mean lower low water - must be restified for datum

Comments: Depth from mean lower low water - must be rectified for datum on base map - fathoms to feet etc.



Name	В. В.	<u></u>
Date	6-27-78	

Co	nsultants	Date 0-21-18
		DATA SURVEY FORM
Ι.	Variable	Name Survey of Quillayute River and Harbor
II.	_	Commissioner of Public Lands, State of Washington, Page
		1936
III.	Contact Location	Person/ provided by tribe.
	en en en en en	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source for	rmat: (x) mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital () other
2.	Scale of	daţa: 1'= 200 ft.
3.		nterval: NA
4.		detail: LaPush community and river estuary area
		at generated data: Dept. of Public Lands, State of Washington
6.		produced: 1936
7.		ations of data: ber
		ting government meander lines for river, co-ordinate system
8.	Is data a	vailable? (x) Yes ( ) No
9.	Cost of d	ata:
		EVALUATION
Sui	tability:	( x) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Lim	itations:	( ) other
Com	ments:	data useful only as background information on river system formation at time.




Southside
Community
Consultants

Name	8B
Date	6/17/78

	munity nsultants							Dat	е	6/17/78
					<u>!</u>	DATA SUI	RVEY FOR	<u> </u>		
1.	Variable	e Name	Origin	al Riv	er Bou	ndaries	/1881			
II.	Source		Pauley	, A Pl	an for	Quileu	te Tribe	, 1972	Page	p. A-62
III.	Contact			availa	ble fr	om trib	e.			
	Location	n of Da	ita		· 					
	an <b>an</b> 40 40 00			ny aona aona 40	 CHA	 RACTERI	STICS OF			
1.	Source fo	rmat:	(x) mapp () othe	ed ( r	) air	photo	( ) te	xt (	) tabular	( ) digital
2.	Scale of	data:	,							
3.	Contour i	nterval	: NA							
4.	Level of	detail:	townsh	ip sec	tions					
	(minimum Agency th	geograp	onic area	) .						
6.	Date data									
7.	Classific	ations	of data:							
	a. Num b. Lis		NA							
8.	Is data a	vailab	le? (* )	Yes	( ) N	lo				
9.	Cost of d	ata: _	·····							
			<b>₹</b> ₩ ₩ ₩			<b>**</b> ** ** **		<b>-</b>	w w & & &	, 
						EVAL	UATION		٠	
Sui	tability:	( <sub>x</sub> ) s	uitable	( ) s	uitabl	e with	modifica	tion	( ) not su	itable
Lim	itations:		utdated ther	( ) s	cale	( ) ac	curacy	( ) av	/ailability	() cost

Provides good basis for evaluating meander of river stream bed in recent past. Comments:




outhside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG
Date	6/7/78

		DATA SURVEY FORM
ı.	Variable Name	Navigation (Depth, Land Features, Signals)
11.	Source	U.S. Dept. of Commerce (NOAA) Page
		Nautical Chart, 1977
111.	Contact Person Location of Da	
1.	Source format:	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA  (x) mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital () other
2.	Scale of data:	1:176,253
3.	Contour interva	]: 500 ft.
	Level of detail (minimum geograph Agency that gene	phic area)
6.	Date data produ	ced:1927 Datum, 1977 map
7.	Classifications a. Number b. Listing _	
	Is data availab Cost of data: _	le? (x) Yes ( ) No
		EVALUATION
Suit	tability: ( ) s	uitable ( ) suitable with modification (x) not suitable
Limi	itations: (x ) o ( ) o	utdated (x) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost
	ments: No conto	ours - only depths at regular locations.

# GEOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE AND COVERAGE



1	۸
1	υ


Southside Community Consultants

Name	KG	
Date	6/15/78	

				DATA	POKAFA	
- ا ما د اس	Mama	_				

I. Variable Name Base Map  II. Source VTM Associates Page  III. Contact Person/ Location of Data Also Ken Clark, Clark & Assoc., Port Angeles
III. Contact Person/ VTM Associates - Bellevue, WA
II. Contact Person/ VTM Associates - Bellevue, WA
II. Contact Person/ VTM Associates - Bellevue, WA
Location of Data
Also Ken Clark, Clark & Assoc., Port Angeles
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
. Source format: ( $_{\rm x}$ ) mapped ( $_{\rm x}$ ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2. Scale of data: 1" = 100'
Contour interval: 5'
. Level of detail:
(minimum geographic area)
Agency that generated data: VTM Assoc.
. Date data produced: 1975
. Classifications of data: a. Number
b. Listing Cultural features and topography
B. Is data available? ( ) Yes ( ) No (x) Maybe
P. Cost of data:
EVALUATION
Suitability: ( ) suitable (x ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitations: (x) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other <u>doesn't cover whole site</u>
Comments: Does not cover whole reservation.

Ken Clark of Clark & Assoc. was involved in mapping. The above description is from his recollection. He has ground survey notes from that mapping. We have not yet seen original map. See Base Map options discussion.



Southside
Community
Consultants


Name	KG .
Date	6/7/78

DATA	SURVEY	FORM
-,-		

I.	. Variable Name _	Base Map				
11.	Source	U.S. Geolog	jical Survey		Page	
		LaPush Quad	drangle, 1935			
III.	. Contact Person,	,			:	
	Location of Dat	a wwu				
			40 to 14 to 10 to 10			gah 000 pile (All den 15 <sub>87</sub> C <sub>30</sub> mps eur 153
			CHARACTERI	STICS OF DA	<u>TA</u>	
1.	Source format:	x) mapped ) other	( ) air photo	( ) text	( ) tabular	( ) digital
2.	Scale of data: _	1:62,500				
3.	Contour interval	20'				
4.	Level of detail: (minimum geograph	nic area)	USGS			
	Agency that gener					
6.	Date data produce	ed:	1935			
7.	Classifications (	of data:				
	a. Number	<del></del>				
	b. Listing	topo and I	mited cultural	features		·
8.	Is data available	e? (x) Yes	( ) No			
9.	Cost of data:					
				es en co ma em		
			EVAL	UATION		
Sui	tability: ( ) su	itable (x)	suitable with	modificatio	n ( ) not sui	table
Lim	itations: $(x)$ ou ( ) other		scale (x) ac		) availability	( ) cost
Com	mants. Higher ol	arrationa mari	he OV. haveness	4.10	++'	1

Comments:


Higher elevations may be OK; however, the area around LaPush has been altered since the topographic survey was done. Twenty foot contour interval is too large for planning on site to any degree of accuracy.



i

Consult	tants Date
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Vai	riable Name Base Map
II. Sou	Pauley, A Plan for the Quileute, 1972 Page Map in Appendix
	, '
	ntact Person/ Office of BIA, Everett cation of Data
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Sour	ce format: (x) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2. Scal	e of data: 1" = 400'
3. Cont	our interval: 40'
(min	l of detail: _parcel imum geographic area)
_	cy that generated data: Pauley & Assoc.
6. Date	data produced: 1972
	sifications of data: Number
b	. Listing Original survey boundaries, shoreline position, MHT (MEAN HIGH TIDE),
	meander line, topo, commercial establishments.
8. Is d	ata available? (*) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost	of data:
	EVALUATION
Suitabil	ity: ( ) suitable $\binom{1}{\infty}$ ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitati	ons: (x) outdated () scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost (x) other contour interval too large
Comments	: Excellent map of parcels.

Seems to have been surveyed - try to get source!! Much of data should be transferred to base map.



KG


Name \_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_

outhside
Community
Consultants

			DATA SUR	EVEY FORM		
I.	Variable Name	Base Map				
II.	Source	CH2M Hill,	Sewage Faciliti	ies Plan	Page	40
		for Village	e of LaPush, 19	74		
	-	<del></del>				
III.	Contact Person, Location of Da					
	Location of Da	prov	ided by tribe			
				TICE OF DATA	<b>ဆာ</b> ဆာ ရသာ , လေ ဆံ ဆော ရ	प्रश्न पत्र उद्या <sup>े</sup> एक सक् बक्क उत्त सक स्थ
			CHARACTERTS	STICS OF DATA		
1.	Source format:	(x) mapped ( ) other	( ) air photo	( ) text (	) tabular	( ) digital
2.	Scale of data: _	1" = 400'				
3.	Contour interval	: not contour	red			
	Level of detail:					
	(minimum geograp					
	Agency that gene	_				
6.	Date data produc	ed:				
7.	Classifications					
	b. Listing					
8.	Is data availabl	e? (x) Yes	( ) No			
9.	Cost of data:					
						,
			EVAL	JATION		
Suit	ability: ( ) su	itable (x)	suitable with r	modification	( ) not suit	able
		. '	scale () acc		vailability	
Comm		•	topo for LaPush		*	

Includes all structures. Must be updated.




outhside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG
Date	6/7/78

			DATA SURVEY FORM	
Ĩ.	Variable Name _	Topography		··
II.	Source	Dept. of Natural	Resources,	Page
	-			
				·
III.	Contact Person/ Location of Dat	. 3		
	Edeation of Dat	WWU Map Li	brary	
	සහ සහ සහ සහ සහ සහ සහ සහ සේ		HARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	×) mapped ( ) a .) other	ir photo ( ) text (	) tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data:	1" = 2000° o	or 1:24,000	
3.	Contour interval:	40 °		
4.	Level of detail:		,	
	(minimum geograph			
_			3	
6.			ny, 1973	
7.	Classifications (			
			photograph with contours	
		overprinted.	photograph with contours	s and seco tons
8.	Is data available	e? (x) Yes ()	) No	
			EVALUATION	
Sui	tability: ( ) su	itable (x) suita	able with modification	( ) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (×) scale ner	e (x) accuracy ( ) a	vailability ( ) cost
Com	ments. Contour i	nterval and scale	are too large Suitable	

Comments: Contour interval and scale are too large. Suitable for regional planning only. May be used as base map if enlarged but accuracy will be poor.



#### II. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

CLIMATE	15-20
GEOLOGY	21-33
SOIL	34-43
RIVER AND STREAMS	44-54
WILDLIFE	55-13

The second second second

Southside
Community
Consultants


Name	KG	17
Date	6/3/78	

	munity nsultants	Date	e6/3/78
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name _	Climate	
II.	Source	Corps of Engineers, Environmental	Page 13
		Evaluation - Quileute River Spit	
		Restoration, 1974	
III.	. Contact Person,	,	
	Location of Dat		
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	( ) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text (	) tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data:	?	and the state of t
3.	Contour interval	NA .	
4.	Level of detail: (minimum geograph		
6.		ed:?	
7.	Classifications		
••		or data.	
	1	Annual & diurnal temp. range, mean temper	 rature winter & summer
		temp. extreme, avg. annual precip., avg.	
8.	Is data available	e? (×) Yes ( ) No	
9.	Cost of data:		
			_
		EVALUATION	
Sui	tability: ( ) su	itable ( ) suitable with modification	(x) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (*) scale () accuracy () av	vailability ( ) cost

Comments:


Useful background data at regional and site scale once verified.

#### GEOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE AND COVERAGE



Name	KG
Date	5/31/78

Consultants :	Valc
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable Na	ne Climate,
II. Source	QUILEUTE TRIBE OF INDIANS Page 2
***************************************	Planning Document I, 1973
	PEOPLE SPACE ARCHITECTURE
III. Contact Per Location of	
	. CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source format	: () mapped () air photo (x) text () tabular () digital () other
2. Scale of data	: None - assume regional
3. Contour inter	val: NA
(minimum geog	il: Very general raphic area) enerated data: Not referenced
6. Date data pro	duced: Not referenced
7. Classificatio	ns of data:
,	able? (x) Yes () No
	EVALUATION
Suitability: ()	suitable ( ) suitable with modification (x) not suitable
Limitations: ()	outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost other not documented
Comments: Not	spatial, so can not be mapped.
Use	ful as background data.




: Sout	ths i de	Name	•	KG	11
	mmunity onsultants	Date		6/7/78	
	DATA SURVEY FO	ORM_			
I.	. Variable Name Wind Direction AND SPEED				
11.				2	
	Washington Marine Atlas, 1974		, , <u>, .</u>	,	
III	Contact Person/				
	Location of Data Clallam County Planning				
	CHARACTERISTICS (	DE DATA			
1.	Source format: (x) mapped () air photo () to () other with legend	text ()	taþular	( ) digital	<del></del>
2.	Scale of data: 1" = 5 miles				
	Contour interval: NA				
	Level of detail: general		•		
	(minimum geographic area)				
<b>J.</b>	Agency that generated data:?				
6.	Date data produced:?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7.	Classifications of data:				
	a. Number		•		
	b. Listingaverage velocity by season.		<del></del>		<del> </del>
		<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	
8.	Is data available? (x) Yes ( ) No				
9.	Cost of data:				
			•		

# **EVALUATION**

Suitability:	( ) suitable	( ) suitable with modification ( $_{\rm x}$ ) not suitable	
Limitations:	( ) outdated ( ) other	(x) scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost source not known	


Comments: Useful background data at site on regional scale.



Southside	
Community	
Camerileans	

Name	KG	18°
Date	6/14/78	

Consultants	5	
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variabl	le Name Wind Velocity	
II. Source	Franklin, West Coast Disaster, 1964	Page 126
	ATT David Da	_
III. Contact Locatio	t Person/ NW Room, Port Angeles Library on of Data	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source fo	ormat: (x) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) other	) tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of	data: 1" = 50 miles	
	interval: NA	
(minimum	detail: Regional geographic area) hat generated data:	
a. Nun	cations of data:  mber  sting Maximum wind velocity recorded during sto  Pacific Coast - Isobar Mappine; M.P.H (M	
8. Is data a	available? (x) Yes ( ) No data:	
		,
	EVALUATION	
Suitability:	( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification	(x) not suitable
Limitations:	( ) outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) ave	ailability ( ) cost
Comments:	Background information with limited utility unless to waves, flooding, or structural stability.	it can be keyed




Name	KG	
Date	6/12/78	

Co	nsultants		
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name	Temperature	
II.	Source	Pacific NW. River Basins Commission Page 773	
		Comprehensive Framework Study	
		Appendix V, 1971	
ΊΙ.	. Contact Person/ Location of Data	WWU Library (western washingth university)	
. =		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	, <b></b>
	Source format: (	mapped () air photo () text (x) tabular () digital other	
2.	Scale of data:	NA	
3.	Contour interval:	NA	
4.	. Level of detail: Point (minimum geographic area)		
	Agency that genera	ted data:	
5.	Date data produced	1970	
7.	Classifications of a. Number	data:	
		Avg. and extreme temperature - mean over period 1931-1960 at Forks.	
8.	Is data available?	(x) Yes () No	
9.	Cost of data:		
			<b>-</b> -
		EVALUATION	
Sui	tability: ( ) suit	able ( ) suitable with modification (x) not suitable	
Lim	itations: ( ) outo	ated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost  Source not known, not recorded on reservation.	

Comments: Suitable for regional background data only.

11.




Southside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG 20
Date	9/7/78

Cons	sultants	Date 9/1/18
		DATA SURVEY FORM
ı.	Variable Name	Temperature & Precipitation
II.	Source	Soil Conservation Service, Page 6
		Soil Survey, Clallam County, 1951
III.	Contact Person/	
	Location of Data	provided by tribe.
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. S	ource format: (	) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text (x ) tabular ( ) digital ) other
2. S	cale of daţa:	NA .
3. C	ontour interval:	NA .
1.2	evel of detail: minimum geographī	monthly means and extremes at Forks C area)
A	gency that genera	ted data: not reported
6. D	ate data produced	not specified
7. C	lassifications of a. Number	data:
	<del></del>	an precip., total for driest year, total wettest year,
	-	erage snowfall.
8. I	s data available:	(x) Yes ( ) No
9. 0	Cost of data:	
		EVALUATION
Suita	ability: ( ) suit	able ( ) suitable with modification ( x) not suitable
Limit	cations: (x) outo	lated () scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost no source reported, not mappable
Comme		*

May not be representative of reservation but useful as background data.




Name	KG 21
Date	6/12

Community Consultants	Date 6/12
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable N	ame Geology
II. Source	Rau, Geologic Map, unpublished records Page
III. Contact Pe Location o	
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source forma	t: (x) mapped () air photo (x) text () tabular () digital () other
2. Scale of dat	a: 1:48,000 1" = 4000°
3. Contour inte	erval: 40'
_	ail: geologic unit approx. 10 acres
	generated data: DNR
6. Date data pr	oduced: 1975-78
a. Number	ons of data:  Rock types, ages, faults, strike & dip, contacts
b. Listir	g
8. Is data avai	lable? (x) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost of data	1:
: • • • • • • • • •	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	EVALUATION
Suitability: (	) suitable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitations: (	) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy (x) availability ( ) cost ) othernot published
_	available by inspection in DNR offices, Olympia.

Surficial geology only - not very suitable for planning. Aerial extent not known because did not see map.

Data can be interpreted with professional guidance of geologist.

11




Southside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG	
Date	6/7	


Consultants		vate	6/7
	DATA	SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable Name	Geology		_
II. Source	Soil Conservation Serv	ice	Page 3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Soil Survey, Clallam C	0., 1951	
III. Contact Person/ Location of Dat	a provided by trib		
	CHARACTI	ERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source format: (	) mapped ( ) air pho	to (x) text ()	tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of data: _		·	:
3. Contour interval:	NA		
(minimum geograph	County-wide ic area) scs ated data:		
	ed: 1938		
7. Classifications (	of data:	<u>"</u>	<del>-</del>
D. L13¢1119	Geology - bedrock & su	rficial - physiograp	hy
<ul><li>8. Is data available</li><li>9. Cost of data:</li></ul>	e? (x ) Yes ( ) No		
		** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	
	<u>E</u>	VALUATION	
Suitability: ( ) su	itable ( ) suitable wi	th modification (	) not suitable
Limitations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (x) scale (x) her not mapped	accuracy ( ) ava	ilability ( ) cost
Comments. Must be a	erified by site-specific	invention:	•

Must be verified by site-specific investigation; General description of regional patterns; useful primarily for background data.



Name	KG	~~
Date	6/3	

Co	nsultants	3400	
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name _	Geology	-va
II.	Source	Corps of Engineers	Page 11
		Environmental Evaluation - Quileute	
		River Spit Restoration Project, 1974	-
III.	. Contact Person/ Location of Dat		
		provided by cribe	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format: (	) mapped ( ) air photo $(x)$ text ( ) other	tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data:	?	
3.	Contour interval:	NA	
4.	Level of detail: (minimum geograph Agency that gener		
6.		ed: <u>1975</u>	
7.	Classifications o		
	b. Listing <u>G</u>	eologic setting, littoral processes, spit fo	ormation, Ouilleute
	b	edland, south beach erosion.	
8.	Is data available	e? (x) Yes () No	
9.	Cost of data:		
		EVALUATION	
Sui	tability• ( ) su	itable $(x)$ suitable with modification (	\ not suitable
			·
LIM	(x) oth	tdated () scale () accuracy () availer not mapped.	illability ( ) cost
Com	ments: -Do not k	now accuracy of data. information such as direction of drift, eros	




Southside
Community
Consultants

	nsultants	Date6/14
		DATA SURVEY FORM
I.	Variable Name _	Geology
11.	Source	Livingston, Geologic History & Rocks and Page 23 map; 17 text
		Minerals of Washington, 1967
III.	Contact Person/ Location of Dat	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source format: (	x) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ) other
2.	Scale of data:	1" = 20 miles
3.	Contour interval:	NA
4.	Level of detail: (minimum geograph	
D.	Agency that gener	ated data:DNR
6.	Date data produce	d: 1965
7.	Classifications o	f data:
	b. Listing	Geologic Age and Rock Type, History
8.	Is data available	? (x) Yes ( ) No
9.	Cost of data:	
	* * * * * * * * *	EVALUATION
Suit	tability: ( ) sui	table ( ) suitable with modification (x) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) out	dated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost

Comments: Too general for planning


Useful background information at regional scale



Name	KG	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Date	6/12/78	


	DATA SURVEY FORM
l.	Variable Name Geology
II.	Source Tabor & Cady, Geologic Map of Olympic Page
	Peninsula, WA, 1978
III.	Contact Person/ U.S.G.S., Reston, VA
	Available at Clallam Co. SCS Office
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source format: $\binom{1}{x}$ mapped ( ) air photo $\binom{1}{x}$ text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2.	Scale of data: 1:125,000
3.	Contour interval: 200'
4.	Level of detail: Rock limits approx. 20 acres
	(minimum geographic area) USGS
<u> </u>	Agency that generated data:
	Date data produced: 1978
7.	Classifications of data:
	<ul> <li>a. Number</li></ul>
	fossil locality
8.	Is data available? (x) Yes () No
	Cost of data:
<b>.</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	EVALUATION
Suit	tability: ( ) suitable & ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
	itations: ( ) outdated & ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other
Com	ments: Site mostly surficial material - don't know how deep to bedrock.
	Most of site is alluvium - undifferentiated.
	OK for general background information, but relevance for planning in

this form is questionable. Must be liberally interpreted.




Name	KG
Date	5/31

CU	11301 (41.63				
			DATA SURV	YEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name _	Significant (	Geological Fea	tures	<del>_</del>
II.	Source		ineers		Page 6
			nmental Atlas,	1975	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>
III.	Contact Person, Location of Dat				
					: des ent eng
			CHARACTERIS	TICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	x) mapped ( ) other	) air photo	( ) text (	) tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data: _	1:750,000			
3.	Contour interval	None			
4.	Level of detail:				•
	(minimum geograph	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Corps of Engi	neers	
	Agency that gene	ateu data:			
6.	Date data produc	ed:1975			<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>
7.	Classifications				
	a. Number				
	b. Listing	specified.	es; rock forma	tion, islands of	on site location not
8.	Is data availabl	e? (x) Yes	( ) No	•	
9.	Cost of data:				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
	:				1 cm so en en en en en co
			EVALU	ATION	•
Sui	tability: ( ) su	itable ( ) su	uitable with m	odification	( <sub>3</sub> ) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (*) so her	cale (×) acc	uracy ( ) av	vailability ( ) cost
Com	ments: OK for b	ackground inform	mation at regi	onal scale.	•



Name	,	KG	
Date		6/16/78	


Cor	isultants		
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Na	am2 Dredge & Dredge Disposal Plan	•
II.	Source	Corps of Engineers, "Environmental	Page 1-7, Map p-2
		Evalutaion: Maintenance Dredging at	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Quillayute Navigation Channel," 1978	<u>.</u>
III.	Contact Pe Location o		
	නම එය එය එය එය	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source forma	t: $\binom{x}{mapped}$ ( ) air photo $\binom{x}{mapped}$ ( ) other	tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of dat	a: 1" = 200 ft.	
3.	Contour inte	rval: NA	
	,	graphic area)	
6.	Date data pr	oduced: 1977	
7.	a. Number	ons of data: 9 g Dredging Plan & Disposal Sites	_
8.	Is data avai	lable? (x) Yes () No	
9.	Cost of data	; •	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
		EVALUATION	·· · · · · ·
Suit	ability: (x	) suitable ( ) suitable with modification (	) not suitable
Limi	tations: (	) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) ava ) otherproposal and plan in progress	ilability ( ) cost
Comm		ul program information for minimizing conflict of	use.



Name	В. В.	28
Date	6=15=78	

CO	nsurtants	
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
ī.	Variable Name Geologic Hazards	
II.	Source Geologic Hazards in the Coastal Zone by Jeff Parker Page 17-18	
	of Michael Reuf. Office of Land Programs	
	Land and Marine Analysis Section, Olympia, WA 98504	
III.	Contact Person/ Location of Data	
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.		
1.	(X) other <u>matrix according to land classification divisions of</u>	
2.	coastal lands Scale of data:	
3.	Contour interval:	
4.	Level of detail:	
	(minimum geographic area)	
	Agency that generated data: Dept. of Ecology, State of Washington.	
6.	Date data produced: May, 1977	
7.	Classifications of data:	
	a. Number hazards related to soils, bedrock, mass-movements, ground water, flooding, b. Listing erosion, deposition	
	b. Listing erosion, deposition each of the above hazards has 3 subhazard classifications to further detail	
^	cause-effect relations.	
	Is data available? (X) Yes () No	
9.	Cost of data:	
<b>~</b> .		
	EVALUATION	
Suit	tability: ( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limi	itations: ( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other	
	ments: very good but no mapped information, description all within general categories of	

comments: very good but no mapped information, description all within general categories of land types, useful for background data on regional scale. General framework used to identify hazards in coastal area may be useful for application during Quileute Coastal Zone Inventory.



Southside	
Community	
Consultant	S

Name	KG	<i>J</i> 1
Date	6/14/78	


DATA	SURVEY	FORM

Southside		Name	KG
Community Consultants		Date	6/14/78
	DATA SURVEY F	ORM	
I. Variable Name Geo	logy		
II. Source Mck	ee, Cascadia, 1972	— & B	Page 154-172
			•
	7 33 Oc 7.3		
III. Contact Person/ Cla	llam Co. Library	<b>▼</b>	
_			
ş.	CHARACTERISTICS	OF DATA	
1. Source format: ( ) m ( ) o	upped ( ) air photo (x) ther	text () ta	bular () digital
2. Scale of data:			
4. Level of detail:	very general regional des	cription	•
(minimum geographic a Agency that generated	scientific interpr	etation by aut	hor
6. Date data produced: _	composit of previous	us studies	
7. Classifications of da	ta:		
a. Number			
b. ListingGeo	logy, geologic history, mine	rals	
8. Is data available?	(x) Yes ( ) No		
			•
	EVALUATION		
	EVALUATION	•	·
Suitability: () suitable	e () suitable with modifi	(x)	not suitable
Limitations: ( ) outdate ( ) other _	(x) scale (x) accuracy unmapped at sufficient level	/ ( ) availa l of detail.	bility ( ) cost
	und information at regional :	<b>∌</b> `	

Name	KG	_
Date	6/12	_

		DATA SURVEY FORM	
Ī.	Variable Name	Minerals	
II.	Source	Pacific NW River Basins Commission, Page Fig. 45	_
5		Comprehensive Framework Study	
		- Summary, 1971	
11 <b>1.</b>	Contact Person, Location of Da		_
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	<b>13</b>
1.	Source format:	$_{ m x}$ ) mapped ( ) air photo ( $_{ m x}$ ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ) other	
2.	Scale of data: _	1" = 25 miles	
3.	Contour interval		
4.	Level of detail: (minimum geograp	very general - symbolic representation	_
6.	Date data produc	ed: 1970	
7.	Classifications a. Number		
	Is data availabl		
9.	Cost of data:		
		EVALUATION	-
Sui	tability: ( ) su	itable ( ) suitable with modification $(x)$ not suitable	
Lim	itations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (x) scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost ner <u>not mapped spatially</u>	
Com		background for regional scale only	


11.



Name	KG	 <i>ا</i> لاً
Date	6/12	

	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variat	ble Name Aguifer Units	
II. Source	e Pacific NW River Basin Commission, Page Figure 771	
	Comprehensive Framework Study,	
	Appendix V, 1971	
	ict Person/ WWU Library (WUSLERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY) ion of Data	:
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source	format: (x) mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital () other	
2. Scale o	of data:1" = 25 miles	
3. Contour	r interval: NA	
	of detail: regional um geographic area)	
. Agency	that generated data: 2	
6. Date da	ata produced: 1968	
i i	fications of data: Number <sup>10</sup>	
	Listing Geologic formations and descriptive interpretations of water yield;	
	porosity, permeability, quality	
8. Is data	a available? (*) Yes ( ) No	
9. Cost of	f data:	
	EVALUATION	
Suitability	y: ( ) suitable ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limitations	s: ( ) outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	<del></del>
Comments:	Very general information. Gives high to low range for features.  May be suitable if no better on-site data is available, but better for regional analysis.	

11 .




Southside Community Consultants

Name	KG	
Date	6/12	

Consultants	Dat	e6/12		
	DATA SURVEY FORM			
I. Variable Name	Well Yield			
II. Source	Pacific NW River Basin Commission	Page Fig. 772		
	Comprehensive Framework Study,	-		
· ·	Appendix V, 1970	<del></del>		
III. Contact Person Location of Da		university)		
ac ac as as as as as ac ac ac	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA			
1. Source format:	(x) mapped () air photo () text ( () other	) tabular ( ) digital		
2. Scale of data:	1" = 25 miles			
3. Contour interva	1: NA			
(minimum geograp	Level of detail: Approx. 600 acres (unit size) (minimum geographic area) Agency that generated data:			
<ol> <li>Classifications</li> <li>a. Number</li> </ol>	of data:			
b. Listing		e from wells.		
	Scale 1 - 2000 gal./min. (flow quantity	mapped nearest LaPush		
8. Is data availab	le? (x) Yes ( ) No at 20-100 gals/m	inute).		
9. Cost of data: _				
	<u>EVALUATION</u>			
Suitability: ( ) s	uitable (x) suitable with modification	( ) not suitable		
Limitations: ( ) o	outdated (*) scale (*) accuracy ( ) a other	vailability ( ) cost		
Comments: Source n	not specified;	<b>◆</b> ·		
Acceptab	ole if no better details available;	:		
•	itable for regional analysis.			

11




Southside Community Consultants

Name	KG
Date	6/7

Consultai	nts		**************************************	
		DATA SURVEY F	ORM	
I. Vari	able Namese	liment Characteristics	and the latter party and the l	
II. Sour	ce De	ot. of Natural Resources	Page	20
	Wa	sh. Marine Atlas, 1974		·
	act Person/ tion of Data	WWU Main Library (WESTE Available from Dept. of N	RN WASHINGTON UNIV	NERSITY) Olympia
es es es es es		CHARACTERISTICS	OF DATA	
1. Source	e format: (x) m ( ) o	apped ( ) air photo ( ) ther	text ( ) tabular	~ () digital
2. Scale	of data: 1"	= 5 milęs		
3. Contou	r interval: NA			
(minim	of detail: venum geographic and that generated			
6. Date of	data produced: _	1969?		
a.	ifications of da Number 4 Listing Ro			
		( <sub>x</sub> ) Yes ( ) No		
	411 40 40 60 60 60 60 60			
		EVALUATIO	<u> </u>	
Suitabilit	ty: ( ) suitabl	e (x) suitable with modif	ication ( ) not	suitable
Limitation	ns: ( ) outdate ( ) other _	ed (x) scale (x) accurac	y () availabili	ty () cost
Comments:		ized treatment; should be fie	<b>.</b> •	

-Could be mapped if no other data available.

-Better at regional scale than site.




Name	KG 74
Date	5/31/78

		1	<u>DA</u>	TA SURVEY F	ORM				
I.	. Variable Name Soils					,	, ·		
II. Source		People Space Architecture			Page	13	1		
	<del>1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1</del>	Planning Do		1973	<del></del>				
	· ·								
III.	Contact Person								
	Location of Da	provi	ided by tr	ibe					
			<b>-</b>	<b>a</b> p ≈n no ao <b>ao</b> •			en en en en en co co		
			CHARA	CTERISTICS	OF DAT	<u>A</u>			
1. 9	Source format:	(x) mapped ( ) other	( ) <b>a</b> ir p	hoto (×)	text	(x) tabular	( ) digital		
2. 9	Scale of data: _	1" = 2 mile	es	·					
3. (	Contour interval	• NA							
	Level of detail:		soil type		40 acre	es			
	(minimum geograp		SCS						
	Agency that gene					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6.	Date data produc	:ed: <u>1931</u>	document	1938	survey				
7. (	Classifications								
		10			···	····			
	b. Listing	soil types							
8.	— Is data availabl	107 (v ) Yas	( ) No						
	Cost of data:	42 / 103	( )						
9.	cost of data:						•		
		* * * * * * *	n dan baka ann daci	EVALUATIO	 <u>N</u>		end chi sen qui sco sco su cui	-	
Suit	ability: ( ) so	uitable (x)	suitable	with modif	ication	( ) not si	ıitable		
		utdated (x)				,			
Com						*			
CONSI	2 3	eral survey fo tive table of	or an area physiogra	ı as small a ıphic positi	is the i	eservation - lief, native o	includes cover,		

drainage, color, fertility, and use suitability.

Soil types by interpretation can imply land suitability and hazard.

ij



#### COMPLETION REPORT

Contract No. 78-092 between the Quileute Indian Tribe and the Department of Ecology

"Collection of data for development of a Coastal Zone Management Plan for the Quileute Reservation"

4/17/78 to 9/30/78

September 1978

Title	Environmental Inventory Data Analysis for Land Use Planning
	Southside Community Consultants,
Author	Brandow, Robert
Subject	Suitability of data for land use planning on Quileute Reservation
Date	September 1978
Department	Department of Ecology & Quileute Planning Department
Copies	Quileute Planning, Quileute Indian Tribe Available through: P.O. Box 219, LaPush, Wn. 98350
Project Number _	78-092
Series Number _	
Number of Pages	158 pages (Part I - 63, Appendix - 95)
Abstract:	Part I of this report divides environmental data into Natural
	Resources, Natural Hazards & Limitations and Sensitive/Unique
	Areas. Data is further broken down into "topics", e.g. soils,
	fisheries, forestry, culturally unique areas, etc. A descrip-
	tion or definition of each topic is provided, as well as the
	corresponding information required for land use planning and
	an explanation of how the information is used in planning.
	Sources of information and its availability are also noted.
	Existing bio-physical data on the Quileute Reservation is
	catalogued in Appendix B of this report which covers maps of
	the Reservation, elements of the natural environment - such as
	climate, soils, geology - and elements of the cultural environ-
	ment including traffic circulation, land ownership, archaeolog-
	ical sites, etc. The information is provided on "data survey
	forms" which indicate data format, scale, date of production,
	agency involvement and an evaluation of suitability and limita-
	tions of the data in terms of the Quileute coastal zone manage-
	ment project.

# • 1. Summary Account

The Quileute Tribal Council contracted with environmental planners to assist the Tribe in planning for protection and logical land use of the Reservation's coastal area. During FY 78 information on the Quileute environs was gathered, inventoried and analyzed in terms of suitability for necessary land use planning. The original intent of the Quileute project was the development of a preliminary management plan for the coastal area. However, it was the opinion of the consulting planners that available data was not sufficient or of the quality necessary for a land use/coastal management plan. To assist the Tribal Council in preparing for a preliminary plan 14 areas of data gaps were iden tified. Fundamental areas of concern were 1) the production of a base map suitable for reservation wide planning 2) geological data and 3) soils information. Methods for gathering and producing these elements of land use planning and environmental assessment were provided in written form to the Tribal Council as part of the attached "Environmental Data Inventory Analysis for Land Use Planning". This document also contains a listing and analysis of all biophysical information presently aviable on the Quileute Reservation. It is prepared in the form of a catalogue or atlas. The study and consulting services provided as a part of this contract have been useful to the Tribe in establishing direction for continued work in coastal zone management planning.

(b) Reports, Maps, Plans, Exhibits, Etc.

"Environmental Data Inventory Analysis for Land Use Planning" was produced as a report for the Quileute Tribal Council. There is no intent of publication.

(c) Abstract

An abstract has been included on the first page after the cover of the completion report.


- 2. Five copies of the Quileute Project report are attached.
- 3. Graphic Record Alternative:

N/A

Name	KG S	ر _
Date	6/12/78	_

		DATA SURVEY FORM	
_ I.	Variable	ame Soil	
11.	Source	Pacific NW River Basin Commission,	Page 308-311
	Compre	ensive Framework Study, Appendix IV, 1971	
III.			ON UNIVERSITY)
	Location	f Data	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DAT	<u>A</u>
1.	Source form	t: (x) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text ( ) other	(x) tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of da	a: 1" = 25 miles (approx.)	
3.	Contour int	erval: NA	
4.	Level of de	ail: Approx. 200 acres	
	-	generated data: SCS, Portland Office	
6.		roduced: 1970	
7.	•	ons of data:	
•	a. Numbe	45	
	b. Listi	Soil Associations and soil interpreta	tions, i.e, engineering, agriculture,
		forestry for each association and ser	ies, elevation, major land uses,
8.	Is data ava	landscape position, parent material, lable? (x) Yes () No drainage class,	texture, profile, permeability, capability class, major soil
9.	Cost of dat		ble land treatment.
		EVALUATION	
Suit	ahilitv• (	) suitable (x) suitable with modification	( ) not suitable
	·		•
Limi	tations: (	) outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) other	availability ( ) cost
Com	Ass May	very generalized - whole reservation within ciations are mapped but interpretations are be used for analysis if soil series or type ined.	given at soil series level.

Best use is at regional level.



		3	6	
_				
			_	
<b>.</b>	-	_	-	

	side unity							36
	sultants				Date _		6/8/78	
				DATA SURV	EY FORM			
1.	Variable N	lame _	Soil Capa	bility Classes				
II.	Source		Pacific N	W. River Basin Comm	ission,	Page _	31, 35	
			Comprehen	sive Framework Stu	ıdy -	,		
			Summary,	1975			•	
III.	Contact Pe		/ <u>wwu</u>	Library ( WESTER	N WASHINGTON UN	iversity)		
	200451011	J. Du	<del></del>					
					. em en em cos en cos ess es		ຊ ແລະ ເລະ ເລະ ແລ້ ແລ້ ແລະ ແ	<b>.</b> .
				CHARACTERIST	TICS OF DATA			
1. 9	Source form	at:	(x) mapped ( ) other _	( ) air photo	( ) text ( ) t	abular	( ) digital	
2. :	Scale of da	ta: _		les				
	evel of de							
	(minimum ge	•	•					
<b>)</b> . /	Agency that	gene	rated data:	Pacific NW, Riv	er Basin Commiss	ion		
6. [	Date data p	roduce	ed: 197	<sup>'2</sup>				<u>-</u>
7. (	Classificat a. Numbe	r						
	b. Listi	ng	SOIL Capa	bility Classes - 1	VIII, Irrigatab	le Lands		
8.	Is data ava	ilabl	e? ( <sub>x</sub> ) Ye	s ( ) No				
9.	Cost of dat	a:						


### **EVALUATION**

Suitability: ( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( $_{\rm x}$ ) not suitable ( ) outdated (x) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other <u>classifications are not specific enough</u> Limitations:

Comments: Whole site is Class VI - severe limitations for cultivation.

Useful for regional planning only.


1 |



Southside	
Community	
Consultants	

Name	KG	31
Date	6/12/78	

	nsultants		
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name	Potential for Irrigation	
11.	Source	Pacific NW River Basin Commission,	Page Fig. 49, pp. 284-285
		Comprehensive Framework Study,	
		Appendix IX, 1971	
II.	Contact Person	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Location of Da		liversity )
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	(x) mapped () air photo (x) text ( () other	•
2.	Scale of data: _	1" = 25 miles	
	Contour interval		
	Level of detail: (minimum geograp Agency that gene	hic area)	
	Date data produc	,	
7.	Classifications	of data:	
	a. Number	4	
		3 classes of potentially irrigated land a	and land now irrigated.
8.	 Is data availabl	e? ( <sub>x</sub> ) Yes ( ) No	
			•
		EVALUATION	•
Suit	ability: ( ) su	uitable ( ) suitable with modification	(x) not suitable
Limi	tations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (*) scale (*) accuracy ( ) achien	vailability ( ) cost
		ckground information for planning at region	<b>&gt;</b>
Comn	eufs: naeini pa	the product of teator	MA DUGLE.



8. Is data available? (x) Yes () No

#### **EVALUATION**

Ground control points, soil log locations, soil characteristics,

Suitability: () suitable (x) suitable with modification () not suitable


Limitations: () outdated () scale () accuracy () availability () cost
() other Must be interpreted but appears to be OK. Whole reservation is not covered.

water table level, elevation

9. Cost of data:

Comments:


May provide useful background data on site specific level and may be used to verify soil survey.



Southside Community

Name	KG
Date	6/15/78

Consultants	Date _	6/15/78
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable Name	Soil Erosion Hazard	
	II C. Bound Commission	Dago 130-122
II. Source	Final Environmental Statement	Page 130-133
<del></del>	Soleduck Planning Unit (no date)	
III. Contact Person Location of Da	/ Port Angeles Library ta National Park Service Library	
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source format:	<pre>(x) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text ( ) ( ) other</pre>	tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of data: _	No scale	
3. Contour interval	: None	
4. Level of detail: (minimum geograp  Agency that gene		<u>:</u> :
6. Date data produc	ed:?	
<ol> <li>Classifications</li> <li>a. Number</li> </ol>		
	e? (x) Yes ( ) No	
9. Cost of data:		
	<u>EVALUATION</u>	
Suitability: ( ) su	itable ( ) suitable with modification ( ${f x}$	) not suitable
Limitations: () ou (x) ot	tdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) avai her does not cover reservation	lability ( ) cost
Comments: Useful fo	• or determining watershed characteristics on re-	ional scale.




Southside Community

Name	KG 70
Date	9/7/77

Cor	nsul tants	Date	371711
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
ı.	Variable Name	Soils	_
II.	Source	Soil Conservation Service	Page 21-55
		Clallam Co. Soil Survey, 1951	•
	<u> </u>		•
III.	Contact Person Location of Da		
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	OR 646,644 ON 00 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400
1.	Source format:	<pre>(x) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text ( ) ( ) other</pre>	tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data: _	1:62,500	
3.	Contour interval	: NA	
	(minimum geograp	Series - approx. 80 acres on reservation hic area) rated data: SCS	
6.	Date data produc	red: 1938	
7.	Classifications a. Number b. Listing	coastal beach, reed clay, Wellman gravely Astoria, hobro & Sekia soils. Includes de	scription of origin,
		e? (x) Yes () No productivity, compo	
9.	Cost of data:		•
- 6		EVALUATION	
Suit	ability: ( ) su	uitable ( ) suitable with modification (	) not suitable
Limi	tations: (x) ou ( ) ot	itdated (x) scale (x) accuracy () availer	ilability ( ) cost
Com	nents: -Mapped i	nformation does not correspond with on-site is old and done on large scale.	nvestigation.

-Data may be interpreted for identification of hazards and development suitability.




Name	В. В.	41
Date	6-21-78	

DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable Name Slide/erosion hazard - Lonesome Creek Site	
II. Source Johns, Chester. Report of Slide on Lonesome Creek Page	
at LaPush, Soil Conservation Service, Dec. 1977	
(Suite 214: 300 - 120th N: E. Bellevue, WA. 98205)	
III. Contact Person/ Johns, Chester A., SCS Bellevue; SCS Office, Port Angeles Location of Data	_
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	-
1. Source format: (X) mapped () air photo (X) text () tabular () digital () other	
2. Scale of data: NA	
3. Contour interval: NA	
4. Level of detail: site of slide shown by range schematic drawing (minimum geographic area)  Agency that generated data: Soil Conservation Service	
6. Date data produced: Dec. 15, 1977	
7. Classifications of data:  a. Number size of slide; possible cause of slide; remedial measures  b. Listing	
8. Is data available? (x) Yes () No 9. Cost of data:	
EVALUATION	
Suitability: ( ) suitable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limitations: ( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other	
Comments: further information required to analyze site characteristics for application of slide potential for other areas of reservation; information of limited value for	

further information required to analyze site characteristics for application of slide potential for other areas of reservation; information of limited value for planning without this analysis; data could supplement reservation wide survey of soils.

# GEOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE AND COVERAGE




Southside Community Consultants

Name	KG 4d
Date	6/1/78

•			
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name	Soil, Engineering Suitability for found	ation for building
II.	Source	Engineering Report on Site of	Page
		Ice Manufacture Bldg.	
	-		
III.	Contact Person,	, <u> </u>	
	Location of Da	ta tribe	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	( ) mapped ( ) air photo ( <sub>x</sub> ) text ( ( ) other	<sub>x</sub> ) tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data: _	NA	
3.	Contour interval	: NA	
4.	Level of detail:	point	
		v 2 1072	, ozo N. West 49th St., Seattle
6.	Date data produc	ed:	
7.	Classifications	of data:	
	b. Listing	Engineering classification, estimate of	bearing value
8.	Is data aváilabl	e? ( ) Yes ( ) No	
9.	Cost of data: _		
		EVALUATION	
Suit	tability: ( ) su	itable (x) suitable with modification	( ) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (x) scale (x) accuracy () a	availability ( ) cost
Com	ments:	· · · · · ·	•
	Would hav	re to be verified on site and spatial bound	dary fixed.

Useful background information on site specific level.

11




Name	KG
Date	6/1/78

Consultants	Date6/1/78
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable Name _	Soils - Engineering Suitability for Roads
II. Source	Black, Engineering Report on LaPush Page
	Housing Site - Road Section Design, 1978
III. Contact Person, Location of Dat	
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source format:	) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text (x) tabular ( ) digital ) other profiles, seive analysis
2. Scale of data: _	NA
3. Contour interval:	ŃA
4. Level of detail: (minimum geograph Agency that generated) 6. Date data produced	rated data: Western Testing Laboratoris, Inc., Black Lake Blvd., Olympia
7. Classifications of a. Number	
8. Is data available	e? (x ) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost of data:	
	<u>EVALUATION</u>
Suitability: ( ) su	itable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (x) scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost ner Location of boreholes for soil test not known.
Comments: Soil was this soil	found to be unsuitable for foundations - not known to what extent underlies the site.


Useful background information on site scale.

11.




Southside
Community
Consultants

Consultant	Date5/31/78
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variab	le Name Flood Plain (100 yr.)
II. Source	People Space Architecture Page
	Planning Document 2, 1973
III. Contac Locati	t Person/ provided by tribe on of Data
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source 1	Format: (x) mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital () other
2. Scale of	f data: 2" = 1 mile
3. Contour	interval: 40 ft.
4. Level of (minimum	f detail: general n geographic area) :hat generated data:
6. Date dat	a produced:
a. Nu	cations of data:  mber 1  sting 100 yr. flood
8. Is data	available? (x) Yes () No
9. Cost of	data:
	EVALUATION
Suitability	( ) suitable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
_	( ) outdated ( ) scale (x ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other
	No source available; without this information accuracy must be questioned. If more recent determination of floodplain exists, it should be used in preference to this.



Consultants	Date5/31/78
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable	Name Flood Plain Delineation
II. Source	HUD - Flood Hazard Boundary Map, 1977 Page
_	·
III. Contact I Location	erson/ Clallam Co. Planning Office of Data
49 to 40 40 40 10 10 Cm 1	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source for	nat: (*) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2. Scale of d	ta: 1" = 200'
3. Contour in	terval: NA
4. Level of do (minimum go	etail: general eographic area) c generated data: HUD
6. Date data	produced: April, 1978
<ul><li>7. Classifica</li><li>a. Numbe</li><li>b. List</li></ul>	r 1 1 100 yr. flood plain
	ailable? (x) Yes ( ) No
	EVALUATION
Suitability:	( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitations:	) outdated () scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost x) otherdoesn't cover reservation
Comments: Car	HUD provide map for reservation lands? table for regional analysis only, may be useful for reservation if

additional information is obtained on method of survey.



**EVALUATION** 

( ) availability

() cost

( ) other not mapped, no source given.

Comments: Suitable for background information only unless can be verified and


Suitability: ( ) suitable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable

() scale () accuracy

mapped by Corps.

Limitations:

( ) outdated




Southside Community

Name	KG
Date	6/15/78

Consultants	Da ce
	DATA SURVEY FORM
Į. Variabl	e Name Drainage Basins/Quileute River & tributaries
II. Source	ENCON, North Olympic Coastal Basin Water Page Fig.2
	Quality Management Plan, 1975
·	
III. Contact Locatio	City Library, Port Angeles, Clallam County Planning Office on of Data
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source for	ormat: (x) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2. Scale of	data: 1:125,000
3. Contour	interval: NA
(minimum	detail: River Basin (Major) geographic area) ENCON Consultants hat generated data:
6. Date date	a produced: 1975
a. Nur	nber  River Basin Boundaries
8. Is data	available? (x ) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost of	data:
	<u>EVALUATION</u>
Suitability:	( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification (x) not suitable
Limitations:	( ) outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other
Comments: 0	Quillayute River Drainage delineated.

Not suitable level of detail for planning.

1




Name	KG	40
Date	6/12	

Consultants	Date6/12
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable	Name River Discharge
II. Source	Pacific NW River Basin Commission Page 788,782, 809,
	Comprehensive Framework Study, 1970 821, 832
III. Contact P	
	of Data www Library (WGSTERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY)
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source form	nat: () mapped () air photo () text (x) tabular () digital () other
2. Scale of da	ata: NA
3. Contour in	terval: NA
4. Level of de	
_	eographic area) t generated data: _?
6. Date data p	produced: 1928-1938
	tions of data:
	er (cfs cubic feet per second)
D. L.150	ing mean discharge in cfs per month each year, duration curves,  dependable yield
8. Is data ava	ailable? (*) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost of da	ta:
	EVALUATION
Suitability:	( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( $_{ m x}$ ) not suitable
Limitations:	(x) outdated () scale () accuracy () availability () cost () other _different geographic area
Comments: May	show general trends but without specific comparison to outlet at

LaPush, data is virtually meaningless.

## GEOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE AND COVERAGE



Limitations:

Name	KG 74	_
Date	6/7	


Consultants	6//
DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable Name River Erosion	
II. Source Pauley, A Plan for Quileute Tribe, 1972	Page 60-63
	<del></del>
III. Contact Person/ Location of Data	
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
<ol> <li>Source format: () mapped () air photo (x) text (</li> </ol>	) tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of data: NA	
3. Contour interval: NA	
4. Level of detail: (minimum geographic area)  Agency that generated data:  Corps of Engineers	
6. Date data produced: 1971	
7. Classifications of data:  a. Number  b. Listing Spit erosion, sedimentation	
8. Is data available? (x) Yes () No 9. Cost of data:	
EVALUATION	
Suitability: ( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification	(x) not suitable

( ) availability

() cost

Useful for background information only. Comments:

( ) outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) other unmappable information




Southside	
Community	
Consultants	

Name	B.B.	<u> </u>
Date	6-21-78	

Cor	nsultants	_
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name Flow Rates - Lonesome Creek	
II.		_
	some Creek  Aug. 23, 1976 Soil Conservation Service	
III.	Contact Person/ Location of Data  Soil Conservation Service (SCS), Port Angeles District Office	
<b></b>	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	-
1.	Source format: ( ) mapped ( ) air photo (X) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other	
2.	Scale of data: NA	
3.	Contour interval: NA	
	(minimum geographic area)  Agency that generated data:Soil Conservation Service	
	Date data produced:Aug. 1976	_
	Classifications of data:	
	a. Number (1)	
	b. Listing Lonesome Creek seasonal flow rates (cubic feet per second)	_
8.	Is data available? ( ) Yes ( ) No	
9.	Cost of data:	
		_
	<u>EVALUATION</u>	
Suit	tability: ( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification (X) not suitable	
Limi	itations: ( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other	
Comm	ments: No mappable data but identifies flow rates (cfs) for Lonesome Creek drainage.	

Refers to erosion/sediment hazard for fish hatchery 3/4 mile downstream, due to August, '76 slide that occurred in area: summer - 2 - 3 cfs


winter maximum - 20 cfs



Name	BB 51
Date	6/11/78

Co	nsultants								0/11//0
•					DATA SI	URVEY FOR	<u>RM</u>		
I.	Variable	e Name	Mean An	nual Ru	noff in In	ches			
11.	Source		Pacific	NW Riv	er Basins	Commissio	on,	Page _	Fig. 2, p. 25.
	•				Framework				
	-		Appendi						
III.	. Contact Location	Person/ n of Data	W	WU Libr	ary (wg	KERN WA	shing 161	v university)	
					CHARACTER	ISTICS O	F DATA		
1.	Source fo	rmat: (x	) mapped ) other	( ) 	air photo	( ) t	ext (	) tabular	( ) digital
2.	Scale of	data:	1" = 40	miles					
	Level of	detail:	State o	f Washi	ngton				
	Agency th	at genera	ted data	:	ame as sou	rce agend	cy above	e 	
6.	Date data	produced	:1	970 con	ditions				
	Classific a. Num	ations of ber	data:						
8.	Is data a	vailable?	(×) \	'es (	) No				
9.	Cost of d	ata:							
					FVA				
Suit	tability:	( ) suit	able (	) sui	table with	modific	ation	( ) not sui	table
Limi	itations:	( ) outd	ated (	x) sca	le () a	ccuracy	( ) ā	vailability	() cost
								<b>6</b> "	


Comments: Very general (entire state), suitable for regional analysis only.



Name	KG 77
Date	6/9

Consultants
DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable Name Water Flushing Trends / OCEAN CURRENTS OFF La Push
II. Source CH2M Hill, Sewer Facilities Plan, 1975 Page 66
III. Contact Person/ available at tribe Location of Data
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source format: (*) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2. Scale of data: 1" = 600'
3. Contour interval: NA
4. Level of detail: schematic
(minimum geographic area)  Agency that generated data:CH2M Hill
6. Date data produced: 1975
7. Classifications of data:
a. Number
b. Listing longshore current and water circulation
8. Is data available? (x) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost of data:
EVALUATION
Suitability: ( ) suitable $(x)$ suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitations: () outdated () scale () accuracy () availability () cost () othersource_not_known.
Comments: Should be shocked

Should be checked.




_	٠.
_	•
h	•
_/	

Southside Community

Name'	В.В.	
Date	6/17/78	

Consultants			
	DATA SURVEY FORM		
I. Variable Name	Watershed Management, Policy Information -	-	
II. Source	Industrial discharges/Quileute River &	Page	303(E) Addendum
	Estuarine Waters; ENCON, North Olympic		pp. 16-17
	Coastal Basin Water Quality Management		
III. Contact Person/	Plan, 1975.	-	
Location of Data	City Library, Port Angeles; Cla	llam Co.	Planning Office
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA		
1. Source format: (	) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text ( ) other	tabular	( ) digital
2. Scale of data:			
3. Contour interval:	NA		
4. Level of detail:	for Quileute River Basin area		
(minimum geographī			
Agency that general	ted data: ENCON for Dept. of Ecology & Agency.	Environme	ntal Portection
6. Date data produced			
7. Classifications of	data:		
a. Number	1		
b. Listing	types of point sources of effluent into Ri	ver.	
8. Is data available?	(x) Yes ( ) No		
9. Cost of data:			
	EVALUATION		
Cuitabilitus / V auit	West for the control of the control	V	24-L3.
	cable () suitable with modification (x		
Limitations: ( ) outo	dated () scale () accuracy () avai	ilability	( ) cost
	tion mapped; significance of effluent upon		


water not identified.



Name	KG	54 
Date	6/12	

Con	ultants Date6/12	
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Name Sediment Yield/River Systems in Region	
11.	Source Pacific NW River Basins Commission, Page Fig. 766	· 
	Comprensive Framework Study, Appendix V,	
	1970	
III.	Contact Person/ WWU Library Location of Data	
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. S	ource format: (x) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other	
2. \$	ale of data: <u>1" = 35 miles</u>	
	ontour interval: NA	
	vel of detail: regional inimum geographic area)	. <u></u> _
A	ency that generated data:?	
6. D	te data produced: 1968	
7. C	assifications of data:  a. Number5	
	b. Listing Classes of sediment yield categories .02-4.0 acre ft./mi. <sup>2</sup> /yr.	
8. I	data avaîlable? ( ½) Yes ( ) No	
9. 0	st of data:	
	EVALUATION	
Suita	vility: ( ) suitable ( $^{x}$ ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limit	tions: ( ) outdated ( x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other	
Conme	Not mappable at reservation scale but useful information. Studies have shown that road development associated with forest	

utilization and bank cutting along major streams were the main sources of suspended sediment.




Southside Community Consultants

Name	KG 52
Date	5/31/78

	munity insultants					Date		5/31/78
				DATA SI	JRVEY FORM			
I.	Variable N	lame <u>Hyd</u>	rologic	cal Features				•
П.	Source	U.S	. Army	Corps of Engin	eers,		Page _	14
		Was	hington	n Environmental	Atlas, 197	5		
IĮI.	. Contact Pe		Clal	llam Co. Planni	ng Office a	nd		
	Location o		City	Library, Port	Angeles			
				CHARACTER	ISTICS OF D	ATA	as an .we for fi	
1.	Source forma	at: $\binom{x}{x}$ ma	pped her	( ) air photo	( ) text	( )	tabular	( ) digital
2.	Scale of dat	ta: <u>1:7</u>	50,000					
3.	P							
4.	Level of det (minimum geo	ographic ar	ea)	Corps of En	gineers			
6.	Date data pr	roduced:	1975					
7.	Classificat	10	a:					
	b. Listi	ng <u>rive</u>	ers and	estuary on sit	te			
8.	Is data ava	ilable? (	,) Yes	( ) No				
9.	Cost of data	a:						
	4 .			<u>EVA</u>	LUATION			
Suit	tability: (	) suitable	( )	suitable with	modificati	on (×	) not sui	itable
Limi	itations: (	) outdated ) other	(*)	scale () a	ccuracy (	) avai	lability	( ) cost
Com				overage, not ev		for re	gional an	alysis

specific sites located by arrow and key




outhside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG	56 
Date	5/31	

U	nsu r curres					
			DATA SU	RVEY FORM		
I.	Variable Name	Biologica	l Life Zones and	Species Habitats	_	
11.	Source	Army Corp	s of Engineers		Page	15-21
	**************************************	Washingto	n Environmental	Atlas, 1975	-	
					•	
III.	Contact Perso	·				
	Location of L	Cla	llam Co. Plannir	g, City Library,	Port Ange	les
			CHARACTERI	STICS OF DATA		
1.	Source format:	$(x)$ mapped $($ $)$ other $\_$	( ) air photo	( <sub>x</sub> ) text ( )	tabular	( ) digital
2.	Scale of data:	1:750,000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3.	Contour interva	l: NA				
4.	Level of detail	:very gene	ral			
	(minimum geogra					
_			Corps of Engi		<del></del>	
6.	Date data produ	iced:197	5			
7.	Classifications					
	a. Number		anadian Hudoosi	an, Humid Transit:	-	. Home 3
	b. Listing _			an, Arid Transition		·
Ω	Is data availab				•	- Grado Parina.
	·					
9.	Cost of data: _				·	•
			EVAL	UATION		
Suit	tability: ( ) s	$uitable$ ( $_{x}$ )	suitable with	modification (	) not su	itable
Limi	itations: () c	outdated (x)	scale () ac	curacy ( ) avai	lability	( ) cost
Comm	ments: Whole w	astern nortion	of panningula	• 		

Whole western portion of penninsula is within humid transition (timbered classification). Habitat matrix is provided to equate broad type habitats with life zones and equate specific species with life zones and habitats. Suitable for regional analysis.




Southside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG	57
Date	6/3	_

Co	nsultants	Uate 6/3	<del></del>
		DATA SURVEY FORM	1 1
I.	Variable Name	Natural History (Biological Habitat)	
II.	Source	Corps of Engineers, Environmental Page	32-38
		Evaluation - Quileute River Spit Restoration Project, 1974	
III.	Contact Perso Location of D		•
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	( ) mapped ( ) air photo ( <sup>x</sup> ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other	
2.	Scale of data:	NA	
3.	Contour interva	al: NA	
	Level of detail (minimum geogra Agency that gen		
6.	Date data produ	uced:1975	
7.	Classifications a. Number b. Listing _	Vegetation and animal occurrence in marine tidal area, upper tidal zones, subtidal zone, Quileute Estuary, (species listing)	
8.	Is data availab	ole? (x) Yes ( ) No	
9.	Cost of data: _		
		<u>EVALUATION</u>	<b>.</b>
Suit	ability: ( ) s	suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limi	tations: $\binom{1}{x}$	outdated () scale () accuracy () availability () cost other not mapped.	······
	ents: +=:		

If we can identify these zones within area and map spatial boundaries it

will be useful.




Southside Community Consultants

Name	В. В.	58
Date	6-15-78	

DATA	SURVEY	FORM
------	--------	------


I. Variable Name Biological Surveys; baseline data, ecological studies for State of  Mathematical Science, Northwest, Inc.  Washington  Fage
Compendium of Current Environmental Studies in Puget Sound and Northwest  Estuaries Waters, 1976. The Oceanographic Institute of Washington  III. Contact Person/ Location of Data
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source format: (X) mapped () air photo (X) text () tabular () digital () other
2. Scale of data: 1:12,000
3. Contour interval;
4. Level of detail: (minimum geographic area)
Agency that generated data Washington State Dept. of Game
6. Date data produced: Aug. 10, 1976 - June 30, 1977
7. Classifications of data:  a. Number Macrobiotic communities (numerous) - terrestrial and aquatic and marine  b. Listing plant/animal relationships/types by community; relative abundance - maps specific site and extent of community.
8. Is data available? (x) Yes () No
9. Cost of data: In question
<u>EVALUATION</u>
Suitability: (X) suitable () suitable with modification () not suitable
Limitations: () outdated () scale () accuracy () availability () cost () other
Comments: information if available appears to be ideal scale and degree of specificity - call for further information.



Southside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG	5°
Date	5/31	

DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable Name <u>zoological/Aquatic Features</u>	
II. Source U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Page 32	
Washington Environmental Atlas, 1975	
III. Contact Person/ Location of Data  City Library, Port Angeles, Clallam County  Planning Office	
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA  1. Source format: (x) mapped () air photo (x) text () tabular () digital () other	
2. Scale of data: 1:750,000	
3. Contour interval: NA	
4. Level of detail: general - features mentioned by name (minimum geographic area) Agency that generated data: Corps of Engineers	
6. Date data produced: 1975	
7. Classifications of data:  a. Number 10  b. Listing Herring spawning area, andromodous rivers important aquatic habitats are mentioned on site for marine mammals and	
8. Is data available? $(x)$ Yes ( ) No	
9. Cost of data:	
<u>EVALUATION</u>	. <b>-</b>
Suitability: ( ) suitable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limitations: ( ) outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other not enough precision	
Comments: On-site verification required; better for regional analysis.	



Name	В. В.	60
Date	6-27-78	


CO	msurcancs	
		DATA SURVEY FORM
Ι.	Variable	Name Fish Use of the Quileute Estuary
11.	. Source _	U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Environment Page Figure 7
	_	Evaluation - Quileute Spit Restoration - Nov. 1974
	-	
III.	. Contact	Person/ provided by tribe n of Data
	LUCALIU	I DI Data
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source for	rmat: ( ) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( x ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2.	Scale of	data: NA
3.	Contour i	nterval: NA
4.		detail: NA
		geographic area) at generated data: assumed to be Corps of Engineers
6.		produced: ?
7.		ations of data:
,.		per Monthly occurrence of 9 commercial fish species
		ting life stages identified - spawner migration; juvenile out migration
8.	Is data a	vailable? (x) Yes ( ) No
9.	Cost of da	ata:
		EVALUATION
Suit	tability:	(x) suitable () suitable with modification () not suitable
Lim	itations:	( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Comments: data not mappable but important information for river and fisheries management.

H

Name	В. В.	61
Date	6-27-78	

	DATA SURVEY FORM
ı.	Variable Name Wild Life Habitat - Sea Birds on Coastal Islands
II.	Source Corps of Engineers, Environmental Evaluation - page 33, 37
	Quileute River Spit Restoration Project, 1974
III.	Contact Person/provided by tribe Location of Data
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source format: ( ) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2.	Scale of data: NA
	Contour interval: NA
4.	Level of detail: NA (minimum geographic area) Agency that generated datassumed Corps of Engineers
6.	Date data produced:?
	Classifications of data:  a. Number by sea bird species - 8 listed; coastal birds - 18 listed
	b. Listingspecies, feeding habitat; period of migration; residency.
	Is data available? (X) Yes () No
9.	Cost of data:
	<u>EVALUATION</u>
Suit	ability: ( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limi	tations: ( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other
Com	ments: Information not mapped.




1

Name	KG 67
Date	6/15/70

	nsultants		Dat	.e6/15/78
			DATA SURVEY FORM	
I	Variable Name	Marine Mammal	Habitats	
II.	Source	Johnson & Jeff	fries,	Page
•••		<del></del>	useum of Natural History,	
		Unpublished fi	ield data, 1977	<del></del>
III.	Contact Person Location of Da	ta	$-\epsilon \cdot f$	
			CO. Flamming Commission	•
			CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	(x) mapped () () other	air photo ( ) text (	) tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data: _	1.62 500		
3.	Contour interval	NA		
4.	Level of detail:			or to consume the significant residence of th
	(minimum geograp Agency that gene	nic area; rated data:	Puget Sound Museum of Natur	al History
6.				
7.	Classifications			
	,			
8.	Is data availabl	e? (x) Yes (	) No	
	-		EVALUATION	
Sui	tability: 🖟) su	itable ( ) sui	itable with modification	( ) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	tdated (x) sca her	ale () accuracy () a	vailability ( ) cost
Com	montre Confirma	habitat amalusia		•


Comments: Confirms habitat analysis of regional references with on-site survey.



·į

Name	KG KG
Date	5/31

	nsultants	Date5/31
		DATA SURVEY FORM
1.	Variable Na	Me Zoological/Terrestrial Features
11.	Source	Army Corps of Engineers Page 38
		Washington Environmental Atlas, 1975
	·	
III.	Contact Per Location of	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source format	: $(x)$ mapped ( ) air photo $(x)$ text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2.	Scale of data	: 1:750,000
3.	Contour inter	val: NA
_	(minimum geog	il: very general raphic area) enerated data: Corps of Engineers
6.		duced: 1975
7.	Classificatio	ns of data: 5
	b. Listing	National wildlife refuges and critical wildlife habitat
		areas on site.
		able? (x) Yes ( ) No
9.		
		EVALUATION
Suit	tability: ()	suitable () suitable with modification (x) not suitable
Limi	itations: ( ) ( )	outdated ( $_{x}$ ) scale ( $_{xx}$ ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost other
Com	ments: Regio	nal background data only



Name	KG	04- 
Date	5/31	

Consultants	Date5/31
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable Name	Wildlife Habitats
II. Source	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Environmen- Page 41-53
	tal Atlas, Wash. State, 1975
III. Contact Person Location of Da	
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source format:	<pre>(x) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other</pre>
2. Scale of data: _	
3. Contour interval	: NA.
<ul><li>4. Level of detail: (minimum geograp</li><li>Agency that gene</li></ul>	hic area)
6. Date data produc	ed: 1975
7. Classifications a. Number b. Listing	
	e? (x) Yes ( ) No
	<u>EVALUATION</u>
Suitability: ( ) su	itable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitations: ( ) ou	tdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost her
Though th	species mentioned which inhabit site are listed on back.  sey are not located on-site they are known to occur in this area.

Suitable for regional analysis and background data. May be implied for site if verified and specific habitats identified.

### <u>BIHDS</u>

Red Throated Loon Vestern Grebe Storm Petrel Western Canadian Goose Lesser Canadian Goose Swans Blue Heron Goshalk Cooper Hawk Bald Eagle Merlin American Kestrel Peregrine Falcon Blue Grouse Ruffed Grouse Sandhill Crane Snipe Band Tailed Pigeon Rhinocerous Auklet Ancient Murrelet Western Bluebird Bobolink

### MAMMALS - terrestrial


Black Bear Martin Jougar Hoosevelt Elk Columbia Blacktail Deer

#### <u>MAA (ALS</u> - marine

Sea Lions Seals Whales Otter

Name	KG 65
Date	6/15

Cor	isul tants	
		DATA SURVEY FORM
ı.	Variable Name	Eagle Nesting
11.	Source	Grubb, "1975 Bald Eagle Activity and Page
		Nest Site Data for Western Washington,"
		1975.
III.	Contact Person/	National Park Service headquarters
	Location of Data	Resource Management Division Office, Port Angeles
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source format: (x	) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ) other
2.	Scale of data:	1:62:500
3.	Contour interval:	20'
	Level of detail: (minimum geographī	c area)
	Agency that genera	ted data: Univ. of Washington
	Date data produced	
	Classifications of	
	a. Number	
	b. Listing	Eagle Nesting Sites
8.	Is data available	? ( <sub>x</sub> ) Yes ( ) No
9.	Cost of data:	
	<b></b> .	
		EVALUATION
Suit	ability: (* ) suit	table ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limi	tations: ( ) outo	dated () scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost
Comm	ents: Not sure w	hether cover reservation.
	Done by ai	r survey.
	If verifie	d, suitable for on-site analysis.



II.

Name	KG
Date	6/9

Co	onsultants	oate .	6/9
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
1.	. Variable Name	Vegetation Zones	<del>-</del>
H	. Source	National Park Service	Page 22-25
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Env. Impact Statement on	
	<del></del>	Olympic National Park Plan, 1976	<b>.</b>
III	. Contact Person Location of Da		
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format:	(x) mapped ( ) air photo $(x)$ text ( ) ( ) other	tabular () digital
2.	Scale of data: _	l" = 5 miles	
3.	Contour interval	: NA	
4.	Level of detail:	whole coastal strip is one designation	**************************************
	(minimum geograp		
		rated data: NPS	
6.	Date data produc	ed: 1976	
7.	Classifications	of data:	•
		1	_
	b. Listing	mixed lowland coniferous forest	
8.	Is data availabl	e? ( <sub>x</sub> ) Yes ( ) No	
9.	Cost of data:		
	_ = = = = = = =		
		EVALUATION	
Sui	tability: ( ) su	uitable ( ) suitable with modification (	×) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) ou ( ) ot	itdated $(x)$ scale $(x)$ accuracy $(x)$ availer	ilability ( ) cost
	ments. Must be v	verified by site investigation.  on deleted from map - must assume on-site cha	

adjacent area. OK for regional analysis, but too general for site.

Name	KG
Date	6/9


Cons	sultants	vate		6/9
		DATA SURVEY FORM		
I.	Variable Name	Vegetation Zones		
II.	Source	National Park Service	Page _	22-25
		Env. Impact Statement on		
		Olympic National Park Plan, 1976		•
111	Contact Person/		4	
111.	Location of Data	provided to tribe	·	
			<del></del> -	
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA		
1. So	ource format: $\binom{1}{x}$	) mapped ( ) air photo $\binom{1}{x}$ text ( ) table other	oular	( ) digital
2. Sc	cale of data:	1" = 5 miles		
3. Ca	ontour interval:	NA		
		whole coastal strip is one designation		
	minimum geographī			
Aç	gency that genera	ted data: NPS		
6. Da	ate data produced	: 1976		
7. C	lassifications of	data:		
		1		
	b. Listing	mixed lowland coniferous forest		
8. Is	s data available?	( <sub>x</sub> ) Yes ( ) No		
9. Co	ost of data:	•		
J. 0.				•
		EVALUATION		
C	12721 / 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 ••	
Suital	bility: ( ) suit	cable ( ) suitable with modification $(x)$ r	not Suit	able
Limita	ations: ( ) outo	dated (*) scale (*) accuracy ( ) availaber	oility	() cost
Comme	nts: Must be ver	rified by site investigation.	-	,

Reservation deleted from map - must assume on-site characteristics from adjacent area. OK for regional analysis, but too general for site.

Southside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG	67
Date	5/31	

Consultant	S		Date		3/31
		DATA SURVEY FORM	<u>.</u>		
I. Variab	le Name	Botanical Features			
II. Source	<b>)</b>	Army Corps of Engineers		Page	26
•,		Washington Environmental Atlas, 197	75		
	ct Person/ ion of Data				
	, on, o, bao	City Library, Port Angeles, C	Clallam Coun	ty Pl	anning
<u>-</u> .		CHARACTERISTICS OF	nata		
1 - Causaa -	5a				/ \
1. Source t	rormat: (x (	) mapped ( ) air photo (x) tex ) other	,	ular	( ) digital
2. Scale o	f data:	1:750,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3. Contour	interval:	NA .			
		very general, not site specific.	* s * :		
	m geographī that genera	Corps of Engineers.			
	_				
		1975			
	ications of umber	14			
b. L:	isting	Different vegetation communities th	hat are sign:	ifican	nt are mapped.
e e		Area around LaPush noted as habitat			tive plants and
3. Is data	available?	(x) Yes () No	x communities	s.	
9. Cost of	data:				
		EVALUATION	·		
Suitability	: ( ) suit	able ( ) suitable with modificat	ion (x) no	ot su	itable
Limitations	: ( ) outd ( ) othe	dated (x) scale (x) accuracy er specific species and sites not	( ) availab mentioned.	ility	( ) cost
Comments:	Useful as h	packground information for regional	description		




outhside
Community
Consultants

Name	KG	68
Date	6/11	

	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Varial	le Name Ground Cover (and Vegetation)
II. Source	Reed, Bureau of Indian Affairs Page whole report
	Forestry Study, 1976
III. Conta	
Locat	on of Data Applications, 3621 S. State Rd., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107.
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source	ormat: ( ) mapped ( x) air photo (x) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other
2. Scale o	data: 1:1,000,000
3. Çontour	interval: NA
(minimu	detail:pixel (about a 2 acre area) geographic area)
	hat generated data: Bendix Corp.
6. Date da	a produced: 1973
	cations of data: mber
b. L	sting Various forest community types and urban/transitional cover types
8. Is data	available? (x) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost of	data:
	_ ;
	EVALUATION
Suitability	( ) suitable (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitations	( ) outdated (x) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other
Comments:	Maps prepared for Quinaul Reservation at scale of 1:50,000.  Maps and overlays can be made at scale of 1:24,000.  Perhaps this can be used to obtain cover analysis for Quileute.  Could also provide information on land uses within Quileute River drainage

By association to forest community type certain wildlife habitats could be located.



Southside
Community
Consultants


Name	BB	69
Date	6/13/78	

Co	onsultants		0/23/10
		DATA SURVEY FORM	
1.	. Variable Name	Forest Community Types/Soil Chemistry Asso	c. With Each
11.	. Source	Kratz, Vegetational Analysis of the	Page
		Coastal Forest Zone in Olympic National	
		Park, 1975.	
III.	. Contact Person/	Western Washington Univ. Library	
	Location of Data		
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1.	Source format: (	) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text (x ) ) other	tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data:		
3.			
4.	(minimum geographī	(specific locations identified within 1/4 c area)	mile area.)
	Agency that genera	ted data: Biology Dept., WWU	
6.		June 1974	
7.	Classifications of	data:	
	a. Number	8	
	b. Listing	Tree species and 8 different associated sp	- ecies depending upon
		weather exposure, slope, soil conditions,	
8.	Is data available?	(*) Yes ( ) No	
9.	Cost of data:	·	
			•
		EVALUATION	
Sui	tability: ( ) suit	able (x) suitable with modification (	) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) outo	lated () scale () accuracy () ava- er ecological zones equatible to those on	ilability ( ) cost and near reservation.
Com		n not interpreted at all, technical volume	

Comments: Information

information not interpreted at all; technical relevance for planning would

require further interpretation.



Name	В. В.
Date	6-15-78

CO	nsuitants
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. II.	will be consistent by habitat.
	by Shapiro Associates for Dept. of Ecology, State of Washington, June, 1977.
111.	Contact Person/ Clallam County Planning Location of Data
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source format: () mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital () other
2.	Scale of data: NA
3.	Contour interval: NA
	Level of detail: Non-specific; identifies habitat characteristics for classifying land (minimum geographic area) types for habitat management under CFM  Agency that generated data: Shapiro Associates, Seattle
	Date data produced:
7.	Classifications of data:  a. Number 9 wave action, flushing characteristics - temp., salinity, dis. o2, wave action, flushing characteristics, subtidal/intertidal/slope charact./ b. Listing substrata types; solid rocks, mixed coarse, mixed water depth
	fine, clean sand, muddy sand, mud, eelgrass, salt marsh, algae
8.	Is data available? ( ) Yes ( ) No ?
9.	Cost of data:
	<u>EVALUATION</u>


No ( ) not suitable Suitability: (x) suitable ( ) suitable with modification

( ) outdated () scale () accuracy Limitations: ( ) availability

discussion according to general categories only.

general classification of substrata habitats described - not suitable for mapping Comments: but could be quite useful as background data for aquatic habitat management

programs in future. Contact source for more information.




	1
Name	KG
Date	6/1/78
1	
	Page 70
975	-
& Public	Library, City of Port Angeles
DATA	
xt ()	tabular ( ) digital
······································	
l) and Na	- tional Park adjacent to

Southside

Community Consultants			Date		6/1/78
		DATA SURVI	Y FORM		
I. Variable Name _	Environmen	tal Use Features		î	
II. Source	Corps of E	ngineers		Page	70
	Washington	Environmental At	las, 1975	-	٠.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
III. Contact Person/ Location of Dat		County Planning	Office & Public	Library,	City of Port Angeles
		CHARACTERIST	ICS OF DATA		क पैक का धा सब हों की का का सैक का <sup>का</sup>
1. Source format: (	(x) mapped ( ) other	( ) air photo	(x) text ()	tabular	( ) digital
2. Scale of data:	1:750,000				
3. Contour interval:	none				
4. Level of detail: (minimum geograph Agency that gener			rs	•	
6. Date data produce	_				
7. Classifications of a. Number b. Listing	20 Wilderness	area (Washington n; a Quileute Need			
8. Is data available 9. Cost of data:					
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		to 600 170 AG ter ma gar ma			,
		EVALUA	TION		
Suitability: ( ) su	itable ()	suitable with mo	dification (;	k) not su	itable
Limitations: ( ) out	tdated () ner <u>use</u> area	scale () accu	racy () ava	ilability	( ) cost
		s outside reserva			


Background regional data.



! |

Name _	В. В.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Date	6-15-78	

Consult	ants
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Var	ment title - Summary and Analysis of Environmental Information of the Oregon and icble Name Environmental Information Summary (Overall Survey) Washington Coastal Zone and Offshore Areas.
II. Šot	rce Compendium/Resource Index at Clallam County Page F-6-761
	Planning Office
III. Con	tact Person/ Fox Oceanographic Institute of Washington: by Pizzo, J. T., ation of Data Harshman, G. W., 312 First Av., N., Seattle, WA 98109, or contact
Lov	Human Resource & Planning Institute - 206 (622-1380).
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Sour	te format: (x) mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital $\begin{pmatrix} x \end{pmatrix}$ other overlays
2. Scal	e of data: 1:250,000
3. Cont	our interval:
	of detail:includes Washington Coastal Region
	mum geographic area)  y that generated data: Oceanographic Institute of Washington affiliated with
_	private contractors listed above
6. Date	data produced: Oct. 12, 1976 to Aug. 12, 1977.
	sifications of data:
	Number Economic, social and natural resources: vulnerability analysis of
b	Listing recreational resources of coastal zone/compilation of all information available.
8. Is d	ata available? ( ) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost	of data:
	EVALUATION
Suitabil	ity: ( ) suitable ( x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
Limitati	Anthon
Comments	




Suitability: () suitable () suitable with modification (x) not suitable

Limitations: () outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy () availability () cost
() other <u>categories are too broad and not spatially defined.</u>

Comments:

Identifies Quileute needles and beaches as geologically important. Washington Islands Wilderness Area as prime wildlife habitat, and LaPush as recreational and fisheries area and probable habitat of sea otter.

Possible use as background data.




### III. CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT

RESERVATION	
TRAFFIC	74
SEWER SYSTEM	75-79
LAND USE	80-85
LAND OWNERSHIP	86
ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES	87 -88
UNIQUE CULTURAL FEATURES	89 - 90
REGION	
LAND OWNERSHIP	91-9:
LAND USES	93-99

Name	K-G	1"1
Date	6/3/78	


- Consultants					50.00		
D	•		DATA	CHONEN E	∩DM		
				SURVEY F	UKM		
I. Variabl	e Name	Traffi	ic Circulation Army Corps of	Fngineers			
II. Source	Environme				,	_ Page _	20
	Quileute	River Sp	pit Restoratio	n, 1975	·	<del>_</del>	
						_	
		provid	ded by tribe.				
Locatio	on of Data						
							·
			CHARACTE	RISTICS	OF DATA		
1. Source fo	ormat: ( )	mapped other	( ) air phot				( ) digital
2. Scale of	data:	NA					
3. Contour i	interval: _	NA					
4. Level of	detail:	genera	<b>a</b> ].				
(minimum	geographic	area)					
Agency th	nat generate	ed dața:	presume Cor	ps of Eng	ineers		
6. Date data	produced:	?					
	cations of o						
b. Lis	sting Desci	ription o	of circulation	pattern,	boat trave	el, and mo	orage.
	<del> </del>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
8. Is data a	available?	( ) Yes	s ()No				
9. Cost of a	data:						
		,	<u>E</u> /	VALUATION	1		
Suitability:	( ) suital	ble ()	) suitable wit	th modifi	cation (2	c) not su	itable
Limitations:	(*) outdat ( ) other	ted ( )	) scale (x) not mapped o	accuracy v docume	() ava	ilability	( ) cost
Comments:			of any signi:		<b>*</b>		`
	not even	uceful h	ackaround date	_			



Southside	
Community	
Consultants	

Name	BB
Date	6/17/78

Consultants	Date 6/1//8
	DATA SURVEY FORM
I. Variable	Name Sewer System layout (collectors), La Push
	CH2M, A Sewage Facilities Plan for the Page 41
	Village of La Push, 1975
-	
III. Contact Location	Person/ available from tribe. Of Data
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1. Source for	rmat: (x) mapped () air photo () text () tabular () digital () other
2. Scale of	data: 1" = 400 ft.
3. Contour i	nterval: NA
	detail: map shows community area and uplands
	geographic area) at generated data: CH2M
	produced: 1975
	ations of data:
	per NA
b. Lis	ting NA
8. Is data a	vailable? (x) Yes ( ) No
9. Cost of da	nta:
	EVALUATION
	(x) suitable () suitable with modification () not suitable
Limitations:	( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other
Comments:	Base map used may be useful for mapping other information as well.



								16
Southside Community					Name			
	onsultants				Date		6/8/78	
			DATA SU	RVEY FORM				
1	. Variable Name _	Sewer Li	ne Location		<del></del>			
11	. Source	Pauley, Passarvat	A Plan for the Quion, 1972	ileute		Page _	73	
	***************************************	Reservati						
III	. Contact Person/ Location of Date	Office						
			CHARACTERI	STICS OF DAT	<u>ΓΑ</u>			
1.	Source format: (	x) mapped ) other _	( ) air photo	·	:		( ) digital	
2.	Scale of data:	1" = 400	ft.					
	Contour interval:	٠.						
4.	Level of detail:							
	(minimum geograph	•	Pauley & Assoc	•				
5.	Agency that gener	ateo oata:	-					

8. Is data available? (x) Yes ( ) No 9. Cost of data:

b. Listing \_\_ Sewer line location, sizes

Date data produced: 1972

a. Number


//. Classifications of data:

EVALUATION

Suitability:	( ) suitable	(*) suitabl	e with modifica	ation ( ) not suit	table
Limitations:	( ) outdated ( ) other	( ) scale	( ) accuracy	( ) availability	( ) cost

Comments:

Transpose to base map at better scale; should be updated.



Southside	Name		BB	17
Community Consultants	Date		6/17/78	
DATA SURVEY FOR	NS MS			
I. Variable Name Population & Domestic Sewage Load				
II. Source CH2M, A Sewage Facilities Plan for the		Page	25	
Village of La Push, 1975		,		
III. Contact Person/ available from tribe				
Location of Data			`	
CHARACTERISTICS OF  1. Source format: () mapped () air photo () te () other	ext (x) ta	bul <b>ar</b>	( ) digital	
2. Scale of data: NA				
3. Contour interval: NA	: 			
4. Level of detail: NA				
(minimum geographic area)  Agency that generated data: CH2M				
6. Date data produced: _ ?				
7. Classifications of data:  a. Number 6  b. Listing Gallons per capita per day (flow rate suspended solids (SS); gallons per be	a); biochemic	cal oxy	gen demand (BO)	0);
8. Is data available? (x) Yes () No	Sac per day			

**EVALUATION** 


Suitability: (\*) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable

( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) other \_\_\_\_ () availability () cost Limitations:

Comments:

Cost of data:

Useful for background data but not mapable.



Southside Community Consultants	_	KG 5/31/78	78
DATA SURVEY FORM	1		
I. Variable Name Sewer Plan for Reservation			
People Space Architecture, Planning Document 2, 1973		Page	
III. Contact Person/ Location of Data provided by tribe			<u> </u>
CHARACTERISTICS OF	DATA		
1. Source format: (x) mapped () air photo () tex () other	xt ()	abular () digital	
2. Scale of data: 1" = 2 miles			
3. Contour interval: 40 ft.			
4. Level of detail: very general		,	_
(minimum geographic area)  Agency that generated data:  People Space Archie	tecture -	source unknown	
6. Date data produced: 1973			
7. Classifications of data: a. Number			
b. Listing Sewer collection line, collection point	nt, distri	bution field.	

# **EVALUATION**


Suitability:	( )	suitable	( ) suitable	e wi	ith modificat	tion	(x ) not suit	able	<b>:</b>	
Limitations:	(x)	outdated other no	(x) scale source given	( )	accuracy	(,)	availability	( )	cost	
							•			

Comments: Propos

8. Is data available? ( ) Yes ( ) No


9. Cost of data:

Proposal only - outdated because of new design.



OK for background description.


Comments:



thside	N	ame	KG 8	0
mmunity Consultants			5/31/78	
	DATA SURVEY FORM			
Variable Name	eLaPush Village Existing Land Use			
. Source	People Space Architecture	Page _		
	Planning Document 2, 1973			
I. Contact Personal Location of				
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA			-
Source format:	(x) mapped () air photo () text () other	( ) tabular	( ) digital	
Scale of data:	l" = 2 miles			
Contour interv	al: NA			
Level of detai	]: general			
	raphic area) nerated data: People Space Architectu	•••		
	luced: 1973			
Classification				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6			
	Residential, commercial, institutional, in wilderness, Coast Guard.	ndustrial,		
Is data availa	ble? (x) Yes ( ) No			
Cost of data:		*		
		~ - '		-
	EVALUATION			
itability: ()	suitable ( ) suitable with modification	(x) not sui	table	
mitations: (x)	outdated (x) scale () accuracy () other not specific categories	availability	( ) cost	

Categories too general for all but background reference.


mments:



Southside Community Consultants

Name	KG
Date	5/31/78


Consultants	ts	<b>e</b> 5/31/78
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variab	ble Name Existing Land Use	
•	People Space Architecture,	Page none indicated
	Planning Document 2, 1973	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
III. Contac Locati	ct Person/ <u>provided by tribe</u>	
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	,
1. Source f	format: (x) mapped () air photo () text (	) tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of	of data:2" = 1 mile	
3. Contour	r interval: 40 ft.	
(minimum	of detail: general um geographic area)	
. Agency t	that generated data: People Space Architecture	
6. Date dat	ata produced: 1973	<del>_</del>
	fications of data:	
	Number 4  isting Tribal Trust Land, National Park Service La	ndv to Reservation,
2, 2.	NPS high density recreational development.	
8. Is data	a available? (x) Yes ( ) No	
	f data:	
	EVALUATION	•
Suitability:	y: ( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification	(x) not suitable
Limitations:	s: ( ) outdated (x ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) av ( ) other <u>categories too general</u>	ailability ( ) cost
Comments:	OK for background reference but categories are n	ot appropriate for planning



Name	KG	<u>82</u>
Date	6/8/78	

Co	nsultants		•			Date .		6/8/78
				DATA	SURVEY FORM			
ı.	Variabl	e Name	Land Use I	Plan				
Π.	Source	_	Pauley, A	Plan for the	Quileute	-	Page	Map in Appendix
		<del></del> _	Reservatio	on, 1972				
	•						•	
111.	Contact Locatio	Person/ on of Data		of BIA, Evere	,			
		- <b></b> -		CHARACTE	RISTICS OF DA	 ATA	** ** , as as .	
1.	Source fo	ormat: (2	() mapped ) other <u> </u>	( ) air phot schematic map	o () text	( )	tabular	( ) digital
2.	Scale of	data:	1" = 400'				·	
3.	Contour i	nterval:	40'					
		geograph	ic area)					
Ψ.	Agency th	at gener	ated data:	Pauley & Ass	oc.			
6.	Date data	produce	d:	1972				
7.	Classific a. Num		f data:	Schematic				
		ting	Hatchery.		at basin, fil site, playgr	ll, futi	re mobil nd beach.	e home site,
8.	Is data a	vailable	? (x') Yes	( ) No				
9.	Cost of d	lata:						
						<b></b>		
				EV	ALUATION			
Suit	ability:	( ) sui	table 🖟 )	suitable wit	h modificatio	on (	) not su	itable
Limi	tations:	( ) out	dated () er <u>only</u>	scale () for reference	accuracy (	) avai	lability	( ) cost
Comn	nents:	Not very	practical,	, may be inter	esting to map	<b>*</b> ·		•

as background information at site level.



Sout	thside			Name		KG	83
	mmunity onsultants						
	f		DATA SURVEY	FORM			
I.	Variable Name	LaPush Vil					
	•	People Spa	ce Architecture,		_		
II.	. Source	Planning D	ocument 2, 1973		Page _		
		# <u>***</u>					
III	. Contact Perso						
	Location of D	ata provid	ed by tribe				
	:						
			CHARACTERISTICS	OF DATA			
_	:						
1.	Source format:	(x) mapped () other	() air photo ()		abular	( ) digital	
2.	Scale of data:	2" = 1 mil	e				
3.	Contour interva	il: NA					
4.	Level of detail	: good	1 acre				
	(minimum geogra	phic area)					
V.	Agency that gen	erated data:	People Space Ar	chitecture			
6.	Date data produ	iced: 1973					
7.	Classifications	of data:					
	a. Number _	6					
	b. Listing _	residential,	commercial, instituti	onal, industr	ial, wil	derness, Coast	
	_	Guard, agricu	lture, no construction	on			
8.	Is data availab	ole? ( ) Yes	( ) No				
9.	Cost of data:						
	<del>-</del>			<del></del>			


# EVALUATION

Suitability:	(x) suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limitations:	( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost (x) other proposal, not existing condition	
	lacklacklack	

Comments:


Proposal - does not reflect present condition.

Suitable as background data only at reservation scale.



Name	BB	84
Date	6/17/78	

CO	nsurcancs	
		DATA SURVEY FORM
I.	Variable Name	Land Analysis/Reservation Lands
п.	Source	Pauley, A Plan for Quileute Tribe, 1972 Page A-10, A-11
III.	Contact Person/ Location of Data	Office of BIA, Everett
		CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA
1.	Source format: ()	mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text $(x)$ tabular ( ) digital other
2.	Scale of data:	NA
3.	Contour interval:	NA
4.	Level of detail:	in acres
``	(minimum geographic	area)
3.	Agency that generate	ed data:?
		?
	Classifications of	
	a. Number 2 lo	wlands - below 16' elevation; highlands above 16' elevation.
	b. Listing Ocean	n shore; level & slope to 8%; 8~00%; over 18%; floodplain.
	·	
8.	Is data available?	(x) Yes ( ) No
9.	Cost of data:	
		EVALUATION
Suit	ability: ( ) suita	ble (x) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable
_ 1141	() other	ted () scale () accuracy () availability () cost
Com	ents: Informati	ion not mapped; Smith & Hattle Bright properties
	treated s	separately from recognized Quilente Reservation lands.




Southside Name	KG 85
Community Date	5/31/78
DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable Name Proposed Land Use	
People Space Architecture	Dage
II. Source Planning Document 2, 1973	Page
	• -
III. Contact Person/	
Location of Data provided by tribe	
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source format: (x) mapped () air photo () text () () other	tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of data: 2" = 1 mile	
3. Contour interval: 40 ft.	,
4. Level of detail: general	
(minimum geographic area)	
Agency that generated data: People Space Architecture	
6. Date data produced: 1973	
7. Classifications of data:	
a. Number 11	<del>-</del> .
b. Listing residential, commercial, institutional/school,	recreation, agriculture,
Coast Guard, cemetery, sewage treatment, coop density recreation, industrial	agriculture, NPS high
8. Is data available? (x) Yes () No	
9. Cost of data:	
EVALUATION	

Suitability:	$\binom{1}{x}$ suitable ( ) suitable with modification ( ) not suitable	
Limitations:	() outdated () scale () accuracy () availability () cost (x) other proposal, not a mapping of existing use.	

Comments: This is a proposal. It does not reflect present activity.

Good for background information.




Southside
Community
Consultants

Name _	В. В.	86
Date	6-27-78	

COIL	341041103				
			DATA SUF	RVEY FORM	
I.	Variable Na	me Plat Map of	Reservation/La	nd Ownership	
II.	Source	Not indicat	ted		Page
III.	Contact Per Location of	rson/ Provided F Data	by tribe.		
			CHARACTERI	STICS OF DAT	
			Olivitato Litt.	31103 <u>01 211</u>	<u></u>
1. S	Source forma	t: (x) mapped ( ) other	( ) air photo	(X) text	() tabular () digital
2. 5	Scale of data				
3. 0	Contour inte	rval: <u>NA</u>			
4. L	evel of deta	ail: LaPush o	community area		
		graphic area) generated data:	Not indicated:	assumed BTA	
	Classificati				
		3 categories	of ownership		
	b. Listin	g assumed to tr	ribal; individua	l(ownership	owners named)
		federal statu	ıs		
8. 1	Is data avai	lable? (x) Yes	( ) No		
9. (	Cost of data	4			
			EVAL	UATION	
Suita	ability: (	) suitable (X)	suitable with	modification	n ( ) not suitable
Limit	tations: ()	outdated () other	scale () ac	curacy (	) availability ( ) cost
Comme					vailable from BIA Portland or  Once basemap is available

Information needs to be updated; information available from BIA Portland or F. Parot, Real Property Division, BIA, Everett. Once basemap is available may be possible to have either of the above agencies transcribe updated parcel information onto it.



Southside		Name	KG	81
Community Consultants		Date	6/1/78	
	DATA SURVEY	FORM	•	
I. Variable Name _	Archeological Features			
II. Source	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,	Pa	<b>ge</b> 58	
	Washington Environmental Atla			
III. Contact Person/				
Location of Dat	Clallam Co. Planning Offic	e & County Library		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	,			
	CHARACTERISTIC	S OF DATA		
<pre>1. Source format: (</pre>	(x) mapped ( ) air photo $(x)$	) text ( ) tabul	ar () digital	
2. Scale of data:	1:750,000			
3. Contour interval:				
4. Level of detail:	Very general, not site speci			
(minimum geograph	nic area)		*	<del></del>
Agency that gener	cated data: Corps of Engineers			
6. Date data produce	ed: 1975			<u>-</u>
7. Classifications of	of data:			
a. Number				
b. Listing	relative intensities of likel	y occurrence of arc	heological featur	es.
8. Is data available	e? (* ) Yes ( ) No			
9. Cost of data:				
			•	
	EVALUATI			
Suitability: ( ) sui	itable ( ) suitable with modi	fication () not	: suitable	

Comments:


Limitations:

( ) outdated ( ) other \_\_\_

Only indicates that sites might be found on reservation, does not locate them. Suitable for regional background data only.

( ) availability


(x) scale (x) accuracy



thside mmunity Consultants


Name	KG	00
Date	6/9/78	

Consultants	Date6/9/78	
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
Variable Name _	Archeological Significance	
I. Source	Duncan, Archeological Investigation Page 6	
	at the LaPush Village Site -	
	An Interim Report, 1977	
I. Contact Person/ Location of Dat		
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
Source format: (	( ) mapped ( ) air photo ( x) text ( ) tabular ( ) digital	
Scale of data:	NA .	
Contour interval:	NA NA	
Level of detail:	NA .	• .
(minimum geograph	hic area)	
Agency that gener		
Date: data produce	ed:	
Classifications o	of data:	
a. Number		
b. Listing	Description of location and contents of archeological dig,	<del></del>
· .	stratigraphy, chronological relationships, radiocarbon dating	
Is data available	e? (x) Yes ( ) No	
. Cost of data:		
	,	
	<u>EVALUATION</u>	
iitability: ( ) sui	itable (x) suitable with modification () not suitable	
imitations: ( ) out	tdated () scale () accuracy () availability () cost	
	we can get investigaters to transpose their data on our map.  So can be done it will be an excellent source of data on reservation	_



Southside	Na	me	KG	89
Community Consultants	Da	te	6/1/78	
	DATA SURVEY FORM			
I. Variable Name _	Historical and Cultural Features	;		
II. Source	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,	Page	64	
	Washington Environmental Atlas, 1975			
:		<del></del>		
III. Contact Person/ Location of Dat		Library		
	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA			
1. Source format: (	x) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text (	( ) tabular	( ) digital	·
2. Scale of data:	1:750,000		······································	
3. Contour interval:	NA			
	Very general activity categories.			
(minimum geograph	ic area) ated data: Corps of Engineers			
<ol> <li>Date data produce</li> </ol>	: .		<u>,                                      </u>	
•		·		<del></del>
<ol> <li>Classifications o</li> <li>a. Number</li> </ol>				
b. Listing	Historic places, fairs and festivities	and ethnic	sites or areas,	
	and trail corridor - identified on si	te.		
3. Is data available	? (x) Yes ( ) No			
9. Cost of data:				
	EVALUATION			
Suitability: ( ) sui	table () suitable with modification	( <sub>x</sub> ) not su	itable	
Limitations: ( ) out	da <b>ted</b> (x) scale () accuracy () a	availability	() cost	
•	for reference only.	•		


1



Name	KG	90
Maine	<u> </u>	
Date	6/07/78	


Community Consultants	:	Date	6/07/78
	DATA SURVEY FOR	<u>RM</u>	
I. Variable Name _	Cultural Features		
II. Source	Wash. State Dept. of Highways		Page
	General Highway Map - Clallam		
	County, Western sheet, 1969	,	
III. Contact Person/ Location of Dat	Clallam Co. Engineering Office		
	CHARACTERISTICS O	F DATA	
1. Source format: (	x) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) t ) other	ext ( ) tab	ular ( ) digital
2. Scale of data:	Approx. 1" = 1 mile		
3. Contour interval:	NA .		
4. Level of detail: (minimum geograph Agency that gener	ic area)		
6. Date data produce		•	
7. Classifications o			
b. Listing	Roads and jurisdiction		
	Land use of structures and number of	of units	
8. Is data available	? (x) Yes () No		
9. Cost of data:			
	EVALUATION	. '	
Suitability: ( ) sui	table $(x)$ suitable with modific	ation () r	ot Suitable
Limitations: ( ) out	dated (*) scale (*) accuracy er		ility ( ) cost
Comments: At this	scale structures are not positione	•	to true position

but data is useful as background if transferred to suitable base map and field checked.



Name	KG 11
Date	6/12/78


Consul	tants		Date _	6/12/78
		DATA SURVEY F	FORM	
I, Va	riable Name	Land Ownership		
II. So	urce	Pacific NW River Basin Commissi	ion	Page Fig. 42
		Comprehensive Framework Study,		
		Appendix IV, 1971		
	ntact Person/ cation of Data	MITTI Tibrary (1144)		·
		WWU Library ( WESTERN WASHING	GION UNIVERSI	14)
<del></del>	¢n 81 80 €6 80 €0 €2	CHARACTERISTICS	OF DATA	
1. Sour	ce format: (×	) mapped ( ) air photo (×) ) other	text (x) t	abular ( ) digital
2. Scal	e of data:	1" = 25 miles (approx.)		
3. Cont	our interval:	NA ·		
	l of detail:	Section		
	imum geographi	- '	asin Comm	
_	cy that genera	ateu data.	ASTIT COMMIT	
6. Date	data produced	1:		
7. Clas	sifications of	f data:		
a	. Number7_			
b	. Listing For	est Service, BLM, NPS, f&W, Indi	ian Res., sta	te, private.
0 7		2 / \ V-2 / \ Na		
8. 15 0	lata avariable	? (x) Yes () No		-
9. Cost	of data:			<u></u>
		EVALUATION	N	
Suitabil	ity: ( ) suit	table ( ) suitable with modif	ication (×)	not suitable
Limitati	ons: (x) outo	dated (*) scale ( ) accuracy er		ability ( ) cost
Comments	· <b>:</b>	•	*	•
	For regi	onal background data only. DATA	15 COUNTY - W	106



Name	KG	92
Date	5/31/78	


		DATA SURVEY	FORM
Ι.	Variable Name	Land Ownership	
II.	Source	People Space Architecture,	Page
		Planning Document I, 1973	
	-	4.	
III.	. Contact Person	,	
	Location of Da	provided by tribe	
		CHARACTERISTICS	OF DATA
1.	Source format:	x) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) ) other	text ( ) tabular ( ) digital
2.	Scale of data: _		
3.	Contour interval	•	
4.		general, approx. 40 acres	•
	(minimum geograp	rated data:presume People	Space Architecture
6	Date data produc	1973	
7.	Classifications a. Number	6	
			ate corporate, state,
		reservation, federal	
8.	Is data availabl	e? (x) Yes ( ) No	
9.	Cost of data:		
		EVALUATI	<u>NO</u>
Şui	tability: ( ) su	itable (x) suitable with modi	fication ( ) not suitable
Lim	itations: ( ) ou	tdated (x) scale (x) accura	cy () availability () cost
Com	me <b>nts:</b> Regio	nal background only, not very acc	*

at site scale.



iouthside


Community Consultants	Date	6/15/78
	DATA SURVEY FORM	
I. Variable	e NameLand Use	
II. Source	ENCON, North Olympic Coastal Basin	Page Figure 3
-	Water Quality Management Plan, 1975	
-		
	Person/ Clallam Co. Planning Dept.	
Location	n of Data Port Angeles Library	
to	CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA	
1. Source fo	rmat: (x) mapped ( ) air photo ( ) text (	) tabular ( ) digital
2. Scale of	data: 1:125,000	
3. Contour i	nterval: NA	
(minimum	detail: Very general geographic area) at generated data: ENCON	
	produced: 1975	
a. Numl	ations of data: ber 10 ting Land ownership, residential, agricultural, in	ndustrial,
	recreational, sport fishing	
•	vailable? (x ) Yes ( ) No	
	EVALUATION	
Suitability:	( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification	(×) not suitable
Limitations:	( ) outdated (x) scale (x) accuracy ( ) av ( ) other	ailability ( ) cost
Commonte	Not site specific, suitable for regional backgroun	• only



Name	BB	94
Date	6/15/78	

Consultants								
DATA SURVEY FORM								
I. Variable Name Land use capability classes for Olympic Basin  (including specific figures for Quileute River)  II. Source U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Southwestern Washington Page 2-5, 2-21, 2-25, 2-35								
River Basins, 1974								
III. Contact Person/								
Location of Data Clallam County Planning Library								
CHARACTERISTICS OF DATA								
1. Source format: ( ) mapped ( ) air photo (x) text (x) tabular ( ) digital ( ) other								
2. Scale of data: regional								
3. Contour interval:								
4. Level of detail: land use by total acres								
(minimum geographic area)								
. Agency that generated data: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture								
6. Date data produced:								
7. Classifications of data:								
a. Number land use by land ownership; present land use (19 different uses identified)  erosion hazard classes by watershed; soils having excess water; erosion.  Hazard classes - none, slight, moderate & severe (total acres of each);								
no specific locations identified within watershed.								
8. Is data available? ( $_{x}$ ) Yes ( ) No								
9. Cost of data:								
EVALUATION								
Suitability: ( ) suitable ( ) suitable with modification $(x)$ not suitable								
Limitations: ( ) outdated ( ) scale ( ) accuracy ( ) availability ( ) cost ( ) other								
Comments: None of the information is mapped; offers broad total acreage figures for								

total basin (watershed) region.



Southside Community Consultants						Name Date		KG 9/7/77	95
-			D	ATA SUR	VEY FORM				
I. Variable	e Name	Land IIce	and Settle						
	-						<b>-</b>		
II. Source	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Soil Conservation Service, Page 7-14 Soil Survey - Clallam Co., 1951							·
Pro Salati	·	SOIL SUL	vey - Clar.	Tan Co.	, 1931	<del></del>	•		
III. Contact Locatio	Person/ n of Data		ded by tril	be.					
			CHA	 DACTEDIO	TICS OF D		7.		
1. Source fo	ermat: (	) mapped ) other					tabular	( ) digital	
2. Scale of	data:	NA			:				
3. Contour i	nterval:	NA .							
4. Level of (minimum Agency th	geographi	ic area)	ywide with		pecific re	ference	s to loca	tion	
6. Date data			1938						
7. Classific a. Num b. Lis	nber		, agricult	ural pro	oduction,	residen	tial land	use.	
8. Is data a	vailable	? (x) Yo	es ( ) N	•					
9. Cost of c	data:								
- ~				EVAL	<u>UATION</u>		ana tala para cisa -		•
Suitability:	( ) sui	table (	) suitable	e with i	modificati	ion (	$_{\mathbf{x}}$ ) not su	itable	
Limitations:	(x) out	dated (	) scale	( ) ac	curacy (	) ava	ilability		
Comments:			as backgro			•		`	•

